BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR

REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1650

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

OCTOBER 18, 2018 DATE:

9 A.M.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR CA CSR. NO. 7152 REPORTER:

FILE NO.: 2018-14

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER.	4
2. ROLL CALL.	4
3. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.	6
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT.	28
DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS	
5. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS (CLIN1,2 OR 3).	113
6. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN TRANSLATION STAGE PROJECTS.	120
7. CLOSED'S SESSION. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PRO WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIA INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEAR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REL APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO AGEND "5" & "6". (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30((B) AND (C)).	L CH OR ATING TO A ITEMS
DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS	
8. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF THE 2019 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUDGET.	33
9. UPDATE ON THE CO-FUNDING PROGRAM BETWEE THE NATIONAL HEART, LUNG, AND BLOOD INSTITUCIONE SICKLE CELL INTITATIVE AND CIRM.	

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

	· · ·	
	CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONCEPT FOR TRANSLATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS.	Г 67
	CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONCEPT FOR CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROGRAMS.	Γ
	CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF CO-CHAIRS IE EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE.	99
	APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE SWORKING GROUP.	102
14.	CLINICAL PROGRAM UPDATES.	133
15.	PROPOSED ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEM.	104
16.	PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE

17. ADJOURNMENT.

157

1	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA; OCTOBER 18, 2018
2	9 A.M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. GOOD MORNING,
5	EVERYBODY. WE HAVE HAD A BIT OF AN ISSUE UP HERE
6	WITH TRAFFIC. SO IT'S TAKEN A LITTLE BIT LONGER FOR
7	SOME OF THE MEMBERS TO GET TO THE BOARD MEETING
8	HERE, BUT WE'RE ALL SET TO GO. MR. JUELSGAARD IS IN
9	THE ROOM FOR THOSE OF YOU WONDERING WHAT THE
10	APPLAUSE WAS.
11	SO I'D LIKE TO CALL THE REGULAR MEETING OF
12	ICOC AND APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO ORDER
13	FOR OCTOBER 2018. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE LEAD US IN
14	THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
15	(PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE
17	ROLL.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
19	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
21	DR. BOXER: HERE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.
23	DR. BURTIS: PRESENT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. DAVID
25	BRENNER. ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
	4

	,
1	DR. DULIEGE: HERE.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
3	DR. GASSON: HERE.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
5	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING.
9	MS. LANSING: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
11	DR. MALKAS: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.
13	DR. LUBIN: HERE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
15	DR. MARTIN: HERE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
17	DR. MELMED: HERE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
19	MS. MILLER: HERE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
21	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
23	PRIETO. ROBERT QUINT. AL ROWLETT. SUZANNE
24	SANDMEYER.
25	DR. SANDMEYER: HERE.
	5
	,

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
2	MR. SHEEHY: HERE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD. JONATHAN
4	THOMAS.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
7	MR. TORRES: HERE.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
9	DR. VUORI: HERE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
11	MS. WINOKUR: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
13	MR. ROWLETT: CAN YOU HEAR ME?
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. THANK YOU, AL.
15	WE HAVE A QUORUM.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. WE'LL
17	GO NEXT TO THE CHAIR'S REPORT. I WANT TO START WITH
18	GETTING YOU ALL AN UPDATE ON THE FUNDRAISING EFFORT.
19	BEFORE I DO THAT, I WANTED TO SORT OF SET THE STAGE
20	FOR IT WITH A FEW COMMENTS THAT WE, AS WE'RE HEADING
21	INTO 2019 SHORTLY, ARE AT AN INFLECTION POINT, AS WE
22	KNOW, AS FAR AS THE FUNDING GOES, BUT WE ALSO SHOULD
23	BE EXTREMELY PROUD OF WHERE THINGS STAND AT THE
24	MOMENT IN TERMS OF WHAT CIRM HAS BEEN ABLE TO
25	ACCOMPLISH TO THIS POINT.
	<i>C</i>

1	AND I WAS GOING TO GIVE YOU A FEW STATS,
2	BUT I THOUGHT, IF YOU WOULD INDULGE ME, DR. MILLAN
3	AND I DID AN OP-ED RECENTLY WHICH TALKS ABOUT THE
4	GREAT ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF CIRM. AND I WOULD JUST
5	LIKE, IT'S NOT VERY LONG, BUT I THINK YOU WOULD
6	ENJOY HEARING IT, SO I WANT TO READ IT TO YOU. SO
7	IT GOES AS FOLLOWS:
8	"BIOTECHNOLOGY WAS BORN IN CALIFORNIA IN
9	THE 1970S BASED ON THE DISCOVERY OUT OF ONE OF ITS
10	UNIVERSITIES. CALIFORNIA IS RESPONSIBLE FOR AN
11	INDUSTRY THAT HAS IMPACTED THE LIVES OF MILLIONS OF
12	PEOPLE WORLDWIDE. IN 2004 THE VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA
13	APPROVED PROPOSITION 71, CREATING THE CALIFORNIA
14	INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND SETTING THE
15	STATE ON A PATH TO BECOMING A GLOBAL LEADER IN STEM
16	CELL RESEARCH.
17	"TO DATE THE THERAPIES RESULTING FROM THE
18	INSTITUTE'S WORK ARE NOT JUST CHANGING LIVES;
19	THEY'RE ALREADY SAVING LIVES, LIVES LIKE EVIE
20	VACCARO WHO IS ALIVE TODAY BECAUSE OF A TREATMENT
21	CIRM IS FUNDING. VACARRO WAS BORN WITH SKID, ALSO
22	KNOWN AS BUBBLE BABY DISEASE, AN IMMUNE DISORDER
23	THAT OFTEN KILLS BABIES IN THEIR FIRST TWO YEARS.
24	VACARRO NOW SIX AND DOZENS OF OTHER BABIES WERE
25	GIVEN STEM CELL TREATMENTS THANKS TO THE INSTITUTE.
	7
	·

1	ALL ARE SHOWING IMPROVEMENT. SOME ARE NOW SEVERAL
2	YEARS PAST TREATMENT AND CONSIDERED CURED.
3	"AN ACCIDENT LEFT JAKE JAVIER FROM
4	DANVILLE PARALYZED FROM THE CHEST DOWN ON THE EVE OF
5	HIS HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION. JAVIER WAS TREATED IN A
6	CIRM-FUNDED CLINICAL TRIAL. TODAY IS HAS REGAINED
7	THE USE OF HIS ARMS AND HANDS, IS DRIVING A CAR, AND
8	IS A SOPHOMORE AT CALPOLY SAN LUIS OBISPO. FIVE
9	OTHER PATIENTS TREATED AT THE SAME TIME AS JAVIER
10	ALL HAVE EXPERIENCED IMPROVEMENTS, MEANING THAT,
11	INSTEAD OF NEEDING ROUND-THE-CLOCK CARE, THEY CAN
12	LEAD INDEPENDENT LIVES.
13	"A STUDY BY THE TUFTS CENTER FOR THE STUDY
14	OF DRUG DEVELOPMENT ESTIMATED THAT IT TAKES AT LEAST
15	TEN YEARS AND \$2.6 BILLION TO DEVELOP ONE SUCCESSFUL
16	DRUG. IN 14 YEARS AND WITH JUST THREE BILLION, CIRM
17	HAS FUNDED A THOUSAND DIFFERENT PROJECTS, ENROLLED
18	900 PATIENTS, AND SUPPORTED 49 DIFFERENT CLINICAL
19	TRIALS TARGETING DISEASES SUCH AS CANCER, KIDNEY
20	FAILURE, LEUKEMIA. FOUR OF THESE PROGRAMS HAVE
21	RECEIVED AN EXPEDITED DESIGNATION BY THE U.S. FOOD
22	AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, MEANING THEY COULD GET
23	FASTER APPROVAL TO HELP MORE PATIENTS.
24	"WE HAVE CREATED A NETWORK OF WORLD-CLASS
25	MEDICAL CLINICS THAT HAVE EXPERTISE IN DELIVERING

1	TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS. THE CIRM ALPHA CLINICS
2	OFFER TREATMENTS BASED ON SOLID SCIENCE, UNLIKE THE
3	UNLICENSED CLINICS SPROUTING UP AROUND CALIFORNIA
4	THAT PEDDLE UNPROVEN AND POTENTIALLY HARMFUL
5	THERAPIES THAT COST PATIENTS THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.
6	"CIRM HAS SUPPORTED THE CREATION OF 9 A.M.
7	STEM CELL RESEARCH FACILITIES IN CALIFORNIA,
8	ATTRACTED HUNDREDS OF TOP-TIER RESEARCHERS TO
9	CALIFORNIA, TRAINED A NEW GENERATION OF STEM CELL
10	SCIENTISTS, BROUGHT CLINICAL TRIALS TO CALIFORNIA;
11	FOR EXAMPLE, ONE TARGETING ALS OR LOU GEHRIG'S
12	DISEASE, DEPLOYED RIGOROUS SCIENTIFIC STANDARDS AND
13	SUPPORT SO OUR PROGRAMS HAVE A SEAL OF APPROVAL TO
14	ATTRACT 2.7 BILLION IN ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS FROM
15	INDUSTRY AND OTHER SOURCES.
16	"WE RECENTLY HAVE PARTNERED WITH THE
17	NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH" BE HEARING MORE
18	ABOUT THAT LATER "TO BREAK DOWN BARRIERS AND
19	SPEED UP THE APPROVAL PROCESS TO BRING CURATIVE
20	TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS WITH SICKLE CELL DISEASE.
21	"HAVE WE ACHIEVED ALL WE WANTED TO? OF
22	COURSE NOT. THE FIRST DECADE OF CIRM'S LIFE WAS
23	LAYING THE GROUNDWORK, DEVELOPING THE KNOWLEDGE AND
24	EXPERTISE, AND REFINING PROCESSES SO THAT WE CAN
25	TRULY ACCELERATE PROGRESS. AS A LEADER IN THIS

1	BURGEONING FIELD OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, CIRM
2	NEEDS TO CONTINUE ITS MISSION OF ACCELERATING STEM
3	CELL TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS WITH UNMET MEDICAL
4	NEEDS."
5	SO THERE, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS A
6	CONCISE SUMMARY OF WHERE WE STAND TODAY. AND I
7	THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE CAN JUSTIFIABLY ALL BE
8	EXTREMELY PROUD OF. SO I JUST WANTED TO CONVEY THAT
9	TO ALL OF YOU.
10	OKAY. SO WITH RESPECT TO THE BRIDGE
11	FUNDING EFFORT, AS YOU KNOW, OUR GOAL IS BY 2020 TO
12	PROCURE \$220 MILLION TO ALLOW CIRM TO CONTINUE ITS
13	PROGRAMS IN A TYPICAL MANNER BETWEEN THE TIME WE
14	ANTICIPATE RUNNING OUT OF MONEY IN LATE 2019 AND THE
15	ELECTION OF NOVEMBER 2020 IN WHICH WE EXPECT THAT
16	THERE WILL BE A CITIZEN-LED INITIATIVE FOR \$5
17	BILLION TO REUP CIRM, AS IT WERE, FOR THE NEXT
18	GENERATION.
19	SO JUST TO GIVE YOU A FEEL FOR KIND OF THE
20	PROCESS WE'VE BEEN GOING THROUGH, WE HAVE AN
21	IN-HOUSE TEAM THAT MEETS WEEKLY TO DISCUSS PROSPECTS
22	AND STRATEGY. IN THE COURSE OF THAT, WE REFINED THE
23	LOAN PROGRAM THAT WE DISCUSSED AT OUR LAST BOARD
24	MEETING AS A SECOND OPTION TO DONATIONS FOR BRIDGE
25	FUNDING, HAVING CONFIRMED IN THE PROCESS OF THAT

1	THAT THE IDEA WAS SOUND WITH STATE BOND COUNSEL, THE
2	STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE, AND WITH BOB KLEIN, WHO
3	WILL BE THE AUTHOR OF THE, AS HE WAS WITH THE
4	ORIGINAL PROP 71, WILL BE THE AUTHOR OF THE NEXT
5	PROPOSITION, TO GET HIS AGREEMENT TO PUT IN LANGUAGE
6	THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR BONDS TO BE ISSUED TO REPAY
7	LOANS THAT WE WOULD GET TO ALLOW US TO CONTINUE WITH
8	OUR WORK DURING THIS BRIDGE FUNDING PERIOD.
9	WE HAVE ANALYZED DOZENS OF POTENTIAL
10	DONORS AND LENDERS BOTH IN TERMS OF THE POTENTIAL
11	ASK THAT WE WILL MAKE AND PARTICULAR SUBJECT MATTERS
12	OF INTEREST TO THOSE SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS AND HAVE
13	DEVELOPED TAILORED ASKS FOR EACH OF THOSE DONORS OR
14	LENDERS.
15	WE HAVE, WITH TAILORED ASKS IN HAND,
16	EITHER APPROACHED OR WILL APPROACH THESE POTENTIAL
17	DONORS AND LENDERS AMONG OTHER WAYS AS FOLLOWS: NO.
18	1 IS EITHER INDIVIDUALLY AND DIRECTLY THROUGH
19	RELATIONSHIPS THAT WE HAVE WITH THE POTENTIAL DONOR,
20	LENDERS IN QUESTION, INDIVIDUALLY THROUGH SUPPORTIVE
21	KEY INTERMEDIARIES WHO ARE CLOSE FRIENDS OR
22	ASSOCIATES OF POTENTIAL FUNDRAISING TARGETS. WE
23	HAVE ALSO ADOPTED A GROUP STRATEGY OF MEETING WITH
24	DINNERS WHERE A NUMBER OF HIGH NET WORTH INDIVIDUALS
25	OR HEADS OF THEIR FAMILY OFFICES COME TOGETHER TO

1	HEAR PRESENTATIONS ON CIRM AND ABOUT THE BRIDGE
2	FUNDING EFFORT.
3	THE PITCHES THAT WE'VE TAILORED, THE
4	SUBJECT MATTER HAS INCLUDED SUCH THINGS AS ADMIN
5	COSTS, UNRESTRICTED FUNDS THAT WOULD COME TO US TO
6	DO WHAT WE WISH TO DO WITH THEM AT OUR DISCRETION,
7	PROJECTS FOR CATEGORIES OF INDICATIONS. SO GO OUT
8	AND SOMEBODY IS INTERESTED IN, SAY, CANCER,
9	DIABETES, WHATEVER, THE PITCH HAS BEEN TAILORED TO
10	THAT PARTICULAR SUBJECT MATTER. AND EVEN MORE
11	SPECIFICALLY, SPECIFIC DISEASES WITHIN CATEGORIES.
12	SO ONE CATEGORY COULD BE GENE THERAPIES, BUT
13	SPECIFIC INDICATION. AND THAT EXAMPLE WOULD BE THE
14	SICKLE CELL INITIATIVE THAT DR. MILLAN AND GABE ARE
15	GOING TO DISCUSS LATER ON.
16	ANOTHER CATEGORY IS INFRASTRUCTURE. WE
17	ARE CONTEMPLATING POTENTIALLY ADDING SOME NEW ALPHA
18	CLINICS AS WE HAVE HAD DISCUSSIONS ON THAT. WE HAVE
19	ALSO HAD DISCUSSIONS ON A PROGRAM THAT WE SPENT A
20	LOT OF TIME BATTING AROUND POTENTIAL IN-HOUSE THAT
21	WE MIGHT WANT TO DISCUSS AT THE BOARD AT SOME POINT
22	HAVING TO DO WITH THE SYMBIOTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
23	BASIC RESEARCH AND BIG DATA, WHICH THERE OBVIOUSLY
24	IS A TREMENDOUS OVERLAP AND A NEED TO FUND PROGRAMS
25	THAT ADDRESS THE INTERSECTION OF THOSE TWO.

1	WE'VE ALSO TALKED TO A NUMBER OF
2	OUT-OF-STATE, HIGH NET WORTH INDIVIDUALS, AND THE
3	SORT OF PITCH TO THEM IS THEY ARE INTERESTED IN
4	FUNDING REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THEIR PARTICULAR
5	AREA OF THE COUNTRY. WE HAVE RECOMMENDED THIS AS
6	SORT OF A SUBJECT MATTER AND GEOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY
7	PLAY FOR THEM. SO THESE DISCUSSIONS ARE ALL IN
8	PROGRESS OR WILL BE IN PROGRESS. AND I JUST WANTED
9	TO LET EVERYBODY KNOW SORT OF WHAT WE ARE THINKING
10	AND HOW WE'VE BEEN SYSTEMATICALLY APPROACHING THIS.
11	SO IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT?
12	DR. LUBIN: JUST A QUICK QUESTION. IT ALL
13	SOUNDS GREAT. IS THERE SOMEBODY HEADING THE
14	CAMPAIGN? ARE YOU HEADING THE CAMPAIGN?
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'M HEADING THE
16	CAMPAIGN. YOU'RE LOOKING AT HIM.
17	MR. TORRES: BETTER BE CLEAR ABOUT WHAT
18	KIND OF CAMPAIGN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, THANK YOU. I GOT
20	THAT FROM ALL SIDES. THIS IS THE BRIDGE FUNDING
21	FUNDRAISING EFFORT. WE'LL STRIKE THE WORD
22	"CAMPAIGN" BECAUSE, AS YOU KNOW, AS A STATE AGENCY,
23	WE CAN'T BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGNS. THANK YOU FROM
24	ALL SIDES. OTHER COMMENTS?
25	DR. MARTIN: FOR A LOAN, IS IT FEASIBLE TO

1	NAME A FUNCTION FROM THE LENDER? I KNOW IT'S NOT
2	FOR THE BOND, BUT WHAT ABOUT THE LOAN? COULD THAT
3	BE DIFFERENT?
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. THE QUESTION IS
5	ABOUT NAMING RIGHTS. ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S SOMETHING
6	WE HAVE FACTORED INTO A NUMBER OF THESE DISCUSSIONS.
7	THAT IS, SOME PEOPLE FIND THAT APPEALING; OTHERS
8	DON'T CARE, BUT FOR SOME WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
9	WE MAKE THAT AVAILABLE.
10	OTHER QUESTIONS? OKAY. THANK YOU.
11	ON TO THE NEXT PART OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.
12	I'VE ASKED WE'VE HAD A COUPLE OF VERY INTERESTING
13	MEETINGS INVOLVING EITHER A NUMBER OF MEMBERS OF
14	LEGISLATURE OR INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS, AND I'VE ASKED
15	SENATOR TORRES IF HE'D BE SO KIND AS TO BRIEF YOU
16	ALL ON THOSE. SENATOR TORRES.
17	MR. TORRES: THANK YOU. YES, WE HAD A
18	VERY PRODUCTIVE HEARING BEFORE THE ASSEMBLY BIOTECH
19	COMMITTEE HEADED BY KEVIN MULLINS WHO REPRESENTS THE
20	SOUTH BAY AREA OF CALIFORNIA, AS WELL AS OTHER
21	MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE, MANY OF WHOM I THINK
22	WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE TESTIMONY OF SOME OF
23	OUR PATIENTS AS WELL AS OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE
24	EXTRAORDINAIRE THERE TO LEND HIS VOICE TO OUR
25	EFFORTS. AND DR. MILLAN ALSO PRESENTED AN EXTENSIVE

1	TESTIMONY AS DID DR. JAN NOLTA, WHO FOLLOWED DR.
2	MILLAN.
3	AND THERE WERE INTERESTING QUESTIONS AND
4	ANSWERS, AND, AGAIN, VERY SUPPORTIVE IN BIPARTISAN
5	WAYS MANY OF THE LEGISLATORS, IN FACT, THE
6	REPUBLICAN MEMBERS, AND I SPOKE AT LENGTH IN TERMS
7	OF WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO FOSTER THIS. ONE OF THE
8	REPUBLICAN MEMBER'S BROTHER IS AN AIDS PHYSICIAN IN
9	NEW YORK. SO THERE WAS REAL INTEREST ON HER PART TO
10	ADVANCE OUR EFFORT. SO ALL IN ALL IT WAS A VERY
11	POSITIVE MEETING.
12	AND THEN WE HAD SENATOR BEN ALLEN, WHO
13	REPRESENTS THE BEVERLY HILLS AREA, AND A LITTLE
14	PLACE CALLED CEDARS-SINAI IN LOS ANGELES, CAME TO
15	VISIT US AS WELL. AND HE'S VERY INTERESTED IN OUR
16	WORK, AS YOU MIGHT IMAGINE, AND ALSO IS COMMITTED TO
17	WORKING WITH US ON A NUMBER OF ISSUES.
18	IN RESPECT TO THE STEM CELL CLINICS, AN
19	ISSUE WHICH I'VE BEEN VERY MUCH INVOLVED WITH,
20	PRELIMINARILY ASSEMBLYMEMBER MULLINS HAS AGREED TO
21	OFFER LEGISLATION TO DEAL WITH A CERTIFICATION
22	PROGRAM FOR STEM CELL CLINICS, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS
23	THE MOST APPROPRIATE APPROACH. AND DR. MILLAN AND I
24	HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THAT TO DEVELOP WITH OUR
25	WORKING GROUP, OUR STANDARDS WORKING GROUP, TO

1	FIGURE OUT JUST WHAT OUGHT TO BE THE PARAMETERS
2	WHICH OUGHT TO BE THE AGENCY.
3	AND I'M MEETING AT MY NEXT OTHER HAT,
4	COVER CALIFORNIA, BOARD MEETING WITH OUR SECRETARY
5	OF HEALTH AND WELFARE TO FIGURE OUT JUST WHAT AGENCY
6	HE FEELS MIGHT BE THE APPROPRIATE AGENCY TO CERTIFY
7	THESE STEM CELL CLINICS. AND IT INVOLVES A NUMBER
8	OF ISSUES WHICH WE REALLY CAN'T BE INVOLVED WITH IN
9	TERMS OF LICENSING, BUT WE CERTAINLY CAN BE INVOLVED
10	WITH THE PARAMETERS AND THE DISTINCTIONS THAT WE
11	OUGHT TO RAISE AS TO WHAT CONSTITUTES AN APPROPRIATE
12	STEM CELL CLINIC IN CALIFORNIA. AND WE WILL BE
13	DOING THAT AND I'LL REPORT BACK TO YOU AS SOON AS
14	WE'RE READY WITH THIS LEGISLATION WHICH WE HOPE TO
15	INTRODUCE BY THE END OF JANUARY IN 2019 AND GET IT
16	TO THE NEW GOVERNOR'S DESK IN TIME FOR IT TO BE
17	SIGNED.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
19	SENATOR TORRES. ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE
20	SENATOR'S REPORT?
21	MR. SHEEHY: I HAVE A QUESTION, NOT SO
22	DIRECT TO THE SENATOR, BUT MORE ACTUALLY ABOUT THE
23	OTHER CAMPAIGN. AND WHAT DEGREE OF CERTAINTY DO WE
24	HAVE THAT THAT'S ACTUALLY GOING TO HAPPEN? WHAT IS
25	THE PLANNED INTERFACE BETWEEN THIS BOARD AND THIS
	10

1	AGENCY AND THE CAMPAIGN? BECAUSE IT SEEMS LIKE
2	THAT'S WHERE ALL OUR EGGS ARE RIGHT NOW. AND IS
3	THERE A PLAN FOR COMMON MESSAGING? YOU KNOW,
4	OBVIOUSLY WE'RE DELIVERING MESSAGES EVERY DAY ABOUT
5	THE WORK THAT WE DO, BUT THE CAMPAIGN WILL HAVE ITS
6	OWN MESSAGING. AND I KIND OF FELT LIKE, WHEN THE
7	CHRONICLE ARTICLE RAN, THERE WAS NOT COHERENT
8	MESSAGING BETWEEN THE TWO EFFORTS, AND I THINK THAT
9	THAT PRESENTS PROBLEMS AS WE GET CLOSER TO THE `
10	CAMPAIGN. AND TO BE PERFECTLY HONEST, THAT
11	CAMPAIGN, FOR ALL RIGHTS AND PURPOSES, STARTS ON
12	NOVEMBER 7TH.
13	ONCE WE GET OUT OF THIS CYCLE, 2020 LOOMS
14	LARGE IN FRONT OF US. SO IS THERE A PLAN TO PERHAPS
15	DESIGNATE A COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD TO HAVE SOME SORT
16	OF INTERACTION WITH THE CAMPAIGN? THERE SHOULD BE
17	SOME AT LEAST FORMAL DIALOGUE, I THINK. I DON'T
18	THINK THAT'S FORBIDDEN. BUT RIGHT NOW THE CAMPAIGN
19	IS OUT THERE MAYBE AND MAYBE NOT. AND WE HAVE A
20	DUTY AS A BOARD TO BE PREPARED TO TAKE ACTION IF
21	THAT CAMPAIGN DOESN'T MATERIALIZE. AND WE HAVE NO
22	GUARANTEE, NO SENSE THAT IT WILL HAPPEN.
23	I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE STRATEGY IS FOR
24	GETTING ON THE BALLOT. THERE'S TWO WAYS. ONE IS
25	THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE AND THE OTHER WAY IS THROUGH

1	SIGNATURES. WHEN WILL THE CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE BE
2	FORMED? WE'RE TWO YEARS AWAY, AND THESE THINGS, THE
3	LEAD-TIME JUST FOR COLLECTING SIGNATURES, I THINK IT
4	WOULD BE, GIVEN THE LARGE TURNOUT WE'RE GOING TO
5	HAVE IN THE GOVERNOR'S RACE, SIGNATURE COLLECTION
6	EFFORT, IT'S GOING TO BE FAIRLY EXPENSIVE, I WOULD
7	THINK BETWEEN 5 AND 10 MILLION. AND SO HAVING MORE
8	INFORMATION OR SOME SORT OF DIALOGUE ON WHAT THE
9	FUTURE IS AND HAVING SOME SORT OF WAY TO COMMUNICATE
10	BACK AND FORTH SO THAT REALLY WE KNOW AS BOARD
11	MEMBERS WHAT'S HAPPENING. AND I THINK FOR THE
12	PEOPLE, OUR TEAM WHOSE LIVELIHOODS DEPEND ON IT, IT
13	WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. SO I'M JUST TRYING TO GET A
14	SENSE OF THAT.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
16	MR. TORRES: MAY I RESPOND?
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, SENATOR TORRES.
18	MR. TORRES: NOVEMBER 7TH IS THE START OF
19	ANY CAMPAIGN FOR 2020, WHETHER PRESIDENT OR THIS
20	INITIATIVE. AT THAT POINT WE CAN DETERMINE JUST HOW
21	MANY SIGNATURES WE NEED BECAUSE SIGNATURES REQUIRED
22	FOR AN INITIATIVE ARE BASED UPON THE LAST VOTER
23	TURNOUT IN A GOVERNOR'S RACE. I PRESUME IT'S GOING
24	TO BE HIGHER THAN IT WAS FOR JERRY FOUR YEARS AGO.
25	SO, NO. 1, FIRST STEP IS ON NOVEMBER 7TH

1	GET A SENSE OF WHO VOTED AND THEN FIGURE OUT WHAT
2	THE PERCENTAGE IS. I THINK BOB KLEIN IS WELL AWARE
3	OF HOW WE NEED TO COLLECT SIGNATURES. I HAVE ALWAYS
4	BEEN IN FAVOR OF COLLECTING SIGNATURES BECAUSE
5	THAT'S A GROUNDSWELL OF SUPPORT AND EDUCATION.
6	MY PROPOSITION 65, WHICH YOU FIND ON THE
7	BACK OF EVERY WINE BOTTLE IN TERMS OF CARCINOGENS,
8	HAD TO BE PASSED BY THE PEOPLE BECAUSE I COULDN'T
9	GET IT THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE. BUT IT TOOK
10	SIGNATURE GATHERING DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, LESS
11	EXPENSIVELY OBVIOUSLY IN 1986 THAN IT IS TODAY, BUT
12	CLEARLY SIGNATURE GATHERING IS A PREFERRED METHOD OF
13	EDUCATING THE PUBLIC BECAUSE WHEN YOU GET OUT THERE
14	WITH PEOPLE TALKING TO EACH OTHER CREATES A BUZZ,
15	THAT CREATES AN ONSLAUGHT.
16	AS TO WHAT OUR RELATIONSHIP SHOULD BE,
17	YES, WE CAN HAVE DISCUSSIONS, AS I'VE BEEN INFORMED,
18	WITH MR. KLEIN WHO CAME TO TESTIFY BEFORE US AND
19	GAVE US SOME VERY ENCOURAGING STATISTICS IN TERMS OF
20	WHERE THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA ARE TODAY. AS YOU
21	KNOW, A POLL IS JUST A SNAPSHOT IN TIME OF THAT
22	PARTICULAR DAY, BUT CLEARLY 70 PERCENT SUPPORT
23	AFTER-THE-PUSH QUESTIONS IS CLEARLY A GOOD OMEN, BUT
24	THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S GUARANTEED.
25	SO THE NATURE OF OUR RELATIONSHIP HAS TO
	10

1	BE DELINEATED BY OUR COUNSEL AS TO WHAT WE CAN OR
2	CANNOT DO WITH A CAMPAIGN ONCE IT BEGINS. I'M AN
3	80-PERCENT EMPLOYEE, AND I'M A PART-TIME EMPLOYEE IN
4	THIS INSTITUTE RECEIVING A SALARY, WHICH HAS NOT
5	BEEN INCREASED SINCE I STARTED IN 2009 THANKS TO
6	THIS BOARD. AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, 20 PERCENT OF
7	MY TIME IS MY OWN, AND I INTEND TO USE IT ALL FOR
8	THIS CAMPAIGN ONCE IT GETS STARTED. SO I WILL BE
9	INTIMATELY INVOLVED WITH THE 20 PERCENT THAT IS NOT
10	ON STATE TIME FOR THIS EFFORT BECAUSE IT IS SO
11	IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US.
12	SO THAT'S A PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION. I
13	THINK THERE WILL BE MORE DISCUSSIONS, JEFF, ONCE WE
14	FIGURE OUT JUST WHAT ARE THE LEGAL PARAMETERS THAT
15	WE CAN ABIDE BY IN TERMS OF DISCUSSIONS WITH THE
16	CAMPAIGN AND AS WE MOVE FORWARD.
17	MR. SHEEHY: IS IT POSSIBLE TO GET A
18	TIMELINE? AND JUST
19	MR. TORRES: I JUST SAID WE DON'T HAVE A
20	TIMELINE YET. THE ONLY TIME THAT WE HAVE IS
21	NOVEMBER 7TH AND WE START FROM THERE.
22	MR. SHEEHY: AND JUST IN TERMS OF
23	SIGNATURES, IT'S ABOUT 20 BUCKS A SIGNATURE NOWADAYS
24	ALL COST IN. SIGNATURES ARE NOT GOING TO BE AT
25	LEAST THAT'S WHAT THEY TELL ME COMING OUT OF THE

1	LAST CYCLE. SO I JUST I GUESS JUST A LITTLE BIT
2	MORE OF A FORMAL STRUCTURE. I MEAN WE ALL OF RIGHTS
3	AND PURPOSES ARE VOLUNTEERS. AND SO WE COULD ADD
4	OUR VOICES TO THOSE WITHIN THE CAMPAIGN AND SOME WAY
5	INFORMALLY TAKE PART, BUT THE CLOCK IS TICKING. AND
6	TWO YEARS IS NOT A LONG TIME IN POLITICS, AND THE
7	AMOUNT OF MONEY IT WOULD TAKE TO RUN A CAMPAIGN IS
8	NOT INSIGNIFICANT.
9	WHEN WILL THE CAMPAIGN BE OPEN CAMPAIGN
10	COMMITTEE BE OPENED IS ONE QUESTION. THE SECOND
11	QUESTION IS HAS THERE BEEN A DECISION MADE TO
12	COLLECT SIGNATURES OR GO TO THE LEGISLATURE AND
13	WHO'S MAKING THAT DECISION? THE NEXT QUESTION IS
14	WHEN IS THE SIGNATURE GATHERING GOING TO START?
15	THERE'S A LOT OF SIGNIFICANT QUESTIONS.
16	AND, AGAIN, MY CORE POINT IS I THINK WE'VE BUILT AN
17	AMAZING MACHINE HERE AND AN AGENCY. AND I GIVE SO
18	MUCH CREDIT TO THE AMAZING TEAM AT CIRM AND THEIR
19	DEDICATION AND THEIR HARD WORK, BUT THIS IS THEIR
20	LIVELIHOODS. AND I THINK WHEN 2020 STARTS, I THINK
21	EVEN 2019, I WOULD WANT TO KNOW IF I HAVE A
22	FAMILY TO SUPPORT, I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME SENSE OF
23	WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN AND HAVE SOME DEEPER
24	ENGAGEMENT JUST SO I HAD OR DEEPER UNDERSTANDING OF
25	WHAT THE PROCESSES ARE AND WHAT THE PLAN IS SIMPLY

1	SO I CAN MAKE MY OWN PLANS.
2	OUR TEAM HAS REALLY BEEN RECOGNIZED BY NIH
3	FOR THEIR SUPERLATIVE WORK. AND I THINK THIS MAY BE
4	THE FIRST TIME THAT NIH HAS ACTUALLY DELEGATED
5	MANY DR. MILLAN WILL TALK ABOUT THIS IN A
6	MINUTE MANY OF THEIR FUNCTIONS TO AN OUTSIDE
7	AGENCY, SOME OF THEIR CORE FUNCTIONS, THEY HAVE SO
8	MUCH CONFIDENCE IN THE MACHINE THAT WE'VE BUILT.
9	AND I WOULD HATE TO SEE THAT START TO FADE AWAY
10	BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF WHAT'S GOING ON.
11	THAT'S MY ONLY THING. MAYBE THIS IS MAYBE WE CAN
12	HEAR MORE IN DECEMBER.
13	MR. TORRES: I DON'T THINK ANYONE HERE CAN
14	BE UNMATCHED TO ANYONE HERE IN RESPECT TO OUR
15	CONCERN FOR OUR STAFF AND THEIR LIVELIHOODS. AND
16	THAT'S SOMETHING I TAKE VERY SERIOUSLY, AND
17	CERTAINLY I'M GOING TO DO WHATEVER I CAN TO MAKE
18	SURE THAT INITIATIVE PASSES. I DON'T THINK GOING TO
19	THE LEGISLATURE IS AN APPROPRIATE STEP AND I NEVER
20	THOUGHT THAT. I STILL BELIEVE THAT SIGNATURE
21	GATHERING IS THE BEST WAY TO GO, AND I THINK WE JUST
22	HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE BUDGET IS GOING TO BE.
23	MR. SHEEHY: I'M NOT ADVOCATING FOR GOING
24	TO LEGISLATURE. WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THE FIRM
25	DECISION YET THAT THERE WILL BE A CAMPAIGN.

1	MR. TORRES: HAS HE TOLD YOU THAT?
2	MR. SHEEHY: LAST TIME I TALKED TO BOB HE
3	WAS LEANING IN THAT DIRECTION, BUT HE DID NOT SAY
4	THAT HE HUNDRED PERCENT WAS GOING TO RUN A CAMPAIGN.
5	I ASSUME HE IS. EVERYTHING LEADS IN THAT DIRECTION,
6	BUT IT'S UNCERTAINTY.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD ECHO WHAT
8	SENATOR TORRES SAID ABOUT EVERYTHING POINTS TO BOB
9	INTENDING TO DO THAT. THE SIGNATURE GATHERING
10	STRATEGY THAT HE HAS, WHICH SENATOR TORRES COMMENTED
11	ON, YOU MAY RECALL IN PROPOSITION 71, BOB'S TAKE
12	THEN WAS WHATEVER THE NUMBER IS THAT YOU NEED TO GET
13	TO QUALIFY, YOU GO OUT AND GET SEVERAL HUNDRED
14	THOUSAND MORE JUST TO NOT ONLY EMPHASIZE THE POINT,
15	BUT TO GET THAT MANY MORE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE
16	DIALOGUE WHO THEN GO OUT AND TALK TO ALL OF THEIR
17	FRIENDS. AND HE VIEWED IT AS STRATEGICALLY A VERY
18	GOOD WAY TO GO TO GENERATE INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT
19	OF THE PROPOSITION.
20	BUT, JEFF, THAT IS CORRECT, HE HAS NOT
21	SAID DEFINITELY, BUT EVERYTHING POINTS IN THAT
22	DIRECTION. AND WE ARE, UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF MR.
23	TOCHER, ABLE TO PROVIDE HIM WITH INFORMATION AND
24	DATA ON WHAT IS GOING ON AT CIRM WITH RESPECT TO ALL
25	THE PROGRAMS. AND WE ARE DOING THAT AND HAVE BEEN

1	DOING THAT.
2	AND TO YOUR POINT OF TRYING TO GET COMMON
3	MESSAGING, THAT IS DEFINITELY A GOAL THAT WE HAVE
4	GOING FORWARD. SO WE WANT TO BE AS COORDINATED AS
5	WE CAN, AS SUPPORTIVE AS WE CAN, BUT MAKING SURE WE
6	DO SO UNDER THE STRICT GUIDELINE OF MR. TOCHER.
7	MR. TOCHER: I JUST WANT TO BACK THAT UP.
8	TO YOUR POINT EARLIER, JEFF, ABOUT COORDINATION AND
9	SUCH, I THINK, IF BY COORDINATION YOU MEAN MAKING
10	SURE THAT THE CAMPAIGN AND THE PUBLIC IN GENERAL HAS
11	IMPARTIAL AND ACCURATE INFORMATION ABOUT CIRM'S
12	ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF WHAT CIRM DOES AND HAS DONE,
13	THAT'S ABSOLUTELY TRUE. AND THAT'S ABSOLUTELY
14	SOMETHING THAT THE AGENCY CAN DO NOW AND EVEN
15	THROUGHOUT A CAMPAIGN.
16	IF BY COORDINATION SOMEONE WERE TO TAKE
17	THAT TO MEAN THAT THERE IS MESSAGING WORKED TOGETHER
18	THAT WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF PROMOTING A BALLOT
19	MEASURE OR PROMOTING A CERTAIN OUTCOME ON A BALLOT
20	MEASURE, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE'RE PRECLUDED
21	FROM DOING AND SOMETHING WE WOULDN'T DO.
22	MR. SHEEHY: HOW WE DESCRIBE THE WORK THAT
23	WE HAVE DONE WITH THE AGENCY, WHAT OUR FUTURE LOOKS
24	LIKE SO THAT WE'RE SPEAKING WITH ONE VOICE ABOUT
25	WHICH WE DO ANYWAY.

1	MR. TOCHER: AND THAT S SOMETHING THAT HAS
2	PRECEDED THE MEASURE AND PRECEDED THIS EFFORT.
3	WE'VE ALWAYS HAD THAT EFFORT, AND IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN
4	AN ACTIVITY THAT THE AGENCY HAS BEEN ROBUST ABOUT.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON
6	THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC? OKAY.
7	JUST A QUICK FEW OTHER POINTS IN THE
8	CHAIR'S REPORT. WE, SINCE THE LAST BOARD MEETING,
9	HAD OUR ANNUAL MEETING OF BRIDGE STUDENTS ON THE ONE
10	HAND AND THE SPARK HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS ON THE
11	OTHER. AND AS ANY OF YOU WHO HAVE GONE TO THESE
12	MEETINGS WILL ATTEST, THESE PROGRAMS, IN MY OPINION,
13	ARE AMONGST THE BEST THINGS THAT WE FUND HERE. WE
14	EVERY YEAR HAVE HELPED PRODUCE A NEW GENERATION OF
15	POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS IN THE STEM CELL WORKFORCE
16	THAT ARE REALLY IMPRESSIVE.
17	IF YOU GO AND LISTEN TO THE PRESENTATIONS
18	THEY MAKE THROUGH THEIR POSTERS AND YOU SIT DOWN AND
19	YOU TALK TO THEM, THEY REALLY ARE NOT ONLY
20	TREMENDOUSLY ENTHUSIASTIC, BUT EXTRAORDINARILY
21	BRIGHT. THAT GOES FOR THE COLLEGE STUDENTS IN THE
22	BRIDGE'S PROGRAM, POSTGRADS, BUT ALSO FOR SURE
23	APPLIES TO THE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS WHO EVERY YEAR
24	GO IN TO BEGIN THEIR EIGHT WEEKS HAVING SOME
25	RUDIMENTARY KNOWLEDGE OF STEM CELLS AND COME OUT AT

1	THE END OF SUMMER CONFERENCE SOUNDING LIKE BUDDING
2	PH.D.'S. IT'S REALLY SOMETHING THAT'S IMPRESSIVE.
3	AND I WOULD RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD NEXT YEAR WE'LL
4	LET PEOPLE KNOW BECAUSE THESE MEETINGS TEND TO MOVE
5	AROUND. IF YOU HAVE THEM IN YOUR AREA, YOU REALLY
6	SHOULD GO TO ONE OF THESE BECAUSE YOU'LL JUST BE
7	EXTRAORDINARILY IMPRESSED AND REALLY FEEL GOOD ABOUT
8	THE FUTURE OF THE BUSINESS AND FEEL GOOD ABOUT WHAT
9	CIRM IS ENABLING TOWARDS GETTING THAT WORKFORCE IN
10	PLACE.
11	WE ALSO HAD WHAT WE CALL THE MEETING ON
12	THE MESA DOWN IN LA JOLLA, WHICH IS AN ANNUAL
13	GATHERING OF INDUSTRY. AND IT'S ALWAYS A GOOD
14	BELLWETHER, MUCH AS THE J.P. MORGAN CONFERENCE IS,
15	ABOUT THE STATE OF THE INDUSTRY. THEY'VE HAD IT FOR
16	YEARS NOW AT THE ESTANCIA HOTEL, WHICH A NUMBER OF
17	YOU HAVE STAYED AT PROBABLY, AND THERE WERE SO MANY
18	COMPANIES IN ATTENDANCE AT THIS MEETING, THAT THE
19	BOOTHS WERE NOW OUT IN THE DRIVEWAYS AND THE ROADS
20	LEADING INTO THE HOTEL, AND THEY'VE TOTALLY OUTRUN
21	THE OUTGROWN THE PLACE WHERE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
22	THE CONFERENCE FOR NEXT YEAR. I FORGET, THEY SAID
23	CALABASAS OR SOMETHING, BUT THE ATTENDANCE HERE ON
24	THE INDUSTRY SIDE WAS 25 PERCENT UP FROM LAST YEAR,
25	WHICH IS A HUGE INCREASE. THERE WERE MANY COMPANIES

1	THAT HAVE CONNECTION TO CIRM-FUNDED RESEARCH.
2	DR. MILLAN WHAT WOULD YOU SAY? HOW MANY WERE THERE
3	AS FAR AS YOU COULD TELL?
4	DR. MILLAN: AT LEAST TEN TO 9 A.M.
5	REPRESENTED, EITHER ACADEMIC OR INDUSTRY.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO CIRM IS ALWAYS
7	PROMINENTLY FEATURED IN THESE THINGS.
8	ANOTHER THING, IF YOU HAPPEN TO FIND
9	YOURSELF IN SAN DIEGO IN EARLY OCTOBER, IT'S A GOOD
10	THING TO DROP IN TO SEE. VERY IMPRESSIVE.
11	LASTLY, JUST WANTED TO REPORT LOS ANGELES
12	COUNTY HAS TAKEN A REAL INTEREST IN PROMOTING
13	BIOSCIENCE AND HAD THIS IS THROUGH, IN
14	PARTICULAR, ONE OF THE SUPERVISORS DOWN THERE, MARK
15	RIDLEY THOMAS, AND THEY'VE CONVENED A GROUP CALLED
16	BIOSCIENCE L.A., WHICH IS A MEETING THAT WAS HELD A
17	NUMBER OF WEEKS AGO THAT BROUGHT IN MEMBERS OF
18	INDUSTRY AND ACADEMIA AND FUNDERS ALL WITH SOME SORT
19	OF L.A. COUNTY CONNECTION. EXTREMELY WELL ATTENDED.
20	IT WAS AT LOYOLA MARYMOUNT. AND SUGGESTIVE OF THE
21	RISING LEVEL OF INTEREST AND ENTHUSIASM IN THE
22	FIELD. JOE PANETTA'S GROUP IS PROMINENTLY FEATURED.
23	AND HE, OF COURSE, HAS DONE GREAT WORK IN LEADING
24	INDUSTRY BOTH IN SAN DIEGO AND IN L.A. NOW AND IN
25	THE BAY AREA. AND THIS IS ANOTHER ONE OF THESE

1	THINGS YOU CAN GO TO TO GAUGE WHERE THINGS ARE
2	GOING. VERY INTERESTING.
3	WITH THAT, THAT CONCLUDES MY CHAIRMAN'S
4	REPORT. I WOULD NOW LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO DR.
5	MILLAN FOR THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT.
6	DR. MILLAN: GOOD MORNING, MEMBERS OF THE
7	BOARD AND THE PUBLIC AND COLLEAGUES. I WILL BEGIN
8	WITH THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT ONCE I GET IT ENABLED TO
9	DO SO.
10	MR. TOCHER: CHAIRMAN, IF I COULD, JUST
11	INDICATE FOR THE RECORD THAT MEMBERS PRIETO AND
12	STEWARD JOINED DURING THE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
14	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE
15	READY TO START. SO AS WE BEGIN EVERY MEETING, WE
16	BEGIN WITH OUR MISSION, WHICH CONTINUES TO BE TO
17	ACCELERATE STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS WITH
18	UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS, OR ACTUALLY WE CAN EVEN SHORTEN
19	IT FURTHER TO ACCELERATE CURES TO PATIENTS.
20	BEFORE WE DISCUSS THE PROPOSED BUDGET
21	WE'RE BRINGING TO THE BOARD TODAY, DESCRIBE SOME OF
22	OUR NEW INITIATIVES AND DESCRIBE SOME PROPOSED
23	CONCEPT CHANGES TO SUPPORT OUR UPCOMING ACTIVITIES
24	FOR 2019. I JUST WANTED TO GIVE AN UPDATE ON A VERY
25	BIG PICTURE OVERVIEW ON HOW WE'RE DOING ON THE

1	STRATEGIC PLAN WHICH WE LAUNCHED IN 2016.
2	AS YOU RECALL, WE HAD SIX MAJOR CATEGORIES
3	THAT COMPOSE OUR STRATEGIC PLAN. THE GENERAL
4	PRINCIPLE OF THIS IS TO BUILD A ROBUST PORTFOLIO TO
5	BRING THEM TO THE CLINICS AND TO ACCELERATE
6	DEVELOPMENT. AND SO WHERE WE ARE TODAY IS WE
7	BROUGHT OVER 36 NEW CANDIDATES INTO OUR PIPELINE, WE
8	ARE INCREASING THE PROGRESSION OF THESE PROGRAMS,
9	HAVE SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF PROGRAMS GOING FROM ONE
10	STAGE TO THE NEXT, WHICH IS GREATLY ENABLED BY THE
11	NEW INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH HAD BECOME MORE AND MORE
12	HELPFUL FOR OUR PROGRAMS, INCLUDING THE CLINICAL
13	ADVISORY PANEL AND NOW THE NEWLY LAUNCHED
14	TRANSLATIONAL ADVISORY PANEL, AS WELL AS OUR
15	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS THAT REALLY HELP OUR
16	PROGRAMS OVERCOME BARRIERS AND HELP THEM TO
17	ACCELERATE THE PROGRESSION.
18	IN ADDITION, IN OUR GOAL OF ENACTING A NEW
19	REGULATORY PARADIGM, WE HAVE ONE OF THE LEADERS IN
20	INTERACTIONS WITH THE FDA IN ENACTING THE NEW
21	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ADVANCE THERAPIES, AND WE HAVE
22	FOUR OF THOSE PROGRAMS UNDER WAY.
23	WE NOW HAVE 49 TOTAL CLINICAL TRIALS, 32
24	NEW CLINICAL TRIALS OF THE TARGET 50. AND I WILL
25	GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF AN UPDATE ON WHERE WE ARE WITH

1	THAT LATER.
2	AND ONE OF THE MOST NOTABLE MEASURES OF
3	WHERE WE ARE, WHAT CIRM'S VALUE PROPOSITION IS, AND
4	WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING IN TERMS OF BUILDING AN
5	INDUSTRY, JUST THIS YEAR ALONE APPROXIMATELY \$600
6	MILLION OF ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS HAVE GONE INTO OUR
7	PORTFOLIO PROGRAMS, BRINGING US TO APPROXIMATELY
8	\$2.7 BILLION IN LEVERAGE FUNDING. TWO OF OUR
9	PROGRAMS THAT WE HAD SUPPORTED FROM THE EARLY STAGES
10	AND EARLY RESEARCH AND EVEN IN THE LAB HAVE NOW GONE
11	INTO COMPANIES THAT HAVE RECENTLY SUCCESSFULLY
12	COMPLETED THEIR INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING AND ARE NOW
13	IN THE PUBLIC MARKET TO HELP SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT
14	OF THESE PROGRAMS.
15	SO THESE ARE ALL JUST INDICATIONS THAT OUR
16	MODEL OF ACCELERATION, DERISKING, AND PARTNERSHIP IS
17	WORKING WELL.
18	JUST TO GIVE AN UPDATE OF WHAT FUNDING HAS
19	GONE INTO ACCOMPLISHING THESE ACTIVITIES, JUST
20	SHOWING KIND OF AN OVERVIEW OF WHAT THE EXPENDITURES
21	HAVE BEEN THUS FAR INTO OUR FIVE PILLARS OF
22	INVESTMENT SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE AGENCY. SO
23	OVER \$480 MILLION IN INFRASTRUCTURE. THAT INCLUDES
24	NOT ONLY BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE, BUT PROGRAMS SUCH
25	AS CREATION OF THE IPSC BANK, CREATION OF THE ALPHA

1	CLINICS NETWORK, AND ALSO INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT
2	CLINICAL TRIALS, SUCH AS OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH IQVIA.
3	ALMOST \$900 MILLION INTO DISCOVERY
4	PROGRAMS. AS WE ALL KNOW, GOOD MEDICINE STARTS WITH
5	STRONG SCIENCE. AND SO FROM THE VERY BEGINNING,
6	CIRM'S SIGNATURE HAS ALWAYS BEEN TO SUPPORT TOP
7	TIER, HIGH RISK, BUT HIGH REWARD RESEARCH.
8	\$334 MILLION HAS BEEN INVESTED INTO OUR
9	TRANSLATIONAL PROGRAM. I'D LIKE TO JUST NOTE THAT
10	THE TRANSLATIONAL PIECE OF WHAT CIRM DOES, OF HOW IT
11	PROMOTES TRANSLATION OF DISCOVERIES INTO THE
12	CLINICS, IS SOMETHING THAT IS VERY UNIQUE TO THIS
13	AGENCY. IT IS THE REASON WHY THE NIH WAS ATTRACTED
14	TO US AS A PARTNER IN THE CURE SICKLE CELL
15	INITIATIVE. IT'S SOMETHING THAT'S NOT TAKEN CARE OF
16	BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS EITHER IN INDUSTRY OR
17	NONPROFIT FUNDING AS ROBUSTLY AS IT IS BY CIRM.
18	AND WE HAVE HAD AN INCREASING AMOUNT OF
19	INVESTMENT INTO OUR CLINICAL PROGRAMS BECAUSE, AS
20	YOU KNOW, WE HAVE BEEN CONTINUALLY GROWING OUR
21	CLINICAL PORTFOLIO. IN FACT, SINCE THE BEGINNING OF
22	OUR STRATEGIC PLAN, WE'RE PROJECTED BY THE END OF
23	THIS YEAR TO NEARLY TRIPLE OUR CLINICAL PORTFOLIO
24	FROM WHAT IT WAS BUILT UP OVER THE PREVIOUS 9 A.M.
25	YEARS PRIOR TO LAUNCHING, ACTUALLY 16 YEARS PRIOR TO

1	LAUNCHING THE STRATEGIC PLAN.
2	\$219 MILLION INTO EDUCATION TO BUILD THE
3	WORKFORCE TO START EARLY, AND SENATOR TORRES HAD
4	DESCRIBED SOME OF THOSE PROGRAMS AS WELL AS DR.
5	THOMAS.
6	YOU WILL RECALL THAT NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR
7	AND JANUARY EARLY THIS YEAR WE PRESENTED TO THIS
8	BOARD OUR PLANNED TRANSITION PLAN DURING THIS PHASE
9	IN CIRM WHERE WE ARE EXPENDING THE FINAL DOLLARS OF
10	THE PROPOSITION 71 RESEARCH AND ADMINISTRATIVE
11	FUNDS. AND WE ARE CONTINUING TO DO THAT WITH THREE
12	MAJOR BASIC PRINCIPLES. AND THAT IS THAT WE
13	CONTINUE TO EXECUTE ON OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN.
14	WE THINK IT'S A GOOD PLAN. WE THINK IT'S
15	ACCOMPLISHING WHAT IT SET OUT TO DO AGAIN AS
16	EVIDENCED BY THE SUCCESSES IN BEING ABLE TO MATURE
17	THE PROGRAMS AND ATTRACT INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIP.
18	WE ARE CONTINUING TO SEEK TO RETAIN THE
19	TOP TALENT HERE AT CIRM AND MAINTAIN A CRITICAL
20	PERSONNEL LEVEL. AS WE HAD MENTIONED SEVERAL TIMES,
21	CIRM IS A SPECIALTY ORGANIZATION WITH EXPERTISE IN
22	THIS SPACE, EXPERTISE IN TERMS OF THE DEVELOPMENT,
23	EXPERTISE IN TERMS OF THE VALUE PROPOSITION OF A
24	VERY UNIQUE ACCELERATION MODEL SO WE WISH TO RETAIN
25	THAT PERSONNEL LEVEL THROUGHOUT IN THE ENSUING TIME

1	BETWEEN NOW AND THE 2020 BOND INITIATIVE WHERE WE
2	HOPE THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE THIS WORK.
3	WE ALSO ARE CONTINUING NOT ONLY TO
4	MAINTAIN AND PRESERVE CIRM'S VALUE PROPOSITION, BUT
5	DURING THIS TIME PERIOD, WE'RE CONTINUING TO MAKE
6	IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR SYSTEMS. AND WE'RE, ALONG WITH
7	THE BOARD, WHICH YOU WILL SEE IN A LITTLE BIT, ARE
8	CONTINUING TO KEEP AN EYE ON WHERE IS THE FIELD
9	GOING AND WHERE THE OPPORTUNITIES ARE.
10	SO THIS IS JUST A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CLINICAL
11	PORTFOLIOS. AS YOU CAN SEE, IT IS A VERY DIVERSE
12	PORTFOLIO. FORTY-NINE CLINICAL TRIALS HAVE BEEN
13	FUNDED BY THE AGENCY; APPROXIMATELY 42 OR 43 ARE
14	ACTIVE. THAT IS A HUGE PORTFOLIO BY ANY STRETCH. I
15	WAS AT A RECENT CONFERENCE WITH BIG PHARMA. AND
16	THEIR PORTFOLIOS ARE ABOUT MAYBE EVEN A LITTLE BIT
17	LESS THAN THAT, SO IT'S A VERY ROBUST PORTFOLIO.
18	SO WITH THAT, I'D JUST LIKE TO GIVE AN
19	UPDATE OF WHAT OUR 2018 RESEARCH BUDGET LOOKS LIKE.
20	ON THE SECOND COLUMN, THE 2018 ALLOCATION, YOU WILL
21	SEE WHAT WAS ALLOCATED INTO THE FOUR PROGRAMS: 130
22	MILLION INTO CLINICAL, 30 MILLION INTO TRANSLATION,
23	10 MILLION IN DISCOVERY, AND 750,000 INTO EDUCATION.
24	WE'RE ESTIMATING TO END THE YEAR CLOSE TO BUDGET FOR
25	CLINICAL. WE STILL HAVE A COUPLE OF MONTHS LEFT IN
	22

1	THIS YEAR. WE'RE EXPENDING MOST OF THE TRANSLATION
2	BUDGET, 28 MILLION. AND UP FOR DISCUSSION LATER, WE
3	HAD ALLOCATED \$10 MILLION FOR DISCOVERY, BUT THE
4	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WILL DISCUSS
5	POTENTIALLY ANOTHER \$8 MILLION IN ALLOCATION FOR
6	ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDED DISCOVERY PROGRAMS.
7	SO BY THE END OF 2018, IN COMPARISON TO
8	WHERE WE STARTED THE YEAR IN JANUARY, WE WILL HAVE
9	APPROXIMATELY \$144 MILLION LEFT IN OUR RESEARCH
10	BUCKET. THERE IS AN ESTIMATED APPROXIMATELY \$30
11	MILLION THAT WE EXPECT WILL COME BACK INTO THAT
12	BUCKET. EXCEPT FOR THE EIGHT MILLION THAT IS
13	SUBJECT TO THE MOTION THAT SCOTT TOCHER WILL KIND OF
14	ARTICULATE MUCH BETTER LATER, AN \$8 MILLION
15	POTENTIAL SET ASIDE FROM THIS PROJECTED RETURN WILL
16	BE TO REPLENISH THE EIGHT MILLION THAT THE
17	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WILL BE CONSIDERING
18	LATER.
19	ASIDE FROM THAT, WE'RE JUST PROPOSING A
20	BUDGET BASED ON WHAT IS CASH ON HAND AVAILABLE ON
21	THE RESEARCH BUCKET. SO WITH THE CIRM \$144 MILLION
22	RESEARCH BUDGET AND \$39 MILLION ADMINISTRATION
23	BUDGET, THE TEAM IS PROPOSING FOR 2019 THAT WE HAVE
24	NO DISCOVERY AWARDS ASIDE FROM THOSE THAT ARE
25	APPROVED FOR FUNDING TODAY. WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE

1	BEEN ABLE TO KEEP THE DISC PROGRAM OPEN. WE BELIEVE
2	IT'S CRITICAL. WE BELIEVE IT'S CRUCIAL. WE'RE
3	WORKING HARD TO GET BRIDGE FUNDING FOR THIS BECAUSE
4	IT IS OUR PIPELINE. IT IS WHERE IT ALL STARTS.
5	HOWEVER, GIVEN THE BUDGETARY RESTRICTIONS, WE HAVE
6	ALLOCATED THE REMAINING BUDGET TO TRAN AND CLINICAL
7	PROGRAMS. BUT WITHIN THOSE, AND YOU WILL HEAR A
8	LITTLE BIT MORE LATER WHEN DR. SAMBRANO PRESENTS THE
9	PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT CHANGES, WE ARE PROPOSING A
10	CHANGE IN ELIGIBILITY FOR TRAN AND CLIN1 THAT BRINGS
11	IT EVEN TIGHTER INTO THE MIDDLE OF KIND OF THE CIRM
12	MISSION, WHICH IS TO SUPPORT STEM CELL TREATMENTS.
13	AND IN ADDITION TO THAT, AS PROPOSED BY THE SCIENCE
14	SUBCOMMITTEE, WE'RE ALSO PROPOSING TO INCLUDE, IN
15	ADDITION TO EX VIVO GENE THERAPY, IN VIVO GENE
16	THERAPY AS A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY BASED ON
17	WHERE THE FIELD IS TODAY.
18	I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A MINUTE JUST TO GIVE
19	KIND OF A PERSPECTIVE ON THIS. YOU'VE ALL HEARD
20	ABOUT EVIE WHO HAS SEVERE COMBINED IMMUNO
21	DEFICIENCY, WHICH IS A CELL/GENE THERAPY WHICH HAS
22	LED TO CURES OF EVIE, AND APPROXIMATELY 40 OTHERS
23	HAVE HAD RESPONSES TO THIS TREATMENT. SO IT'S A
24	VERY STRONG PROOF OF CONCEPT FOR THE POWER OF GENE
25	MEDICINE.

1	YOU'VE ALSO HEARD THAT AT THE END OF LAST
2	YEAR, THE THREE FIRST CELL/GENE PRODUCTS WERE
3	APPROVED BY THE FDA, TWO WITH CAR-TS AND ONE FOR A
4	MONOGENIC EYE DISEASE. SO THE IDEA OF GENE MEDICINE
5	IS HERE.
6	NOW, ONE CAN SAY, WELL, ISN'T IT MATURE
7	ENOUGH? WON'T INDUSTRY TAKE IT UP? AND THE ANSWER
8	IS ITS STILL EARLY; AND, NO, CIRM STILL HAS AN
9	IMPORTANT ROLE IN TERMS OF DERISKING.
10	IN ADDITION, MOST OF THESE TARGETS THAT
11	ARE AMENABLE TO GENE MEDICINE ARE RARE DISEASES. SO
12	THEY'RE NOT THE TYPE OF DISEASES THAT PHARMA IS
13	GOING TO GO AFTER. THEY'RE TOO SMALL IN NUMBER, TOO
14	SMALL A MARKET SIZE. BUT WHEN ONE THINKS ABOUT IT,
15	THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 10,000 MONOGENIC DISEASES
16	THAT CAN BE TARGETED WITH GENE THERAPY. AND THE
17	GENETIC ALLIANCE HAS ACTUALLY ESTIMATED 300 MILLION
18	PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD THAT ARE AFFECTED BY 7,000
19	RARE DISEASES. SO IN AGGREGATE THIS IS A HUGE
20	NUMBER, WHICH I THINK CIRM HAS A REALLY IMPORTANT
21	ROLE IN CONTINUING TO PUSH THE SCIENCE FORWARD IN
22	GENE MEDICINE, WHICH IS A NATURAL EXTENSION. IN
23	FACT, THE GENE MEDICINE IS TARGETING CELLS.
24	SO THAT IS JUST KIND OF A CONTEXT. YOU
25	WILL HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT LATER, AND IT

1	WILL BE DISCUSSED, I'M SURE, LATER.
2	AND THEN I JUST WANTED TO GIVE AN UPDATE.
3	SO WHEN WE FIRST LAUNCHED THE STRATEGIC PLAN, WE
4	WENT BOLD AND WE WANTED 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS. AND
5	WE ARE ON PACE FOR THAT. WE FUNDED 32 NEW CLINICAL
6	TRIALS, BRINGING US UP TO A TOTAL OF 49. WHERE WE
7	ARE WITH THE BUDGET, MOST LIKELY WE WILL BE ONLY BE
8	ABLE TO REALLY ACHIEVE ABOUT 43 OR 45 NEW CLINICAL
9	TRIALS, WHICH IS STILL REMARKABLE. BUT IT'S JUST BY
10	WAY OF UPDATE; AND, OF COURSE, IF WE GET NEW FUNDS
11	FLOWING IN AS WELL AS MERITORIOUS PROJECTS, THAT
12	WILL IMPROVE OUR ABILITY TO FUND MORE.
13	BUT ONE, I THINK, ADVANCE IS THIS
14	PARTNERSHIP WITH THE NHLBI FOR SICKLE CELL CURES
15	INITIATIVE IS THAT IT DOES LEVERAGE OUR FUNDS. SO
16	FOR OUR DOLLARS GOING INTO SUPPORTING CLINICAL
17	PROGRAMS TO THIS VERY IMPORTANT TARGET, NIH WILL
18	MATCH THOSE FUNDS. SO APPROXIMATELY FOR EVERY ONE
19	CLINICAL TRIAL THAT WE COULD HAVE FUNDED, WE MAY BE
20	ABLE TO FUND TWO OR MORE. SO THAT'S VERY, VERY
21	EXCITING FOR US.
22	AND THEN ONE OF THE THINGS I JUST WANTED
23	TO BRING UP, AND GABE THOMPSON WILL GIVE MORE OF AN
24	OVERVIEW OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO WORK WITH NHLBI ON
25	THIS INITIATIVE, IS I THINK EVERYBODY HAS A LOT OF

1	ENTHUSIASM FOR THIS FOR A REASON. SICKLE CELL
2	DISEASE IS SOMETHING WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO CURE GIVEN
3	THE ADVANCEMENTS THAT WE'VE HAD IN GENE MEDICINE AND
4	CELL THERAPY. AND SO WE ARE PLEASED THAT THE NIH
5	RECOGNIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF PARTNERING WITH US, AND
6	WE'RE REALLY EXCITED TO LAUNCH THIS INITIATIVE.
7	SO FAR WITH THE REMAINING ADMINISTRATIVE
8	BUDGET AND OUR PLANNING, WE DO BELIEVE WE WILL BE
9	ABLE TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT STAFFING TO MANAGE ALL
10	CIRM AWARDS THAT ARE GIVEN OUT WITH THE REMAINING
11	BUDGET.
12	SO WITH THAT BACKGROUND, WE'RE PROPOSING
13	THE RESEARCH BUDGET ALLOCATION FOR 2019 AS SHOWN
14	HERE: \$93 MILLION FOR CLIN1 AND CLIN2 AWARDS, WITH
15	A SET ASIDE FOR \$30 MILLION FOR THE SICKLE CELL
16	JOINT INITIATIVE WITH THE NIH, NHLBI, \$20 MILLION
17	FOR TRAN, AND \$600,000 FOR THE EDUCATION BUDGET TO
18	FUND ALREADY COMMITTED ACTIVITIES IN OUR EDUCATIONAL
19	PROGRAMS AND OUR ALPHA CLINICS.
20	IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS, I DON'T KNOW,
21	MR. TOCHER, IF WE TAKE A MOTION HERE. OR, MR.
22	SHEEHY, IF YOU'D LIKE TO HAVE A DISCUSSION FIRST
23	ABOUT OTHER MATTERS BEFORE.
24	MR. SHEEHY: WELL, IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO
25	TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE EIGHT MILLION, WHICH WE

1	HAD A VERY GOOD TRANSLATION ROUND IN WHICH WE
2	BASICALLY HAD DOUBLED THE NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT WE
3	HAD FUNDING FOR. AND I THINK THAT THEY SCORED VERY
4	WELL AND WAS REALLY A CHALLENGE FOR US TO BE ABLE TO
5	DECIDE WHICH ONES TO MOVE FORWARD AND WHICH ONES NOT
6	TO.
7	AND SO THE DECISION WAS TAKEN AT THE LAST
8	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO APPROVE THOSE
9	PROGRAMS CONTINGENT ON THE LARGER BOARD APPROVING
10	ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO PAY FOR THOSE PROJECTS, WHICH
11	IS ABOUT \$8 MILLION. THAT WOULD LEAD I DON'T
12	THINK THERE WAS A PLAN ANYWAY TO DO ANOTHER
13	DISCOVERY ROUND. THIS WAS A QUEST ROUND. I'M
14	SORRY.
15	DR. MILLAN: SO THIS WAS THE QUEST ROUND.
16	MR. SHEEHY: THEY'RE VERY CLOSE ACTUALLY
17	IN HOW THEY STACK UP. THERE'S REALLY SOME
18	OUTSTANDING SCIENCE. IT WAS HARD FOR ME BECAUSE
19	THERE WERE A COUPLE OF PROJECTS THAT GOT LEFT AT THE
20	GATE THAT WERE PLURIPOTENT CELL PRODUCTS THAT ARE
21	REALLY CENTRAL TO OUR MISSION. AND, AGAIN, THE
22	SCIENCE WAS OUTSTANDING. SO WE MADE THAT DECISION.
23	I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ONE OF OUR
24	MEMBERS WASN'T THERE WHO WAS NOT THAT KEEN ON IT. I
25	DON'T KNOW IF HE HAS A COMMENT ON THAT, BUT I WOULD

1	HOPE THAT WE COULD FUND THOSE PROJECTS BECAUSE THEY
2	ARE VERY, VERY GOOD PROJECTS. AND IT WAS SOMEWHAT
3	ARBITRARY HOW WE SEPARATED OUT WHICH ONES WE FUNDED
4	AND WHICH ONES WE DIDN'T, WHICH IS KIND OF WHAT
5	HAPPENS WHEN YOU'RE AT A POINT WHERE WE'RE AT A
6	POINT, AND WE KIND OF DISCUSSED THIS IN THE PAST,
7	WHERE WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO FUND ALL THE
8	GOOD SCIENCE THAT COMES TO US UNFORTUNATELY AS WE
9	GET TO THE END OF OUR FUNDING.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. SENATOR.
11	MR. TORRES: TWO THINGS. NO. 1, THANK
12	YOU, JEFF, FOR THE WAY YOU HANDLED THAT MEETING. I
13	THOUGHT IT WAS VERY WELL DONE AND VERY SENSITIVE TO
14	WHAT WE NEED TO DO WITH THOSE PROJECTS.
15	AND SECONDLY TO MARIA FOR YOU AND YOUR
16	STAFF'S EFFORT IN TALKING WITH THE NIH AND MOVING US
17	IN THAT DIRECTION. I KNOW BOTH PARTIES CAME TO THE
18	TABLE WITH EQUAL ADMIRATION, BUT IT TAKES A
19	HERCULEAN EFFORT, AS WE ALL KNOW, TO DEAL WITH THE
20	NIH, THOSE WHO HAVE DONE SO IN THE PAST. AND FOR US
21	TO HAVE A PARTNERSHIP WITH THEM, I THINK, IS VERY,
22	VERY INSTRUCTIVE.
23	I ALSO SPOKE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON WITH
24	CONGRESSWOMAN BARBARA LEE, A FORMER COLLEAGUE OF
25	MINE IN THE LEGISLATURE, AND NOW A SIGNIFICANT

1	MEMBER OF THE CONGRESS, WHO REGULARLY TAKES HER
2	MEMBERS TO UCLA TO VISIT THE SICKLE CELL LAB THERE
3	FROM THE BLACK CONGRESSIONAL CAUCUS. AND I MIGHT
4	ADD ALSO THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF LATINOS IN THIS
5	COUNTRY WHO SUFFER FROM SICKLE CELL AS WELL, SO IT'S
6	BOTH A LATINO AND AFRICAN-AMERICAN DISEASE, FOR THAT
7	MATTER. BUT SHE IS SO HAPPY AND SUPPORTIVE OF THE
8	30 MILLION THAT WE'RE GOING TO HOPEFULLY VOTE AND
9	APPROVE TODAY. AND I JUST WANTED TO PASS THAT ALONG
10	AND ALSO TO PASS ON HER PERSONAL REGARDS TO HER
11	HERO, BERT LUBIN.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT
13	THE BUDGET AS PRESENTED BY DR. MILLAN?
14	DR. MARTIN: I HAVE A SIMPLE QUESTION.
15	THE EDUCATIONAL BUDGET, IS THAT EDUCATION OF THE TWO
16	PROGRAMS YOU JUST DESCRIBED FOR HIGH SCHOOL AND
17	COLLEGE?
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
19	DR. MILLAN: AND ALPHA CLINICS. WE HAVE
20	AN ANNUAL SYMPOSIUM THAT THE ALPHA CLINICS HOSTS
21	EVERY YEAR.
22	DR. MARTIN: JUST A COMMENT ON THOSE
23	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. ONE OF THE WAYS TO CONVINCE
24	THE POPULATION, THE ADULTS THAT VOTE, THAT MANY
25	YEARS AGO THAT BIOTECHNOLOGY WASN'T DANGEROUS AND

1	THEY SHOULD NOT BE AFRAID OF IT, WE AT GENENTECH
2	FUNDED PROGRAMS FOR HIGH SCHOOLS TO ACTUALLY SUPPORT
3	LABORATORY ACTIVITY FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS.
4	AND THE IDEA WAS THAT WHEN THEIR PARENTS
5	WERE LISTENING TO NEGATIVE THINGS IN THE MEDIA ABOUT
6	FRANKENSTEIN SCIENCE, ET CETERA, THESE KIDS COULD
7	SPEAK UP AND SAY, WAIT A MINUTE. I DID THAT TODAY.
8	IT'S NOT SCARY. I KNOW ABOUT IT. AND THAT WAS AN
9	EARLY ATTEMPT AT GENENTECH, AND I PAID FOR IT OUT OF
10	AN R&D BUDGET. WE STARTED WITH SAN FRANCISCO STATE
11	TRAINING, ET CETERA, OF HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS, AND
12	THEN WE HAD VANS THAT WENT AROUND TO THE HIGH
13	SCHOOLS WHERE THE TEACHERS HAD BEEN TRAINED. AND IT
14	HAD AN ENORMOUS IMPACT THAT WAS DIFFICULT TO
15	MEASURE. BUT I THINK THIS IS ANOTHER SITUATION IN
16	WHICH, WITHOUT VIOLATING THE ISSUE OF PROMOTING
17	SOMETHING, IF WE ARE EDUCATING THE YOUNGSTERS ABOUT
18	THE VALUE OF STEM CELLS, I THINK IT IS PART OF THAT
19	MOMENTUM THAT WE REALLY NEED TO GAIN WITHIN THE
20	POPULATION. AND SO I WOULD CERTAINLY ENCOURAGE
21	PUSHING VERY HARD THOSE PROGRAMS FOR THAT PURPOSE,
22	IF NONE OTHER.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. MARTIN.
24	I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH YOU. SENATOR TORRES WAS
25	INSTRUMENTAL IN PARTICULARLY THE BRIDGES PROGRAM WAY

1	BACK WHEN. AND I THINK THERE'S VERY LITTLE WE CAN
2	DO THAT'S MORE VALUABLE THAN EDUCATING. SO THANK
3	YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS. AND DR. SAMBRANO.
4	MR. TORRES: AND DR. OLSON.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: BASICALLY EVERYBODY.
6	CONGRATULATIONS TO EVERYBODY.
7	SO DO WE HEAR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE
8	BUDGET?
9	MR. TORRES: MOVE IT.
10	DR. BURTIS: SECOND.
11	MR. TOCHER: IF I COULD JUST PROVIDE A BIT
12	OF DETAIL TO YOUR MOTION, SENATOR. THERE'S SORT OF
13	THREE PARTS ENCOMPASSED IN THIS.
14	MR. TORRES: THAT WAS PART OF THE MOTION.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD WILL
16	APPROVE OF SOMETHING WITH THREE PARTS.
17	MR. TORRES: TO A CERTAIN LIMIT.
18	MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION IS TO APPROVE THE
19	BUDGET AS PROPOSED, TO CONDITIONALLY ALLOCATE UP TO
20	\$8 MILLION FROM THE CLIN1 AND 2 PORTION OF THE
21	BUDGET TO MAKE THAT AVAILABLE TO THE APPLICATION
22	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO CONSIDER FOR PENDING QUEST
23	APPLICATIONS. AND, THIRD, TO BACKFILL ANY AMOUNT
24	THAT'S USED FROM THE 2019 RECOVERY OF FUNDS BACK
25	INTO THE CLIN1 AND 2 BUDGET.
	13

1	MR. TORRES: THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I MEANT.
2	MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: VERY ELOQUENTLY SPOKEN,
4	MR. TOCHER.
5	YES. THE MOTION THAT CAME OUT OF THE
6	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE WAS ABOUT TWO PAGES LONG. SO
7	HE DISTILLED IT NICELY INTO CONCISE PROSE.
8	DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION BY MEMBERS OF THE
9	BOARD? DISCUSSION, MEMBERS, EITHER IN THE ROOM OR
10	ON THE PHONE? HEARING NONE, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
11	CALL THE ROLL? EXCUSE ME. PUBLIC COMMENT. MY
12	FAULT. MY BAD. DON REED.
13	MR. REED: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I
14	UNDERSTAND. DOES THAT MEAN THAT THERE WERE FIVE
15	OTHER PROJECTS THAT WERE SUGGESTED IN THE DISCOVERY,
16	THAT THEIR FUNDING IS BEING INCLUDED IN THIS?
17	MR. TOCHER: NO.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, IT IS TEEING THAT
19	UP. THE ACTUAL VOTE ON THOSE PROJECTS IS LATER IN
20	THE AGENDA.
21	MR. REED: THANK YOU.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS
23	ON THE BUDGET? OKAY. MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL
24	THE ROLL.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: AND FOR REFERENCE, THIS
	44

		<u> </u>
1	IS AGENDA	ITEM NO. 8.
2		GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
3		DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.
4		MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.
5		DR. BURTIS: YES.
6		MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. DAVID
7	BRENNER.	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
8		DR. DULIEGE: YES.
9		MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
10		DR. GASSON: YES.
11		MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
12		DR. HIGGINS: YES.
13		MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
14		MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
15		MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
16		DR. MALKAS: YES.
17		MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.
18		DR. LUBIN: YES.
19		MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
20		DR. MARTIN: YES.
21		MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
22		MS. MILLER: YES.
23		MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
24		DR. PADILLA: YES.
25		MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
		45

	,
1	PRIETO.
2	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
4	ROWLETT.
5	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.
7	DR. SANDMEYER: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
9	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
11	DR. STEWARD: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
15	MR. TORRES: AYE.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
17	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA. ON
20	TO ITEM NO. 9, UPDATE WE'VE ALREADY HEARD A BIT
21	ABOUT THIS, BUT, MARIA, DO YOU WANT TO TALK MORE
22	UPDATE ON THE FUNDING PROGRAM WITH NHLBI AND CIRM RE
23	SICKLE CELL?
24	DR. MILLAN: I JUST WANT TO INTRODUCE GABE
25	THOMPSON, WHO WILL BE DESCRIBING THE MOU WITH THE
	46

1	NHLBI. JUST BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, SICKLE CELL
2	DISEASE AFFECTS APPROXIMATELY 100,000 AMERICANS, BUT
3	IT AFFECTS MILLIONS WORLDWIDE. SO ALTHOUGH IT'S A
4	RARE DISEASE IN THE U.S., IT'S NOT SO RARE IN OTHER
5	PARTS OF THE WORLD. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT.
6	ANOTHER THING ABOUT IT IS THAT IT AFFLICTS
7	THE YOUNG. IT LEADS TO EXTENSIVE HOSPITALIZATION
8	AND MORBIDITY AND RESULTS IN EARLY DEATH AND WITH
9	LIFE SPANS IN THE 40S TO 50S. SO THIS IS A VERY
10	IMPORTANT DISEASE TO GO AFTER, AND WE'RE VERY
11	PLEASED FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. GABE
12	THOMPSON.
13	MR. THOMPSON: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, CIRM
14	TEAM, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, I'M GABRIEL
15	THOMPSON, VICE PRESIDENT OF GRANTS AND OPERATIONS AT
16	CIRM. AS MARIA MENTIONED, I'M GOING TO BRIEFLY
17	DESCRIBE THE COFUNDING INITIATIVE THAT WE HAVE WITH
18	NHLBI FOR THE CURE OF SICKLE CELL PROGRAM.
19	SO AS YOU MAY HAVE KNOWN, WE SIGNED AN MOU
20	AT THE END OF JUNE WITH THE NHLBI THAT OUTLINES THE
21	COFUNDING INITIATIVE WHOSE PURPOSE IS REALLY TO
22	ACCELERATE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCESSIBLE CURES
23	FOR SICKLE CELL DISEASE WITHIN FIVE TO TEN YEARS.
24	SO HIGHLIGHTING ACCELERATE THERE BECAUSE
25	THAT REALLY CREATES THE MOTIVATION THAT NHLBI HAD TO

1	PARTNER WITH US. AND SO THERE'S AN ALIGNMENT OF
2	PURPOSE HERE.
3	JUST A FEW HIGHLIGHTS OF THE PROGRAM. SO
4	WHAT NHLBI WILL BE DOING IS PROVIDING CIRM FUNDS IN
5	OUR CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAM. SO THAT INCLUDES THE
6	CLIN1 IND-ENABLING PROJECTS, THE CLIN2 CLINICAL
7	TRIAL PROJECTS, AND THE CLIN3 ACCELERATING
8	SUPPLEMENTAL ACTIVITY PROGRAM.
9	SO UNLIKE SOME OTHER COFUNDING INITIATIVES
10	THIS AGENCY HAS HAD IN THE PAST, THIS ONE IS UNIQUE
11	IN THAT THE FUNDS WILL BE PROVIDED TO CIRM AND THE
12	APPLICANTS WILL HAVE ONE PLACE TO GO. THEY WILL
13	APPLY TO CIRM VIA CIRM'S APPLICATION PROCESS AND
14	UNDER OUR PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS. THE APPLICATION
15	WILL GO UNDER ONE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW, WHICH IS OUR
16	GRANTS WORKING GROUP. AND THEN IF THE APPLICATION
17	IS SUCCESSFUL, IT WOULD BE MANAGED BY CIRM, ONE
18	AWARD TO BE MANAGED BY CIRM WITH US SHARING
19	INFORMATION AND MONITORING OF THOSE PROJECTS WITH
20	THE NHLBI.
21	SO A LOT OF BENEFITS TO THE APPLICANT WHO
22	DOESN'T HAVE TO APPLY TO TWO DIFFERENT AGENCIES, GO
23	UNDER TWO DIFFERENT SCIENTIFIC REVIEWS. OBVIOUSLY
24	IT PROVIDES LEVERAGED FUNDING FOR THIS AGENCY SO
25	THAT WE CAN FUND MORE PROJECTS THAN WE COULD IF WE

1	WERE FUNDING ALONE. AND THEN THERE IS A DATA
2	SHARING ELEMENT AS WELL IN THE INITIATIVE THAT IS
3	BEING WORKED OUT THAT WILL HELP ACTUALLY MOVE THIS
4	WHOLE FIELD FORWARD.
5	SO A COUPLE CHANGES THAT WE NEED TO MAKE
6	IN ORDER TO SUPPORT THIS INITIATIVE. SO I WANT TO
7	FIRST SAY THAT WE ATTEMPTED TO TRY TO KEEP THIS AS
8	SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE AND TO MAKE AS LITTLE CHANGES TO
9	OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM TO SUPPORT THIS INITIATIVE, BUT
10	HERE ARE THE FEW ITEMS WE DO WANT TO CHANGE THAT
11	WILL ALSO BE HIGHLIGHTED BY MY COLLEAGUE GIL
12	SAMBRANO LATER IN THE CONCEPT PLAN CHANGES.
13	BUT TO GO OVER THESE, WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO
14	INFORM APPLICANTS THAT THEIR APPLICATION MATERIALS
15	WILL BE SHARED WITH NHLBI REPRESENTATIVES. THE
16	COFUNDED AWARDEES ARE GOING TO BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY
17	WITH NHLBI'S DATA SAFETY AND MONITORING AS WELL AS
18	THEIR DATA SHARING POLICIES.
19	THIS INITIATIVE IS UNIQUE IN THAT IT ALSO
20	WILL ALLOW NON-CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATIONS TO APPLY WHO
21	ARE REQUESTING THEIR CIRM UNALLOWABLE COSTS TO BE
22	COVERED BY NHLBI FUNDS. NORMALLY WE WOULD ASK THOSE
23	FOLKS TO COME WITH THEIR OWN FUNDING TO COVER THE
24	THINGS CIRM CAN'T FUND; BUT UNDER THIS INITIATIVE,
25	BECAUSE WE HAVE THE NHLBI DOLLARS, WE WILL ALLOW

1	THESE FOLKS TO KIND OF CONCURRENTLY APPLY THOSE
2	NHLBI FUNDS TO THE THINGS CIRM CAN'T FUND.
3	AND THEN FINALLY, WE WILL REQUIRE ALL
4	SICKLE CELL APPLICATIONS TO SUBMIT UNDER THIS
5	REVISED PROGRAM.
6	AS FAR AS THE PROCESS, SO, AGAIN, WE TRY
7	TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DIDN'T CHANGE OUR PROCESS TOO
8	MUCH. HERE, AND GIVEN THAT IT IS AN ACCELERATING
9	PROCESS, AND WE ARE GOING TO MAINTAIN THE PROCESSING
10	TIMES TO PROCESS CLIN APPLICATIONS IN AS LITTLE AS
11	80 TO 180 DAYS, APPLICATIONS COME IN UNDER THE
12	PROGRAM AND UNDERGO THE NORMAL ELIGIBILITY CHECK IN
13	THE FIRST MONTH, AND NHLBI WILL BE GIVEN ACCESS TO
14	THOSE APPLICATIONS VIA OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.
15	IF THE APPLICATIONS PASS ELIGIBILITY, THEY'LL GO TO
16	THE GWG PEER REVIEW GROUP, IN WHICH NHLBI CAN
17	PARTICIPATE IN THAT MEETING, AND THEN, MOST
18	IMPORTANTLY, THE ONLY NEW STEP HERE WOULD BE THAT
19	NHLBI WITHIN TEN DAYS OF OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP
20	WOULD MAKE A FUNDING DECISION THAT INCLUDED WHETHER
21	THEY WANT TO FUND AND AT WHAT LEVEL WITHIN TEN DAYS
22	OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. SO THAT DECISION,
23	ALONG WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS
24	WORKING GROUP, WILL COME TO THIS BOARD OR THE
25	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO MAKE A FINAL
	50

1	FUNDING DECISION.
2	AND SO WE THINK WE CAN MAINTAIN OUR
3	PROCESSING TIMES AND STILL KEEP THE TRAINS MOVING.
4	FINALLY, ON THE AWARD MANAGEMENT SIDE,
5	JUST A COUPLE THINGS TO MENTION IS THE NHLBI FUNDS
6	ARE COMING TO CIRM VIA WHAT WE'RE LEARNING IS CALLED
7	THE OTHER TRANSACTIONAL AUTHORITY IN FEDERAL
8	GOVERNMENT PARLANCE WHICH ALLOWS THE FUNDS TO COME
9	TO US WITHOUT THE NORMAL NIH GRANTS POLICY
10	REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS, AND SO THE FUNDS WILL
11	GENERALLY BE REGULATED BY CIRM REGULATIONS.
12	CIRM WILL ISSUE A SINGLE NOTICE OF AWARD
13	FOR BOTH THE CIRM AND NHLBI FUNDS, WILL UNDERGO OUR
14	NORMAL PROGRESS AND FINANCIAL REPORTING
15	REQUIREMENTS, AND SHARE THAT INFORMATION WITH NHLBI
16	AGAIN VIA OUR GRANTS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. NHLBI
17	REPRESENTATIVES WILL BE APPOINTED TO THE CLINICAL
18	ADVISORY PANELS THAT CIRM SETS UP IN ORDER TO HELP
19	US WITH EXPERTISE AND HELP PROJECTS DEALING WITH
20	BOTTLENECKS AND HELPING THEM MOVE FORWARD. AND
21	THEN, IMPORTANTLY, CIRM RETAINS THE ABILITY TO
22	SUSPEND OR TERMINATE THE AWARD. WE WOULD OBVIOUSLY
23	WORK WITH NHLBI IF WE NEEDED TO GO DOWN THAT ROAD,
24	BUT CIRM WILL RETAIN THAT ABILITY.
25	AND SO THAT KIND OF PRESENTS THE OVERVIEW.

1	WE ARE WORKING TOWARD BRINGING THIS PROGRAM ONLINE
2	BY THE END OF NOVEMBER AND HOPEFULLY STARTING TO
3	ACCEPT APPLICATIONS STARTING DECEMBER 31ST. SO THAT
4	IS MY OVERVIEW. SO I'LL TAKE ANY QUESTIONS YOU
5	HAVE.
6	DR. LUBIN: SO I WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU
7	AGAIN, MARIA, IN GETTING THIS TOGETHER. I DON'T
8	KNOW THAT THE NIH HAS EVER DONE THIS BEFORE. I
9	SUSPECT THIS IS UNIQUE, AND HOPEFULLY WILL GET A LOT
10	OF PR DISCUSSIONS RELATED TO THIS.
11	SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S UNIQUE ABOUT
12	CALIFORNIA IS WE STARTED NEWBORN SCREENING FOR
13	SICKLE CELL IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. WE ACTUALLY
14	STARTED AT THE BATES HOSPITAL. AND THAT NOW IS
15	THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES. SO WE KNOW AT THE
16	BIRTH OF A CHILD WHETHER THEY HAVE SICKLE CELL. AND
17	IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO A STEM CELL THERAPY OR A GENE
18	THERAPY, DOING IT ON A SMALL CHILD ACTUALLY HAS
19	BETTER OUTCOME THAN WAITING TILL LATER, TILL
20	COMPLICATIONS ALREADY START. SO THAT'S ANOTHER
21	INCENTIVE TO SORT OF KEEP IN MIND.
22	I'M CURIOUS HOW MANY APPLICATIONS YOU
23	THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GET A YEAR OR SEVERAL MONTHS,
24	OR IF IT'S EVERYONE IN THE UNITED STATES IS APPLYING
25	TO NIH? ARE YOU ANTICIPATING HUNDREDS? ARE YOU

1	ANTICIPATING TEN?
2	DR. MILLAN: SO WHAT WE AS PART OF THIS
3	EXERCISE, THE TEAM WENT THROUGH AN EXERCISE WITH THE
4	NHLBI IN TERMS OF JUST CHARTING OUT WHO WE KNOW ARE
5	PROSPECTS BASED ON WHO'S ALREADY IN OUR PIPELINE AND
6	POTENTIAL
7	DR. LUBIN: THAT'S FOR CALIFORNIA.
8	DR. MILLAN: NO, JUST IN GENERAL. THERE
9	ARE NOT A HUGE AMOUNT OF FOLKS OUT THERE, BUT WE
10	BELIEVE THAT IN TERMS OF THOSE WHO MAY BE READY TO
11	COME IN FOR THE CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAMS,
12	APPROXIMATELY SIX OR SO IN THE NEAR TERM. SO WHEN
13	WE PROPOSE THIS BUDGET SET ASIDE, IT IS IN
14	ANTICIPATION OF THAT SHARING THE COST FOR
15	APPROXIMATELY SIX PROGRAMS OVER THE SPAN OF A YEAR,
16	YEAR AND A HALF, OR TWO.
17	IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THERE MAY BE MORE THAT
18	START TO COME OUT OF THE WOODWORK ONCE WE GET THIS
19	ROLLING, BUT WE BELIEVE WE HAVE CAPTURED THE VISIBLE
20	ONES. AND THESE ARE THE ONES WHERE WE'VE ALREADY
21	HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM, HAVE ALREADY BEEN WORKING
22	WITH THEM EITHER BECAUSE THEY'RE ACTIVE GRANTEES
23	READY TO MOVE TO THE NEXT STAGE, OR THEY'RE
24	INTERESTED PARTIES WHO SEE A VALUE IN PARTNERING
25	WITH CIRM AND NOW WITH CIRM AND THE NIH.

1	DR. LUBIN: I WAS THINKING OF PEOPLE THAT
2	ARE NOT IN CALIFORNIA THAT ARE DOING STEM CELL
3	RESEARCH RELATED TO SICKLE CELL. DOES THAT INCLUDE
4	THAT?
5	DR. MILLAN: THAT INCLUDES THAT. THERE
6	MAY BE MORE, BUT WE WERE JUST LOOKING AT NEAR TERM
7	BECAUSE WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT WE DID IS
8	PLAN TO HAVE A BUDGET IN PLACE SO THAT ONCE THEY
9	COME IN, WE'RE READY TO GO BECAUSE THAT'S THE WHOLE
10	POINT OF ACCELERATION. AND IT WOULD BE A SHAME TO
11	HOLD THINGS UP AND HAVE PEOPLE PLAN FOR IT AND NOT
12	HAVE IT READY TO GO.
13	DR. LUBIN: THAT'S WONDERFUL. THE OTHER
14	THING IS THE NIH, AS YOU KNOW, MANY OF US KNOW,
15	SENDS OUT ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NEW PROGRAMS, OF NEW
16	ACTIVITIES. DID THEY PREPARE A PUBLIC DOCUMENT
17	THAT'S GOING TO GO OUT ABOUT THIS NEW RELATIONSHIP
18	TO ALL INVESTIGATORS INTERESTED IN THIS AREA AND NOT
19	TO WORRY THAT IT'S GOING TO SLOW THINGS DOWN. IN
20	FACT, IT'S GOING TO MAKE THINGS FASTER. I THINK
21	IT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY EVEN WITH OUR DON'T WANT
22	TO USE THE WORD "CAMPAIGN," BUT REALLY EVEN AS PART
23	OF THAT. I THINK IT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY.
24	DR. MILLAN: OUR COMMUNICATIONS GROUP HAD
25	WORKED WITH NIH ON A JOINT PRESS RELEASE. IN
	E 4

1	ADDITION, OUR TEAMS WILL WORK TOGETHER IN TERMS OF
2	COORDINATING THE PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT SO THAT THEY
3	CAN SEAMLESSLY BE DIVERTED INTO THIS PROGRAM
4	ANNOUNCEMENT IF THEY'RE CELL AND GENE THERAPY
5	BECAUSE THE CURE SICKLE CELL PROGRAM ENCOMPASSES
6	OTHER APPROACHES AS WELL, BUT WE ARE THE SPECIALTY
7	SHOP FOR CELL/GENE THERAPY. SO WHAT WE'RE WORKING
8	WITH THEM ON IS STREAMLINING THAT TO HANDLE IT
9	THROUGH THIS MECHANISM.
10	DR. LUBIN: NICE FOR THE BOARD. IT WOULD
11	BE GREAT IF THE BOARD GETS A CHANCE TO SEE WHATEVER
12	THAT PR DOCUMENT IS.
13	DR. MILLAN: WE'LL DEFINITELY SEND IT ALL
14	AROUND.
15	DR. LUBIN: THANKS.
16	DR. PRIETO: YES, I HAD A QUESTION. IF
17	THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WERE TO SUCCEED IN IMPOSING
18	NEW RESTRICTIONS ON STEM CELL RESEARCH FUNDING OR
19	CUTS TO NIH FUNDING, COULD THAT IMPACT THIS
20	INITIATIVE?
21	MR. THOMPSON: I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO
22	THAT. WE HAVE ASKED THEM IF THOSE THINGS WOULD
23	ANY KIND OF DECISION LIKE THAT WOULD IMPACT THIS
24	PROGRAM, AND WE HAVEN'T BEEN TOLD THAT IT WOULD.
25	BUT OBVIOUSLY IT DEPENDS ON WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF

1	THERE'S NEW LEGISLATION.
2	DR. MILLAN: WE HAD CONVERSATIONS ABOUT
3	THE BUDGET. THIS IS A FIVE-YEAR MOU. THEIR BUDGET,
4	THE NHLBI BUDGET IS A LITTLE BIT OVER \$3 BILLION FOR
5	THIS. AND I THINK ONE OF THE ADVANTAGES OF THE
6	OTHER TRANSACTION AUTHORITY IS THE WAY THEY CAN
7	BUDGET AND MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
8	WILL BE ACCESSIBLE FOR THIS INITIATIVE. BUT WE
9	DON'T HAVE ANY INDICATIONS FROM THEM THAT THEY THINK
10	THIS IS GOING TO BE AT RISK GIVEN THE TIME FRAME
11	THAT WE HAVE SET OUT AND GIVEN THE BUDGET THAT THEY
12	HAVE ACCESS TO. SO
13	THERE'S NEVER ANY GUARANTEES. SOMEBODY
14	COULD JUST CUT OFF ALL FUNDING TOMORROW. BUT SHORT
15	OF THAT, I THINK THEY FEEL PRETTY CONFIDENT THAT
16	THEY'LL BE ABLE TO EXECUTE ON THIS.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD ADD TO THAT. I
18	BELIEVE THE SUGGESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN FLOATED AS TO
19	WHAT SORT OF MEASURES THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD TAKE
20	WITH RESPECT TO NIH MAY NOT IMPACT THE SUBJECT
21	MATTER OF THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF RESEARCH. I THINK
22	IT WOULD BE LIMITED, ALTHOUGH WHO KNOWS. AS DR.
23	MILLAN SAYS, YOU JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO
24	HAPPEN.
25	DR. DULIEGE: WHAT WOULD YOU ANTICIPATE

1	WILL BE THE AMOUNT OF COFUNDING THAT WILL COME FROM
2	THE NIH AS PART OF THIS PROGRAM?
3	DR. MILLAN: SO IN ROUGH FIGURES, WHAT
4	WE'RE HOPING FOR IS THEY SUPPORT CLOSE TO AT LEAST
5	HALF OF WHAT THE CIRM EXPOSURE WOULD BE. AND WHAT
6	WE'RE WORKING ON RIGHT NOW IS KIND OF FINALIZING
7	WHAT THOSE CONTRACTS WILL LOOK LIKE. SO THEY LOOKED
8	AT THAT AND THOUGHT IT WAS REASONABLE. BUT, OF
9	COURSE, THEY COULDN'T REALLY WE'RE WORKING A
10	PRETTY SHORT TIME FRAME HERE. THEY COULDN'T REALLY
11	COMMIT TO A DOLLAR AMOUNT AT THIS POINT, BUT THE
12	SPIRIT OF IT IS THAT THEY KNOW THEY'LL SHARE WITH
13	THE CIRM COSTS; BUT, IN ADDITION, THEY WOULD ALSO
14	PROBABLY COVER SOME OF THE APPLICANT'S ADDITIONAL
15	COSTS THAT CIRM WOULDN'T FUND. SO, FOR INSTANCE,
16	THEIR EXPOSURE COULD BE A LOT HIGHER FOR
17	NON-CALIFORNIA APPLICANTS BECAUSE THEY'D SHARE IN
18	OUR COSTS, WHICH FOR THE CALIFORNIA APPLICANTS WOULD
19	BE MORE, BUT THEN IN ADDITION, THEY'D COVER THE
20	NON-CALIFORNIA COSTS.
21	DR. MALKAS: MARIA, ONE OTHER QUESTION.
22	THE PROGRAM HERE IS VERY MILESTONE DRIVEN, AND
23	YOU'RE NOT AFRAID TO PULL BACK FUNDS. IS THAT GOING
24	TO BE INCORPORATED INTO THAT PROGRAM, INTO THIS
25	PROGRAM AS WELL?

1	MR. THOMPSON: YES, ABSOLUTELY.
2	DR. MILLAN: IN FACT, THE WHOLE SYSTEM,
3	THE WHOLE FUNDING MODEL IS SOMETHING THAT ATTRACTED
4	THEM. AND ALSO, BY THE WAY, JUST KUDOS TO OUR I.T.
5	DEPARTMENT, GMS, THE GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. THEY
6	SAID IT WOULD TAKE THEM TEN YEARS TO BUILD SOMETHING
7	LIKE THAT TO EVEN GET THIS STARTED TO SUPPORT SUCH
8	AN ACCELERATION MODEL. AND MEANWHILE WE HAVE A
9	FIVE- TO TEN-YEAR CURE INITIATIVE. THAT WAS ANOTHER
10	KIND OF TECHNICAL ASPECT. AND JUST THE WAY THAT
11	SCOTT TOCHER, GABE, AND GIL WERE THERE IN TERMS OF
12	HOW THEY CAN ACCESS THE INFORMATION AND STILL BE IN
13	COMPLIANCE, THAT WAS VERY ATTRACTIVE TO THEM.
14	DR. JUELSGAARD: A HYPOTHETICAL. SO AS I
14 15	DR. JUELSGAARD: A HYPOTHETICAL. SO AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR
15	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR
15 16	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA
15 16 17	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE
15 16 17 18	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT
15 16 17 18 19	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HAVE A PROJECT IN WHICH
15 16 17 18 19	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HAVE A PROJECT IN WHICH 80 PERCENT OF THE WORK IS BEING DONE OUTSIDE OF THE
15 16 17 18 19 20	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HAVE A PROJECT IN WHICH 80 PERCENT OF THE WORK IS BEING DONE OUTSIDE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND 20 PERCENT WITHIN THE STATE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HAVE A PROJECT IN WHICH 80 PERCENT OF THE WORK IS BEING DONE OUTSIDE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND 20 PERCENT WITHIN THE STATE AND WE JUST FUND THAT 20 PERCENT? WOULD THAT BE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	UNDERSTAND IT, THESE PROGRAMS, PROPOSALS, ALLOW FOR BOTH EX-CALIFORNIA AS WELL AS WITHIN CALIFORNIA PARTICIPATION. IT'S JUST THAT CIRM FUNDING WOULD BE LIMITED TO THE CALIFORNIA ASPECT OF IT. SO WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, TO HAVE A PROJECT IN WHICH 80 PERCENT OF THE WORK IS BEING DONE OUTSIDE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND 20 PERCENT WITHIN THE STATE AND WE JUST FUND THAT 20 PERCENT? WOULD THAT BE ALLOWABLE? BECAUSE THEN IT GOES TO THE QUESTION OF

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA BEING FUNDED, I GATHER, IN THIS
2	CASE BY THE NHLBI. SO HOW DO WE ENVISION MANAGING
3	SOMETHING WHERE SO MUCH OF THE WORK IS BEING DONE
4	OUTSIDE THE STATE, BUT WE'RE RESPONSIBLE FOR
5	MANAGING IT?
6	DR. MILLAN: WE ACTUALLY HAVE GRANTEES
7	OUTSIDE OF THE STATE EVEN NOW. SO WE WOULD MANAGE
8	IT IN THE SAME WAY, AND THEY WOULD DEFER TO OUR
9	MANAGEMENT OF THIS AND BE IN CONTACT WITH US BECAUSE
10	THE WHOLE THEY'RE ACCEPTING THE WHOLE PACKAGE,
11	AND THEY UNDERSTAND, NOT ONLY IS IT THE DUE
12	DILIGENCE OR THEIR REVIEW PROCESS, BUT IT'S OUR
13	MILESTONE-DRIVEN MANAGEMENT OF THE AWARD, OUR
14	CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL, INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS WE
15	COULD PUT TO IT. THEY ALSO HAVE INFRASTRUCTURE
16	PROGRAMS THAT THEY'D LIKE TO OFFER TO THIS, BUT THEY
17	SEE THE VALUE IN THE WHOLE PACKAGE. SO THE IDEA IS
18	THAT CIRM WOULD TAKE THE LEAD IN MANAGING ANY AWARD
19	REGARDLESS OF PERCENTAGE WITHIN CALIFORNIA.
20	DR. JUELSGAARD: SO JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON
21	THAT, DR. MILLAN. SO IMAGINE THAT 2020 COMES AND
22	GOES AND THERE'S NO ADDITIONAL FUNDING, BUT WE HAVE
23	A FIVE-YEAR COMMITMENT UNDER THIS PROGRAM. WE'VE
24	GOT THEM THE FUNDING ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE TO
25	HANDLE THAT GRANTS MANAGEMENT OUTSIDE OF THE STATE

1	OF CALIFORNIA IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCE THAT'S INCLUDED
2	IN THE FUNDING THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, NOT
3	NECESSARILY THE 30 MILLION?
4	DR. MILLAN: YES. WE'RE NEGOTIATING
5	ADMINISTRATIVE COVERAGE OF ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS
6	ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTING THIS. AND THEY ARE
7	AWARE OF THE 2020 TIMELINE, AND WE'RE PUTTING IN
8	PLACE PLANS THAT, SHOULD IT EXCEED EVEN OUR
9	ADMINISTRATIVE PLANS BEYOND 2020, THAT THEY WOULD BE
10	ABLE TO PICK IT UP AND CARRY IT FORWARD BEYOND THAT.
11	DR. JUELSGAARD: GREAT. JUST ONE LAST
12	QUESTION. WHAT IS THE EXACT POINT AT WHICH THEY
13	HAVE TO BE IN ORDER FOR US TO CONSIDER THEIR
14	PROGRAM? I KNOW ON THE SLIDE IT SAYS PRE-IND
15	MEETING OR EQUIVALENT. BUT IF YOU HAD TO PICK A
16	POINT, DESCRIBE A POINT A PROGRAM NOW FALLS INTO
17	BEING CONSIDERED AS OPPOSED TO IT'S TOO EARLY TO BE
18	CONSIDERED? WHAT IS THAT POINT?
19	DR. MILLAN: IT'S EQUIVALENT TO OUR CLIN1
20	WHICH IS THAT THEY HAVE HAD A PRE-IND MEETING AND
21	THEY MEET ELIGIBILITY TO COME IN FOR A CLIN1.
22	DR. MARTIN: WHO IS THE SIGNING AUTHORITY
23	ON THIS, MARIA? IS IT THE COUNCIL OR THE DIRECTOR?
24	DR. MILLAN: IT'S GARY GIBBONS, WHO IS THE
25	HEAD OF NHLBI, THE INSTITUTE DIRECTOR.

1	DR. SANDMEYER: I'M JUST CURIOUS. IN THE
2	LONG TERM, DOES NIH ENVISION THAT THIS IS A MODEL
3	THAT THEN THEY WOULD ADOPT MORE BROADLY FOR GENE
4	THERAPY TRIALS? OR DO WE IMAGINE THAT WE WOULD
5	EXPAND THIS COOPERATIVE EFFORT WITH THE FEDERAL
6	GOVERNMENT?
7	DR. MILLAN: SO THE GENESIS OF THIS WAS
8	LAST JUNE A TEAM OF US WENT FRANCES COLLINS, THE
9	HEAD OF NIH, INVITED US TO COME TO THE NIH TO
10	DESCRIBE HOW WE FUNDED AND MANAGED PROGRAMS. AND WE
11	HAD SUCH AN INTEREST LEVEL, THAT WE MET WITH AT
12	LEAST 13 INSTITUTE HEADS. WE ACTUALLY HAD TO
13	PROLONG OUR TIME THERE IN ORDER TO MEET WITH THEM
14	ALL BECAUSE THEY WERE VERY INTERESTED ESPECIALLY IN
15	THE ARENA OF REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, STEM CELL, AND
16	GENE THERAPY. NHLBI, BECAUSE OF THIS CURE SICKLE
17	CELL, WAS THE FIRST ONE OUT THE GATE. THERE HAVE
18	BEEN OTHER INSTITUTES WHO HAVE EXPRESSED INTEREST
19	AND THINKING ABOUT HOW WE COULD WORK TOGETHER IN A
20	SIMILAR WAY. SO WE VIEW THIS AS AN IMPORTANT
21	PROGRAM ON ITS OWN, BUT ALSO POTENTIALLY A NICE
22	PILOT FOR POTENTIAL COFUNDING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE
23	FUTURE.
24	DR. SANDMEYER: THANK YOU. I'M ALSO
25	ECHOING EARLIER COMMENTS. IT'S EXCITING HOW IT
	C1

1	COULD EXPAND OUR PROFILE HERE IN CALIFORNIA.
2	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS FOR
4	MR. THOMPSON?
5	MR. SHEEHY: JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS. ONE
6	IS TO CONFIRM. SO THE REVIEW WILL BE SEPARATE FROM
7	THE OTHER REVIEW. SO WE'RE NOT PUTTING WE'RE NOT
8	REVIEWING SAY, WE DO OUR MONTHLY CLIN REVIEW.
9	THIS WOULDN'T BE IN WITH THE SAME BUCKET OF
10	APPLICATIONS. SO THEY WOULD BE IN A SEPARATE BUCKET
11	PERHAPS WITHIN THE SAME REVIEW, BUT JUST CLARIFYING
12	THAT POINT.
13	DR. MILLAN: I'M GOING TO DEFER TO GIL
14	SAMBRANO. MAYBE HE CAN RESPOND TO IT AT THIS POINT.
15	MR. SHEEHY: THE REASON IS THAT THERE'S
16	ALWAYS SOMETHING COMPETITIVE ABOUT THIS. AND THIS
17	PARTICULAR INITIATIVE, I THINK APPLES SHOULD BE
18	COMPARED TO APPLES AND NOT NECESSARILY BLEND IN WITH
19	APPLES THE OTHER THINGS THAT WE MAY BE DOING SO THAT
20	THAT'S CLEAR TO THE REVIEWERS, THAT WE'RE REALLY
21	FOCUSED ON SICKLE CELL IN THIS PARTICULAR BUCKET.
22	EVEN IF IT'S WITHIN THE SAME REVIEW, IT COULD HAVE
23	PHASE I AND PHASE 2 OR SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.
24	DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE INTENT IS TO HAVE IT
25	WITHIN THE SAME REVIEW. THAT MAKES IT EASY TO JUST
	62

1	INCORPORATE INTO OUR 9 A.M. CYCLES PER YEAR. BUT,
2	YES, WE WILL HAVE TO NOTE THE DIFFERENCE IN THIS
3	PROGRAM AND HOW IT IS UNIQUE AND DIFFERENT FROM THE
4	OTHER APPLICATIONS THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED. WE DON'T
5	NECESSARILY EXPECT TO GET ALL SICKLE CELL
6	APPLICATIONS ALL AT ONCE WHERE WE WOULD BE LOOKING
7	AT THEM NECESSARILY TOGETHER. WE MIGHT IN SOME
8	CASES, BUT IN GENERAL THEY'RE GOING TO TRICKLE IN AS
9	THEY ARE READY TO COME IN.
10	MR. SHEEHY: I'M JUST REALLY FOCUSED ON
11	THE POINT OF HAVING CLEAR DELINEATION BECAUSE THERE
12	IS ALWAYS, IN MY EXPERIENCE IN BEING IN THE REVIEWS,
13	AND ONE OF THE THINGS I'VE ALWAYS FOUND FRUSTRATING,
14	BY THE WAY, BECAUSE OUR POLICY HAS ALWAYS BEEN EACH
15	REVIEW THE PROJECTS ARE NOT IN COMPETITION WITH
16	EACH OTHER. INEVITABLY THAT'S HOW PEOPLE VIEW THEM.
17	SO FOR THIS PARTICULAR INITIATIVE, IF WE CAN CLEARLY
18	DELINEATE THAT WE HAVE SET ASIDE FUNDS FOR THIS,
19	THAT THIS SHOULD BE REVIEWED SEPARATELY FROM THE
20	OTHER APPLICATIONS, AND SHOULD NOT BE VIEWED IN
21	COMPETITION WITH OTHER APPLICATIONS THAT MAY BE
22	COMING IN.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: WE WILL MAKE AN EFFORT TO
24	DO THAT.
25	MR. SHEEHY: MY OTHER QUESTION IS, SO

1	WE'RE ON TRACK, WHAT DID YOU SAY, ABOUT 43 OF THE
2	PROJECTED 50 CLINICAL TRIALS, DOES THAT INCLUDE THIS
3	INITIATIVE, OR WOULD THIS INITIATIVE BE ADDITIVE TO
4	THAT?
5	DR. MILLAN: THAT INCLUDES THIS
6	INITIATIVE.
7	MR. SHEEHY: GREAT. THANK YOU.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS FOR
9	MR. THOMPSON, DR. SAMBRANO, DR. MILLAN? COMMENTS
10	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
11	DR. CHIU: ARLENE CHIU FROM THE CITY OF
12	HOPE. I REALLY AM EXCITED ABOUT THIS JOINT
13	INITIATIVE. I THINK IT'S A GROUNDBREAKING EFFORT,
14	AND I CONGRATULATE CIRM FOR PULLING IT OFF.
15	JUST SOME MINOR DETAILS ABOUT HOW THIS IS
16	ROLLED OUT. I HEAR YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HOPE TO
17	GET APPLICATIONS OR ROLL IT OUT BY THE END OF THE
18	YEAR. THAT MEANS NIH WILL HAVE TO HUSTLE TO GET THE
19	FOA OUT ASAP. AND IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, YOU
20	WILL MAINTAIN YOUR MONTHLY CLIN ACCEPTANCE SO THAT
21	AS THEY TRICKLE IN, AND ESPECIALLY THOSE THAT ARE
22	FROM OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA WHO ARE NOT USED TO THE
23	SYSTEM, THERE MAY BE UPS AND DOWNS IN THE KINDS THAT
24	YOU GET; BUT IF I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY, THIS
25	ACCEPTANCE OF THESE APPLICATIONS WILL END BY THE END

1	OF 2019, OR DO YOU ANTICIPATE MORE PROPOSALS COMING
2	IN IN 2020?
3	DR. MILLAN: THERE'S NO END DATE. WE'RE
4	ASKING FOR THE ALLOCATION NOW SO THAT WE'RE ABLE TO
5	FUND THEM IF THEY HAPPEN TO ALL COME IN IN 2019.
6	IT'S ENTIRELY POSSIBLE THAT SOME OF THOSE THAT WE
7	ANTICIPATE COMING IN WON'T MAKE IT IN UNTIL THE END
8	OF 2019 OR 2020. SO WE'RE NOT PROPOSING AN
9	EXPIRATION FOR THE PROPOSED 30 MILLION SET ASIDE.
10	DR. CHIU: BUT IT WILL END WHEN CIRM
11	SPENDS OR COMMITS THE 30 MILLION. AND YOU'RE
12	COMMITMENT IS LOOKED AT IN A DIFFERENT WAY THAN NIH
13	COMMITMENT. NIH COMMITS JUST WHATEVER THEY SPEND
14	THAT YEAR. SO THEIR COMMITMENT TO YOUR PROGRAM WILL
15	BE SPREAD OUT OVER FIVE YEARS WITH EACH YEAR THEM
16	PAYING IT DOWN; WHEREAS, YOU MAKE A COMMITMENT, YOU
17	LOCK UP THAT FULL AMOUNT. SO YOUR 30 MILLION COULD
18	BE QUITE QUICKLY LOCKED UP IF YOU GET REALLY
19	WONDERFUL PROPOSALS OR IF YOU'RE EXCITED ABOUT THE
20	EARLIER ONES, AND THEN AS OTHERS COME, THEY WILL
21	HAVE LESS OF A CHANCE. AM I UNDERSTANDING THIS
22	CORRECTLY?
23	DR. MILLAN: YES. AND THEN WHEN WE GET
24	IF WE HAVE THAT GOOD PROBLEM, WHICH WE REALLY I
25	THINK THAT WOULD BE A SUCCESS TO HAVE SIX EXCELLENT

1	PROGRAMS COME IN AT ONCE. THEN WE'LL HAVE TO FIGURE
2	OUT WHERE ADDITIONAL FUNDS CAN COME FROM. AND,
3	AGAIN, WE WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THE NIH FOR FUNDING.
4	SO WE'LL HAVE TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS AT THAT
5	POINT. BUT SUFFICE IT TO SAY THAT THEY WILL RELY ON
6	OUR PROGRAMS. SO ONE CAN ENVISION THAT IT COULD BE
7	THAT TOWARD THE END OF THIS THAT THEY WOULD BE THE
8	FUNDING SOURCE FOR THE MAJORITY OF THE PROGRAMS.
9	WE'LL HAVE TO SEE HOW IT GOES.
10	DR. CHIU: FINALLY, OF COURSE, THE
11	DIRECTOR OF NHLBI MAKES ALL FINAL FUNDING DECISIONS
12	AS WITH ANY INSTITUTE AT NIH. BUT OFTENTIMES THEY
13	PRESENT BEFORE COUNCIL AND GETS COUNCIL STAMP OF
14	APPROVAL BEFORE THE DIRECTOR IS ALLOWED TO APPROVE
15	FUNDING. BUT THAT ONLY MEETS THREE TIMES A YEAR.
16	IS THAT GOING TO BE A BIT OF A PROBLEM FOR YOU?
17	DR. MILLAN: UNDER THE OTA ALL THAT WOULD
18	BE REQUIRED TO MAKE THAT 10-DAY TIME FRAME DECISION
19	IS IT WOULD GO TO THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL WHO WOULD
20	PROPOSE IT TO DR. GIBBONS, AND DR. GIBBONS WOULD
21	MAKE THE FINAL DECISION.
22	DR. CHIU: OKAY. THANK YOU.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
24	OKAY. I WOULD LIKE TO ECHO EVERYTHING,
25	MARIA, GABE, GIL, SCOTT. THIS IS OUTSTANDING, VERY

1	GROUNDBREAKING MOVE. AND I DO THINK, FURTHER TO DR.
2	SANDMEYER'S QUESTION, COULD WELL PROVIDE THE
3	TEMPLATE FOR SIMILAR SORTS OF THINGS WITH RESPECT TO
4	OTHER CONDITIONS. AND IT'S A TREMENDOUSLY
5	VALIDATING MOVE BY THE NIH AS FAR AS CIRM IS
6	CONCERNED. I JUST WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU AND THE
7	TEAM FOR A REALLY EXCELLENT PROGRAM.
8	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO TO GIVE BETH A BIT OF
10	A BREAK, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE TEN MINUTES HERE, AND
11	WE'LL RESUME PROMPTLY, LOOKS LIKE, 11 O'CLOCK.
12	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE'RE GOING TO RESUME.
14	OKAY. WE ARE GOING TO GO TO ITEM NO. 10,
15	CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE CONCEPT PLAN FOR
16	TRANSLATION RESEARCH PROGRAMS. DR. SAMBRANO.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
18	SO I'M GOING TO PRESENT AN OVERVIEW OF THE CHANGES
19	THAT WE'RE MAKING TO THE CONCEPTS THAT AFFECT BOTH
20	THE TRANSLATIONAL AND CLINICAL PROGRAMS. SO I'LL
21	GIVE YOU A LITTLE BIT OF DETAIL ON SOME OF THESE
22	THINGS.
23	BIG PICTURE IS THAT THESE CONCEPT CHANGES
24	ARE GOING TO REMOVE SMALL MOLECULES AND BIOLOGICS
25	FROM ELIGIBILITY FOR THE TRAN AND CLIN1 PROGRAMS

1	EXCEPT FOR THOSE THAT ARE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED AS A
2	PIPELINE PROJECT, MEANING THAT IS A PROGRAM THAT WE
3	HAVE FUNDED BEFORE, AS WELL AS THE SICKLE CELL
4	PROJECTS.
5	WE'RE ALSO ADDING IN VIVO GENE THERAPY TO
6	THESE TWO PROGRAMS AND THEN ADDING REQUIREMENTS THAT
7	GABE THOMPSON REVIEWED RELATED TO THE NHLBI/CIRM
8	CURE SICKLE CELL JOINT INITIATIVE.
9	SO LET ME JUST START WITH THE TRANSLATION
10	PROGRAM AND HOW THE CONCEPT CHANGES AFFECT THIS
11	PROGRAM.
12	SO WHAT YOU'RE SEEING IS AN ILLUSTRATION
13	OF THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS.
14	THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM ACCEPTS STUDIES THAT HAVE
15	DONE A PROOF OF CONCEPT SUCH AS THROUGH A DISC2
16	PROGRAM. AND SO THE TRAN ALLOWS THE DEVELOPMENT OF
17	PRODUCTS THAT ARE EITHER A THERAPEUTIC, A
18	DIAGNOSTIC, A DEVICE, OR A TOOL. THERE ARE
19	DIFFERENT MAXIMUM TIMES AND MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE COSTS
20	FOR EACH OF THESE DIFFERENT PRODUCT TYPES SINCE THE
21	TRANSLATIONAL DEVELOPMENT DOES DIFFER FOR EACH OF
22	THESE PRODUCTS. THE THERAPEUTIC IS ONE THAT WE GET
23	THE MOST OF. OVER 95 PERCENT OF THE APPLICATIONS
24	THAT WE GET ARE IN THE THERAPEUTIC ARENA. AND SO
25	FOR THOSE, WE TYPICALLY ACCEPT SMALL MOLECULES OR

1	BIOLOGICS THAT ACT ON A STEM CELL OR A CELL THERAPY
2	THAT INVOLVES A STEM CELL.
3	THE WAY WE ANTICIPATE CHANGING THIS
4	PROGRAM IS THAT FOR AT LEAST NEXT YEAR, AND THIS IS
5	NOT SPECIFICALLY A CONCEPT CHANGE, BUT OUR INTENT IS
6	TO ONLY ISSUE A SOLICITATION FOR THE TRAN1 PROGRAM.
7	THE CONCEPT CHANGE, HOWEVER, WOULD BE THAT FOR
8	PIPELINE PROJECTS, MEANING THOSE THAT HAVE RECEIVED
9	PREVIOUS CIRM FUNDING, THEY WOULD STILL BE ELIGIBLE
10	UNDER THE CONVENTIONAL ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA, MEANING
11	SMALL MOLECULES AND BIOLOGICS AS WELL AS CELL
12	THERAPY WOULD BE ALLOWED. FOR NEW PROJECTS COMING
13	IN, WE WANTED TO LIMIT THAT TO CELL THERAPY.
14	WE ARE ALSO ADDING THE IN VIVO GENE
15	THERAPY, WHICH I WILL GET TO, BUT THE SCOPE OF NEW
16	PROJECTS WOULD INCLUDE CELL THERAPY OR IN VIVO GENE
17	THERAPY FOR TRAN1.
18	FOR THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, THAT INCLUDES
19	OUR CLIN1, CLIN2, AND CLIN3 PROGRAMS. THIS IMPACTS
20	JUST CLIN1 AND CLIN2 WHICH OFTEN TAKE STUDIES THAT
21	HAVE AT LEAST A CLIN1, ACHIEVED A PRE-IND MEETING
22	AND ARE READY TO START IND-ENABLING ACTIVITIES, OR
23	FOR CLIN2, THOSE THAT ARE READY TO START A CLINICAL
24	TRIAL. SO THERE ARE DIFFERENT TIMELINES AS NOTED,
25	BUT IN GENERAL THEY BOTH HAVE ACCEPTED SMALL
	60

1	MOLECULES, BIOLOGICS, CELL THERAPY, AND DEVICES INTO
2	THE PROGRAM.
3	THE WAY THIS WOULD CHANGE IS THAT THE
4	CLIN1 PROGRAM FOR PIPELINE PROJECTS WOULD STAY THE
5	SAME. FOR NEW PROJECTS WE WOULD ACCEPT CELL THERAPY
6	AS WELL AS IN VIVO GENE THERAPY PROJECTS ONLY. FOR
7	THE CLIN2, THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROGRAM, THAT WOULD
8	NOT UNDERGO ANY ADDED RESTRICTIONS, BUT ACTUALLY ADD
9	IN VIVO GENE THERAPY AS A NEW OPTION AVAILABLE TO
10	APPLICANTS.
11	SO THIS ADDITION TO THE PROGRAMS OF IN
12	VIVO GENE THERAPY IS A NEW ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA. SO
13	THE THING I WANT TO NOTE, JUST BECAUSE IT IS
14	DIFFERENT FROM OTHER ELEMENTS, WE HAVE ALWAYS
15	INCLUDED GENE THERAPY IN THE CONTEXT OF A STEM CELL.
16	SO WHEREVER A STEM CELL IS INVOLVED, THAT HAS NOT
17	GENERALLY BEEN AN ISSUE WHETHER IT'S A GENE THERAPY
18	THAT TARGETS A STEM CELL OR IF IT'S, FOR EXAMPLE, A
19	HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL THAT HAS BEEN GENE MODIFIED
20	HAS NORMALLY BEEN ELIGIBLE. WHAT WE'RE TALKING
21	ABOUT HERE IS IN VIVO GENE THERAPY PROJECTS THAT DO
22	NOT IMPACT ON A STEM CELL OR DO NOT INVOLVE A STEM
23	CELL IN ANY WAY.
24	AND SO THE WAY WE CAN DO THIS IS PROP 71
25	ALLOWS FOR VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES. AND I'M

1	JUST GOING TO DESCRIBE WHAT THAT DEFINITION IS. SO
2	IT MEANS A SCIENTIFIC AND MEDICAL RESEARCH
3	TECHNOLOGY AND/OR ANY STEM CELL RESEARCH NOT
4	ACTUALLY FUNDED BY THE INSTITUTE UNDER, AND THEN THE
5	REFERENCE OF WHAT WE NORMALLY FUND, WHICH PROVIDES A
6	SUBSTANTIALLY SUPERIOR RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY VITAL TO
7	ADVANCE MEDICAL SCIENCE AS DETERMINED BY AT LEAST A
8	TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF A QUORUM OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
9	GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
10	SO FOR PROJECTS THAT COME IN UNDER THIS
11	GUISE, WE WILL HAVE THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP TAKE A
12	SPECIFIC VOTE TO ENSURE THAT THEY BELIEVE THAT THIS
13	DOES REPRESENT A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY AS
14	DEFINED.
15	AND THEN THE LAST SET OF CHANGES ARE IN
16	SUPPORT OF THE SICKLE CELL DISEASE JOINT INITIATIVE
17	BETWEEN CIRM AND NHLBI, MANY OF THESE ALREADY
18	DESCRIBED BY GABE THOMPSON, CLEARLY THAT ALL SICKLE
19	CELL DISEASE APPLICATIONS WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR
20	JOINT FUNDING, THAT THE SICKLE CELL PROJECTS WILL BE
21	EXEMPT FROM ANY OF THE CLIN1 THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE
22	RESTRICTIONS, THAT WE WILL SHARE APPLICATION
23	MATERIALS WITH NHLBI, THAT NON-CALIFORNIA APPLICANTS
24	MAY APPLY FOR NHLBI FUNDS TO COVER UNALLOWABLE
25	ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA, AND, FINALLY, THAT

1	COFUNDED PROJECTS MUST ADHERE TO NHLBI POLICIES FOR
2	DATA AND SAFETY MONITORING AS WELL AS DATA SHARING,
3	AND THIS INCLUDES A COORDINATING CENTER FOR SICKLE
4	CELL DATA THAT IS PART OF THE OVERALL PROGRAM THAT
5	IS BEING PUT TOGETHER. SO THOSE ARE THE PROPOSED
6	CHANGES.
7	SO ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS? WE'RE LOOKING
8	FOR APPROVAL OF THESE CONCEPT CHANGES FOR
9	TRANSLATION AND CLINICAL PROGRAMS.
10	DR. MARTIN: DOES THIS CHANGE THE
11	MESSAGING OF CIRM? IT'S REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, BUT
12	IT'S NOT STEM CELL RELATED DIRECTLY?
13	DR. SAMBRANO: I THINK IT EXPANDS OUR
14	CAPABILITY. SO YES. IT EXPANDS OUR CAPABILITY
15	BEYOND STEM CELLS. BUT THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THAT
16	MAKES SENSE OR NOT REALLY IS A DISCUSSION ITEM MAYBE
17	FOR THIS BOARD.
18	DR. MARTIN: HOW DEPENDENT DO YOU THINK
19	THE SUPPORT AMONG THE POPULATION, LEGISLATION, ET
20	CETERA IS? HOW DEPENDENT IS IT ON THIS STEM CELL
21	CONCEPT? IS THAT CONSIDERED TO BE RESTRICTING,
22	WHICH IS WHERE IT ORIGINATED WHEN THERE WAS A BAN ON
23	USE OF STEM CELLS, HUMAN STEM CELLS. I JUST DON'T
24	KNOW WELL ENOUGH. I'VE ALWAYS THOUGHT ANY
25	APPLICATION HAD TO HAVE THE "S" WORD IN IT SOMEHOW.

1	DR. SAMBRANO: I DON'T KNOW THAT I CAN
2	FAIRLY ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I WILL TELL YOU THAT
3	JUST, IN GENERAL, KIND OF BEYOND CIRM, IF YOU LOOK
4	AT THE NIH RMAT DESIGNATIONS, WHICH ARE BROADLY
5	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE SOCIETIES AND INSTITUTES WHICH
6	BROADLY DEFINE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, TYPICALLY
7	INCLUDE GENE THERAPY AS A COMPONENT OF WHAT THEY
8	SUPPORT. SO IT'S PART OF THAT LARGER PACKAGE.
9	CIRM HAS BEEN UNIQUE AND DIFFERENT. I
10	THINK THE QUESTION IS DOES IT MAKE SENSE FOR CIRM
11	NOW TO EXPAND AS OTHERS HAVE OR AS THE FIELD HAS?
12	MR. SHEEHY: SO I THINK THIS HAS ALWAYS
13	BEEN A LIVING AGENCY, SO TO SPEAK, AND WE'VE EVOLVED
14	WITH THE SCIENCE. WHEN THIS AGENCY WAS FORMED, I
15	THINK PEOPLE MIGHT HAVE SAID YOU ARE OUT OF YOUR
16	MIND TO TALK ABOUT TAKING A SKIN CELL AND TURNING
17	THAT INTO A PLURIPOTENT CELL. SO INDUCED
18	PLURIPOTENT CELLS EXPLODED ON THE SCENE, AND WE
19	ADAPTED TO WORK WITH THOSE CELLS. AND THE AGENCY
20	I THINK AT THE END OF THE DAY THE REAL MISSION IS TO
21	GET CURES.
22	AND WHEN THIS WAS BROUGHT UP AT THE
23	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE, I WAS A LITTLE AMBIVALENT
24	ABOUT IT. BUT THEN WHEN I STARTED THINKING BACK,
25	WE'VE INVESTED A LOT IN GENE THERAPY. AND GENE
	72

1	THERAPY AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND STEM CELLS ALL
2	SEEM TO BE PART OF A BIG POT.
3	AND IT SEEMED TO ME SOMEWHAT IRRATIONAL TO
4	NOT INCLUDE IN SCOPE SCIENCE THAT WE HAD BROUGHT SO
5	FAR DOWN THE ROAD BECAUSE WE HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK
6	WITH GENETIC MODIFICATION OF STEM CELLS. IT'S ALL
7	BEEN EX VIVO. AND THEN WHEN WE GET TO THE POINT
8	WHERE WE REALLY HAVE WHAT WOULD BE VERY COMMERCIALLY
9	VIABLE, VERY SCALABLE, WE SAY, OH, WE CAN'T DO THAT.
10	IT JUST DIDN'T FOLLOW FOR ME. SO HAVING THAT
11	OPPORTUNITY AND THE ABILITY TO REALLY GENERATE VERY
12	SCALABLE, WIDELY AVAILABLE, COMMERCIALLY VIABLE
13	CURES THAT WE HAD PLAYED SUCH A ROLE IN DEVELOPING,
14	IT SEEMED TO ME TO BE AN OPPORTUNITY THAT WE WOULD
15	NOT WANT TO MISS.
16	DR. STEWARD: THANKS. I DID MISS THE
17	SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. I
18	WOULD HAVE MADE THESE COMMENTS THERE HAD I BEEN ABLE
19	TO ATTEND.
20	SO JUST FOLLOWING UP ON YOUR QUESTION, I
21	THINK A COUPLE OF THINGS. THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS
22	THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED IN THE SAME DOMAIN AS GENE
23	THERAPY IN TERMS OF BEING POTENTIALLY VERY IMPACTFUL
24	FOR TREATMENTS AND CURES FOR ALL KINDS OF DISEASES
25	AND DISORDERS. THAT'S POINT NO. 1.

1	SO WHY GENE THERAPY AND NOT OTHER THINGS
2	IS SORT OF A QUESTION. I DON'T REALLY WANT TO GO
3	INTO AN ANSWER TO THAT, BUT I THINK, AS WE ARE
4	CONSIDERING THIS, IT'S IMPORTANT TO TAKE THOSE KINDS
5	OF CONSIDERATIONS. THIS IS A BIG STEP, AND
6	ESPECIALLY A BIG STEP AS WE'RE COMING INTO A
7	POTENTIAL BALLOT INITIATIVE WHERE THINGS ARE GOING
8	TO BE WHATEVER. SO THAT'S SORT OF NO. 2.
9	AND NO. 3, IN PRINCIPLE, I THINK GENE
10	THERAPY IS GREAT. THE RESEARCH THAT I DO SOMETIMES
11	IS CALLED THAT, SOMETIMES NOT, BUT I THINK THAT TERM
12	HAS VERY BROAD MEANING AND PROBABLY WAY TOO BROAD.
13	AND IF WE WERE TO TAKE THIS STEP, I THINK WE NEED TO
14	BE VERY DEFINITIVE ABOUT WHAT THE DEFINITION IS.
15	IF IT, FOR EXAMPLE, IS TO TARGET
16	MONOGENETIC DISORDERS THAT AFFECT HUNDREDS OF
17	THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WORLDWIDE, THAT'S ONE
18	DEFINITION. AND I THINK THAT MAY BE FINE. ALL OF
19	THOSE ARE RARE. THE TERM, IN GENERAL, IS WAY TOO
20	AMBIGUOUS, I THINK, AS IT'S PHRASED RIGHT NOW.
21	THOSE ARE MY THREE COMMENTS. THANK YOU.
22	MR. SHEEHY: IF I MIGHT RESPOND. THERE IS
23	A CONTROL POINT, AND I KIND OF FEEL LIKE THE CONTROL
24	POINT THAT WE'VE SET UP IS IN LINE WITH PROP 71 AND
25	PERHAPS THE BEST PLACE FOR THE CONTROL POINT TO BE

1	ASSIGNED, WHICH IS AT THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, THE
2	PEER REVIEW GROUP, IN THAT THAT THEY HAVE TO
3	DESIGNATE BY TWO-THIRDS THAT THIS IS A VITAL
4	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY.
5	SO I HAVE SOME CONFIDENCE IN THAT. AS YOU
6	KNOW, YOU'VE LISTENED TO AND BEEN PART OF COUNTLESS
7	REVIEWS, THERE IS SOME RELUCTANCE ALWAYS IN THE
8	REVIEW GROUP TO DO THINGS THAT OTHER PEOPLE CAN DO
9	VERY EASILY.
10	SO I GUESS I FEEL LIKE THAT THAT'S
11	ADEQUATE; BUT, OBVIOUSLY, IF YOU HAVE A DIFFERENT
12	VIEW, SOME WAY TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO TIGHTEN THE
13	NOOSE, BUT I FEEL THE REVIEW GROUP HAS ALWAYS BEEN
14	PRETTY SKEPTICAL ABOUT DOING SOMETHING THAT ANYBODY
15	ELSE COULD DO EASILY OR COMMERCIALLY.
16	DR. STEWARD: JUST TO SAY, IF I CAN ADD
17	ONE MORE THING, THAT IS IN FACT SUBSTANTIATED IN
18	PROP 71. IT IS THE STATEMENT THAT STEM CELLS ARE TO
19	BE FUNDED BECAUSE ESSENTIALLY THEY CAN'T BE FUNDED
20	IN ANY OTHER WAY. AND THIS CAN BE FUNDED IN OTHER
21	WAYS. JUST TO SAY, WE'RE CHANGING. I'M NOT SAYING
22	THAT ISN'T A GOOD THING. IT WOULD BE GREAT, IN
23	FACT, TO, IN FACT, REDEFINE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN
24	THE NEW BALLOT INITIATIVE, BUT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT
25	DOING IT AT THIS STAGE FOR PROP 71.

1	DR. JUELSGAARD: SO LET ME, DR. STEWARD,
2	RESPOND TO THAT BECAUSE ACTUALLY IN THE LANGUAGE OF
3	THE ACTUAL GABE MADE A PRESENTATION, BUT THERE'S
4	SOME DEFINITIVE LANGUAGE THAT'S PROVIDED. AND THE
5	LAST PART OF IT, THE LAST GATE TO GET THROUGH, AND
6	THIS IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BE NOW A QUESTION OF GABE
7	OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO DETERMINE THIS, BUT IT ALSO IS
8	BEING DEVELOPED FOR A RARE OR UNMET NEED UNLIKELY TO
9	RECEIVE FUNDING FROM OTHER SOURCES. SO WE'RE KIND
10	OF BACKING INTO THIS NOBODY ELSE IS GOING TO FUND
11	IT.
12	SO AS TIME HAS GONE ON, THE LIKELIHOOD OF
13	OTHER SOURCES FUNDING STEM CELL RESEARCH HAS
14	CERTAINLY INCREASED WELL AWAY FROM WHERE THINGS
15	STOOD IN 2004, AND WE'VE CONTINUED PROVIDING
16	FUNDING. HOW IS IT THAT WE'RE GOING TO DETERMINE
17	THIS PART OF IT AS UNLIKELY TO RECEIVE FUNDING FROM
18	ANY OTHER SOURCE? WHAT KIND OF DUE DILIGENCE IS
19	GOING TO BE ENGAGED IN TO REQUIRE THAT? BECAUSE I
20	AGREE WE'RE OPENING THE DOOR A BIT POTENTIALLY ON
21	THIS; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO
22	LIMIT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO LET IN THROUGH THAT
23	SOMEWHAT OPEN DOOR. HOW DO WE MANAGE THAT?
24	DR. STEWARD: COULD I JUST ADD ONE MORE
25	THING, AND I'M SORRY AND THEN I'LL REALLY BE QUIET,

1	I PROMISE. ONE COULD SAY THAT ANYTHING THESE DAYS,
2	WITH NIH PAYLINES BEING WHERE THEY ARE, IS UNLIKELY
3	TO BE FUNDED BY NIH. JUST TO SAY.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: BEFORE WE GET TO OTHER
5	BOARD MEMBERS, DR. SAMBRANO, DO YOU HAVE ANY
6	THOUGHTS ON THAT QUESTION FOR MR. JUELSGAARD?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: NO. IT'S LANGUAGE THAT'S
8	ALREADY INCLUDED IN OUR ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR
9	OTHER THINGS AS WELL, AND IT WAS FOR THE SMALL
10	MOLECULES AND BIOLOGICS. SO IT'S NOT ALWAYS EASY;
11	BUT, IN GENERAL, THE ARGUMENT HAS BEEN THAT,
12	ESPECIALLY IN THIS AREA OF TRANSLATION AND CLIN1,
13	THERE'S OFTEN LITTLE AVAILABILITY FOR FUNDS TO CARRY
14	THESE PROJECTS FORWARD. SO THAT HAS OFTEN BEEN THE
15	ARGUMENT OR THE RATIONALE BEHIND MOVING SOMETHING
16	THAT IS ADDRESSING AN UNMET NEED IN THIS AREA.
17	DR. PRIETO: I JUST WOULD COMMENT THAT I
18	THINK THE CALIBER OF THE PEOPLE WHO GIL AND HIS TEAM
19	HAVE RECRUITED FOR THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP INCLUDES
20	PEOPLE WITH A VERY GOOD UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE
21	FACTS ON THE GROUND ARE IN ANY PARTICULAR AREA OF
22	THIS RESEARCH. AND THE QUESTION OF STEMNESS OR
23	REGENERATIVENESS OF A PARTICULAR PROPOSAL DOES COME
24	UP AND IS DISCUSSED, I THINK, IN A PRETTY THOUGHTFUL
25	WAY.

1	DR. MARTIN: LET ME STATE MY POSITION.
2	I'M CERTAINLY VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF THIS, BUT THE
3	ISSUE OF UNMET NEED OR DIFFICULT TO SOURCE FUNDING,
4	I THINK, IS AN ISSUE THAT EVOLVES. AND AS WE'VE
5	DISCUSSED JUST IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MINUTES, THE
6	STEM CELL FUNDING INITIALLY WAS NIGH ONTO IMPOSSIBLE
7	IN THIS COUNTRY, AND THAT HAS EVOLVED. AND NOW IT'S
8	COMMONPLACE. AND THERE ARE A LOT OF ACTIVITIES
9	GOING ON, AND I THINK THE WHOLE GENE THERAPY,
10	PARTICULARLY IN VIVO GENE THERAPY, IS NOW DIFFICULT
11	TO GET FUNDED FROM AN AGENCY OR COMMERCIALLY, BUT
12	THAT WILL CHANGE.
13	AND SO MAYBE THE WAY TO ADDRESS THAT IS TO
14	SAY IT IS DIFFICULT, VERY DIFFICULT ANYWAY, BUT I
15	THINK THAT THE FUNDING OF GENE THERAPY IS JUST IN
16	THE EARLY DAYS, BUT IT WILL BECOME MUCH MORE COMMON
17	AND ACCESSIBLE FOR FUNDING AS IT EVOLVES.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY
19	OTHER COMMENTS? OKAY. WE NEED A MOTION TO APPROVE.
20	DR. STEWARD: COULD I JUST MAKE A
21	RECOMMENDATION THAT PERHAPS WE BREAK THIS APART INTO
22	SEPARATE MOTIONS AND MAYBE SEPARATE OUT THIS ONE
23	FROM THE REST? I'D BE A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO WHAT EXACTLY ARE YOU
25	SUGGESTING?

DR. STEWARD: SO I WILL MOVE THAT ALL OF
THE CHANGES IN THE CONCEPT PLANS BE APPROVED EXCEPT
FOR THE CHANGE WITH REGARD TO THIS WHOLE THING. CAN
I MAKE THAT MOTION? IS THAT GETTING COMPLICATED?
MR. TOCHER: NO, I DON'T THINK SO AT THIS
POINT. I THINK WE CAN BREAK THAT OUT.
DR. STEWARD: IT MAY VERY WELL BE THAT
WE'LL END UP APPROVING BOTH, BUT I'D JUST LIKE TO
HAVE THAT AS A SEPARATE VOTE.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IS THERE A SECOND?
DR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL SECOND.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. STEWARD,
SECONDED BY MR. JUELSGAARD. IS THERE ANY
DISCUSSION, FURTHER DISCUSSION?
DR. MARTIN: WOULD YOU STATE THE MOTION
AGAIN? I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED.
DR. STEWARD: EVERYTHING IN THE CONCEPT
CHANGE EXCEPT FOR GENE THERAPY.
MR. SHEEHY: WOULD YOU INCLUDE GENE
THERAPY OF STEM CELLS? IS THAT STILL IN SCOPE?
DR. STEWARD: OH, ABSOLUTELY. IF IT'S
STEM CELL THINGS, SURE. THAT DOESN'T CHANGE ANY OF
OUR POLICIES. SO YES.
DR. DULIEGE: BUT THERE WILL BE A SECOND
MOTION

1	DR. STEWARD: THERE WILL BE A SECOND.
2	DR. DULIEGE: FOR GENE THERAPY. IT'S
3	NOT THAT WE'RE IGNORING GENE THERAPY, BUT IT'S A
4	SEPARATE MOTION.
5	MR. TORRES: FOR GUIDANCE, MR. TOCHER,
6	WHAT DOES THE PROPOSITION STATE IN RESPECT TO THIS
7	TYPE OF RESEARCH?
8	MR. TOCHER: IT WAS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED.
9	WITH RESPECT TO A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY, THAT
10	THE AGENCY CAN FUND IF THERE'S A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF
11	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP THAT SUCH AN APPLICATION
12	PROVIDES A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY.
13	MR. TORRES: SO WE'RE WITHIN THAT REALM?
14	MR. TOCHER: ABSOLUTELY.
15	MS. LANSING: SO WE'RE WITHIN THE REALM,
16	AND I DON'T MIND SPLITTING IT OUT BECAUSE WE'LL VOTE
17	ON IT. I JUST WANT TO SAY THE WHOLE POINT OF THIS
18	AGENCY IS TO MOVE WITH THE SCIENCE AND TO BE AT THE
19	CUTTING EDGE OF THE SCIENCE. AND IF SCIENCE IS
20	MOVING IN ONE WAY AND WE'RE NOT PART OF IT, THEN
21	WE'RE NOT SERVING THE CITIZENS.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, SHERRY.
23	OTHER COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANY PUBLIC
24	COMMENT? HEARING NONE, MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE CALL
25	THE ROLL.

1		MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL. LINDA
2	BOXER.	
3		DR. BOXER: YES.
4		MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.
5		DR. BURTIS: YES.
6		MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. DAVID
7	BRENNER.	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
8		DR. DULIEGE: YES.
9		MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
10		DR. GASSON: YES.
11		MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
12		DR. HIGGINS: YES.
13		MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
14		MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
15		MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING.
16		MS. LANSING: YES.
17		MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
18		DR. MALKAS: YES.
19		MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.
20		DR. LUBIN: YES.
21		MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
22		DR. MARTIN: YES.
23		MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
24		DR. MELMED: YES.
25		MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
		82
		02

		BETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1		MS. MILLER: YES.
2		MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
3		DR. PADILLA: YES.
4		MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
5	PRIETO.	
6		DR. PRIETO: AYE.
7		MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
8	ROWLETT.	
9		MR. ROWLETT: YES.
10		MS. BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.
11		DR. SANDMEYER: YES.
12		MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
13		MR. SHEEHY: YES.
14		MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
15		DR. STEWARD: YES.
16		MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
17		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
18		MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
19		MR. TORRES: AYE.
20		MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
21		DR. VUORI: YES.
22		MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
23		MS. WINOKUR: YES.
24		MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
25		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DO WE HEAR A
		83

1	SECOND MOTION ON THE GENE THERAPY TOPIC?
2	MR. SHEEHY: SURE. I WOULD MOVE TO
3	INCLUDE MAYBE MR. TOCHER CAN HELP US ON FORM TO
4	INCLUDE THE PIECE WE CUT OUT.
5	MR. TOCHER: EXCELLENT FORM, JEFF. SURE.
6	THE MOTION IS TO INCLUDE ANY OTHER ENDOGENOUS CELL
7	IF DEEMED A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY BY THE CIRM
8	GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
9	MR. SHEEHY: GENE THERAPY AND ANY OTHER
10	ENDOGENOUS CELL DEEMED A VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITY.
11	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. THAT LANGUAGE.
12	MR. SHEEHY: THAT'S MY MOTION.
13	MR. TORRES: SECOND.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND BY SENATOR
15	TORRES. FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC?
16	DR. STEWARD: COULD I JUST ASK FOR A FULL
17	EXPLANATION OF WHAT WE MEAN BY GENE THERAPY IN THAT
18	MOTION?
19	MR. SHEEHY: I THINK I MAY DEFER TO DR.
20	MILLAN TO WELL, I'M PERSONALLY TO ME THE
21	CONTROL POINT IS THE TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY OF THE
22	GRANTS WORKING GROUP THAT DEEM THIS A VITAL RESEARCH
23	OPPORTUNITY. SO GENE THERAPY OF AN ENDOGENOUS CELL
24	IS A BIG DOOR, BUT WE HAVE A CONTROL POINT TO SHRINK
25	THAT DOOR. SO THAT'S WHY I'M COMFORTABLE WITH A
	8.4

1	VERY BROAD DESCRIPTION BECAUSE I REALLY AGREE WITH
2	SHERRY LANSING, THAT WE WANT TO BE ON THE CUTTING
3	EDGE. SO I DON'T WANT TO NARROWLY PRESCRIBE IN
4	ADVANCE WHAT MIGHT COME TO US. AND LET'S NOT FORGET
5	THIS IS VERY HIGH RISK. I MEAN THE GELSINGER CASE
6	WAS AN IN VIVO GENE THERAPY APPROACH. AND SO I
7	THINK THAT IT IS A FRAUGHT FIELD, AND I WOULD LIKE
8	TO BE AT THE CUTTING EDGE BECAUSE I THINK THE PEOPLE
9	WHO ARE GOING TO DO IT ARE PEOPLE WE'VE BEEN FUNDING
10	TO LEARN HOW TO DO IT EX VIVO. AND THE METAPHOR I
11	USED AT THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE IS I'D HATE TO
12	BRING THE HORSE TO WATER AND THEN SAY YOU CAN'T
13	DRINK AND LET SOMEBODY ELSE GET THAT CREDIT.
14	DR. STEWARD: LET ME JUST SAY, IF I COULD,
15	IT'S MAYBE NOT BRINGING THE HORSE. IT MAY BE
16	BRINGING A HERD IF WE'RE NOT PRETTY PRESCRIPTIVE IN
17	HOW WE DEFINE THE TERM "GENE THERAPY." AGAIN, NOT
18	TO JUST OVERWHELM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, I THINK,
19	YES, THESE ARE EXPERTS, AS YOU KNOW, TO WHOM I
20	ALWAYS GRANT THANKS FOR ALL THEIR HARD WORK. BUT I
21	THINK THAT THIS IS REALLY GOING TO BE A HARD
22	DECISION FOR THEM TO MAKE IN THAT CONTEXT.
23	ACTUALLY COULD I ALSO JUST ASK ONE
24	QUESTION? HOW MANY PROJECTS OVER THE YEARS HAVE WE
25	FUNDED IN THIS CATEGORY OF I FORGET THE EXACT

1	TERMINOLOGY NOT STEM CELLS, BUT THAT REQUIRED A
2	TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE?
3	DR. SAMBRANO: WE HAVEN'T.
4	MR. SHEEHY: I GUESS YOUR PROBLEM THAT YOU
5	IDENTIFY IS A PROBLEM I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE. I WOULD
6	LOVE PEOPLE LINING I WOULD LOVE A HERD OF PEOPLE
7	WITH CURES FOR DISEASES INVOLVING IN VIVO GENE
8	MODIFICATION. I THINK THAT THAT WOULD BE A HUGE
9	SUCCESS IF CIRM IN SOME WAY MADE THAT HAPPEN. I
10	MEAN THAT'S WHAT WE ALL HOPE HAPPENS.
11	SO I WOULD LOVE TO DEAL WITH THAT PROBLEM
12	WHEN IT HAPPENED.
13	DR. STEWARD: IT'S BETTER THAN A HORSE.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DULY NOTED. DR.
15	MARTIN.
16	DR. MARTIN: THE PROPOSAL, I GATHER, IS
17	NOT OR THE MOTION IS NOT TO RESTRICT THIS TO IN VIVO
18	GENE THERAPY. IT'S GENE THERAPY WHETHER IT BE EX
19	VIVO, IN VIVO, AUTOLOGOUS, OR ALLOGENEIC. IS THAT
20	TRUE?
21	DR. SAMBRANO: THE COMPONENT THAT REQUIRES
22	THE TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY BY THE GWG IS SPECIFICALLY
23	THE NONSTEM CELLS. SO THIS WOULD BE IN VIVO GENE
24	THERAPY IN AN ENDOGENOUS NONSTEM CELL. OTHER
25	THINGS, SUCH AS WHETHER IT'S EX VIVO OR IN VIVO AND

1	IT INVOLVES A STEM CELL, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO FUND
2	THAT ALREADY. SO IT IS JUST THIS SUBCOMPONENT THAT
3	WE WOULD BE REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR.
4	DR. MARTIN: BUT WHAT ABOUT EX VIVO GENE
5	THERAPY FOR A NONSTEM CELL, EXACTLY AS YOU HAVE
6	FUNDED FOR STEM CELLS?
7	DR. SAMBRANO: NO, THAT WOULD NOT BE
8	INCLUDED.
9	DR. MARTIN: FOR WHAT REASON?
10	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT THAT WAS NOT THE
11	RECOMMENDATION THAT CAME OUT OF THE SCIENCE
12	SUBCOMMITTEE.
13	DR. MILLAN: MAY I JUST MAKE A STATEMENT?
14	I THINK A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT HAVE ARISEN IN THE
15	PAST AS WE CONSIDERED PROJECT OPPORTUNITIES THAT
16	CAME TO US THAT WERE NOT ELIGIBLE BECAUSE THIS
17	WASN'T ELIGIBLE IS THAT THERE MAY BE SOME PROMISING
18	APPROACHES TO ADDRESS SIGNIFICANT UNMET MEDICAL
19	NEEDS THAT MAY INVOLVE STEM CELL, BUT MAYBE
20	PREDOMINANTLY AFFECTS THE TARGET POPULATION THAT
21	INDUCES AN EFFICACY AND BENEFIT SIGNAL. AND SO THEN
22	WE COME TO THIS ELIGIBILITY EXERCISE WHERE THEN THE
23	APPLICANTS ARE THEN ASKED TO PROVE THAT IN VIVO IT'S
24	TARGETING THOSE STEM CELLS, AND IT'S A SIGNIFICANT
25	PART OF THE MECHANISM OF ACTION. AND A LOT OF WHAT

1	HAPPENS AS THESE THERAPIES ARE BEING DEVELOPED AND
2	IT'S BEING MORE ELUCIDATED WHAT THE EFFECT IS IT'S
3	THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE STEM CELL COMPARTMENT, IF
4	THERE IS ONE IN THERE, IS NOT SOMETHING THAT'S
5	REALLY ELUCIDATED UNTIL YOU START DOING CLINICAL
6	TRIALS OFTEN. RIGHT? AND SO YOU'RE KIND OF BETWEEN
7	A ROCK AND A HARD PLACE OF REALLY WHAT HAPPENS IS
8	WE'RE ARTIFICIALLY PUTTING RESTRICTIONS BEFORE WE
9	GET ANSWERS SOMETIMES. THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS.
10	ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY HERE, OUR NEXT
11	GENERATION, LET'S SAY WE GET AN HIV CURE, BUT WE
12	WANT TO BE ABLE TO DELIVER IT TO OTHER PARTS OF THE
13	WORLD THAT IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO HANDLE SOME OF
14	THE VERY COMPLEX MANUFACTURING EX VIVO PROCESSES
15	THAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING EXPLORED. IN THE FUTURE,
16	THE NEXT GENERATION MAY BE IN VIVO GENE DELIVERY.
17	AND SO THOSE ARE KIND OF JUST I JUST WANTED TO
18	THROW IT OUT THERE IN TERMS OF WHAT THIS COULD ALLOW
19	US TO CONSIDER, AGAIN, PROVIDED THAT IT GOES THROUGH
20	A VERY RIGOROUS PEER REVIEW AND GETS EVALUATED FOR
21	IT OVERCOMES A HURDLE THAT IT IS A VITAL RESEARCH
22	OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS AN UNMET MEDICAL NEED THAT'S
23	UNIQUELY ADDRESSED WITH THIS. I JUST WANTED TO PUT
24	THOSE TWO CONSIDERATIONS OUT THERE.
25	MR. TOCHER: JUST A QUICK CLARIFYING
	0.0

1	COMMENT ON OUR PART, NOT IN SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION TO
2	THE MOTION, JUST NOTHING IN THE PROPOSAL CHANGES THE
3	FACT THAT THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE ALWAYS
4	EXERCISES THE FINAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY AS TO
5	WHETHER TO FUND OR NOT FUND ANY AWARD REGARDLESS OF
6	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATION.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. IS THERE ANY
8	OTHER DISCUSSION? DR. STEWARD.
9	DR. STEWARD: I AM SORRY, BUT A QUESTION.
10	SO IF WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO FUND OTHER VITAL
11	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES, BUT NEVER HAVE, WHAT WOULD
12	BE THE PROCEDURE BY WHICH THOSE OTHER VITAL RESEARCH
13	OPPORTUNITIES WOULD COME BEFORE CIRM, ONES THAT WERE
14	NOT STEM CELLS OR GENE THERAPY?
15	MR. SHEEHY: YOU AND ME AND FRANCISCO AND
16	SHERRY HAVE BEEN HERE SINCE THE BEGINNING. WE NEVER
17	PUT IN THAT'S ON YOU, OS. ME AND SHERRY AND
18	FRANCISCO, WE NEVER CREATED THAT DOOR. NOW, WE
19	COULD AT SOME POINT CREATE A DOOR, BUT WE HAVEN'T.
20	SO
21	DR. STEWARD: YOU COULD IMAGINE THAT THIS
22	DOOR SHOULD BE THERE AND IT ISN'T. AND I'M AGAIN
23	JUST I LOVE GENE THERAPY. IT'S GREAT. IT'S
24	INCREDIBLE, BUT I'M JUST CONCERNED THAT, AGAIN,
25	THERE ARE OTHER SHOTS ON GOAL OUT THERE. IF WE'RE

1	GOING TO OPEN THE DOOR, LET'S MAKE IT OPEN.
2	DR. PRIETO: WE HAVE HAD APPLICANTS COME
3	IN IN VARIOUS ROUNDS TRYING TO SLIDE KIND OF
4	SIDEWAYS THROUGH A DOOR THROUGH WHICH THEY REALLY
5	DON'T FIT, MORE THAN ONCE. I THINK THOSE GENERALLY
6	GET WEEDED OUT. SO THERE'S POTENTIALLY AN AVENUE
7	THERE. OH, YEAH. WE'RE REALLY DOING THIS WHATEVER.
8	THAT'S SELF-DECLARED, BUT PERHAPS WE NEED TO CREATE
9	A DOOR.
10	DR. STEWARD: MY POINT IS THAT THIS WOULD
11	NEVER GET TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. THE
12	EXCLUSION CRITERIA THAT CIRM APPLIES TO THE GRANTS
13	MANAGEMENT PROCESS AT THE FIRST ITERATION WOULD
14	PRECLUDE ANYTHING THAT DOESN'T QUALIFY FROM GETTING
15	THERE. THAT'S MY POINT.
16	MR. SHEEHY: IN THIS INSTANCE WE ARE
17	CREATING A DOOR.
18	DR. STEWARD: WE ARE. I KNOW.
19	MR. SHEEHY: THIS IS THE DECISION POINT
20	WE'RE AT. AGAIN, I JUST THINK LINKING IT TO
21	WE'VE BEEN AROUND, WHAT, WHAT ARE WE GOING ON NOW,
22	ALMOST 14, 15 YEARS. WE CREATED SOME CAPACITY. AND
23	WHAT I'M HEARING IS THAT CAPACITY, IN ORDER TO FULLY
24	REALIZE THE PROMISE OF CURES, INCLUDES CREATING THIS
25	DOOR AND THAT'S WHY. THERE IS A LINKAGE TO THE WORK

1	THAT WE'VE BEEN DOING AS AN AGENCY IN THE PAST.
2	THERE'S A CHAIN OF BEING, SO TO SPEAK, A LINE OF
3	EXPLORATION AND DISCOVERY. THAT'S WHY LIKE I
4	SAY, I WOULD HATE TO HAVE PEOPLE WHO HAVE DOING WORK
5	AND GETTING MORE AND MORE SOPHISTICATED TO BE SO
6	SOPHISTICATED THAT THEY WORK THEMSELVES OUT OF
7	ELIGIBILITY AT A POINT WHEN YOU COULD HAVE, AS I
8	SAID, THE MOST SCALABLE, THE MOST COMMERCIALLY
9	VIABLE PROJECTS.
LO	DR. STEWARD: JUST TO BE CLEAR, I'M NOT
L1	OBJECTING TO A DOOR. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE
L2	DEFINITION OF THIS ONE. AND I JUST WONDER IF WE
L3	OUGHT TO JUST TAKE A BREATH AND THINK THROUGH THIS A
L4	LITTLE BIT MORE CLEARLY. AND THAT IS TELLING YOU
L5	HOW I'M GOING TO VOTE ON THIS IF IT COMES TO A VOTE
L6	RIGHT NOW. MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE OUR DEFINITIONS
L7	VERY CLEAR, MAKING SURE THAT IF WE DO WANT TO LIMIT
L8	IT, THAT'S FINE. MAKE THAT DECISION. IF WE DON'T
L9	WANT TO LIMIT IT, THEN THE DOOR IS REALLY THERE FOR
20	OTHER VITAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES THAT COME TO US.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. SAMBRANO, DO WE
22	HAVE A WORKING DEFINITION?
23	DR. SAMBRANO: THE ONLY DEFINITION IS WHAT
24	YOU SEE BEFORE YOU OF IN VIVO GENE THERAPY FOR
25	ENDOGENOUS NONSTEM CELLS.

1	DR. VUORI: I WAS SORT OF THINKING WHEN
2	LISTENING TO DR. STEWARD'S COMMENTS THAT IF WE THINK
3	ABOUT THE CIRM MISSION, AS THE NAME OF THE ENTITY
4	IMPLIES, I THINK THE GOAL IS TO CARRY OUT
5	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. AND REALLY WHAT THIS MEANS
6	IS WE ARE TRYING TO REPLACE OR REGENERATE EITHER
7	CELLS, TISSUES, OR ORGANS IN ORDER TO RESTORE OR
8	ESTABLISH THEIR NORMAL FUNCTION. AND SO FAR THE
9	MAIN WAY WE HAVE ADDRESSED THIS IS THROUGH STEM
10	CELLS, RIGHT? AND BASICALLY THIS MAKES SENSE IN
11	STEM CELLS ARE CELLS THAT WHEN PUT BACK INTO THE
12	HUMAN BODY AGAIN DO THAT VERY THING. THEY CAN
13	RESTORE, REGENERATE THE CELL, TISSUE, OR ORGAN
14	FUNCTION THAT WAS NOT THERE.
15	NOW, THE FIELD OF GENE THERAPY IS MOVING
16	FORWARD VERY RAPIDLY SO THAT IN MY MIND THE VERY
17	DEFINITION OF GENE THERAPY IS THAT NOW WE ARE
18	TRANSFERRING GENETIC MATERIAL INTO CELLS TO PROVIDE
19	THEM WITH THESE NEW FUNCTIONS IN THE BODY. SO IT'S
20	NOT THE STEM CELL THAT GOES IN THERE AND RESTORES
21	THE FUNCTION. THE FIELD OF GENE THERAPY AND IN VIVO
22	GENE THERAPY IS AT A POINT WHERE THE GENETIC
23	MATERIAL TRANSFERRED TO CELLS IS ABLE TO RESTORE,
24	GIVE THEM THIS FUNCTION THAT WAS LOST OR NEVER
25	THERE.

1	SO IF IT HELPS, SHOULD WE LIMIT THE
2	DEFINITION OF IN VIVO GENE THERAPY TO MEAN
3	ESSENTIALLY TRANSFER OF GENETIC MATERIAL INTO CELLS
4	IN VIVO WITH THE INTENT TO PROVIDE THEM WITH NEW
5	FUNCTIONS; I.E., REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, SO WE'RE NOT
6	TRYING TO DO EVERYTHING THAT GENE THERAPY CAN BE
7	DOING, BUT REALLY LIVE UP TO THE SPIRIT OF THE
8	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE MISSION OF CIRM.
9	MR. SHEEHY: SO I WOULD TAKE THAT AS A
10	FRIENDLY AMENDMENT. BUT I WONDER IF WE SHOULD ALSO
11	INCLUDE DR. MARTIN'S, WITH THAT TIGHTER DEFINITION,
12	TO ALSO INCLUDE EX VIVO? YOU CAN IMAGINE CERTAIN
13	CELLS THAT A STEP WHERE YOU WOULD TAKE THE CELLS
14	FROM THE BODY TO DO IT BEFORE YOU DO IT IN THE BODY.
15	DR. MARTIN: I THINK THAT THE LINE IN THE
16	SAND BETWEEN IN VIVO AND EX VIVO IS VERY SOFT. AND
17	I'LL JUST GIVE YOU A SCENARIO. I'M NOT SURE HOW FAR
18	AWAY IT IS. SUPPOSE YOU HAVE A PATIENT WHO IS A
19	GOOD CANDIDATE FOR GENE THERAPY, AND THAT PATIENT
20	HAS, FOR INSTANCE, A TWIN. AND THE TWIN YOU DO EX
21	VIVO GENE THERAPY AND THEN YOU JUST SET UP A
22	SYMBIOSIS BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM. AND PEOPLE ARE
23	DOING THAT. RIGHT? AND ALL OF A SUDDEN THE THERAPY
24	FOR THE PATIENT WHO'S FUNDED BY CIRM, THAT'S AN IN
25	VIVO THERAPY, BUT IT ACTUALLY WAS EX-VIVO TO BEGIN
	0.2

1	WITH. OR THERE IS A MACHINE, AN INSTRUMENT, THAT'S
2	REMARKABLE THAT MILT TENNY HAS WHERE YOU CAN JUST
3	PUT VIRUS IN THE TOP AND PERIPHERAL BLOOD CELLS IN
4	ANOTHER PORT, AND THAT WILL MAKE CAR-T CELLS AND
5	THEY COME OUT THE BOTTOM.
6	AND SO YOU HOOK THE PATIENT UP TO JUST THE
7	BLOOD SOURCE, AND NOW IT GOES BACK INTO THE PATIENT.
8	AND THAT'S WORKING. AND IT'S INCREDIBLY EFFICIENT.
9	IT'S SAFER THAN HAVING HUMANS DO THIS MANIPULATION,
10	THE SCIENTIST. SO I THINK THAT THE DIVIDING LINE
11	BETWEEN EX VIVO AND IN VIVO, WHILE YOU MAY BE ABLE
12	TO KNOW IT WHEN YOU SEE IT, NOW I THINK IT'S GOING
13	TO CHANGE. SO I'M NOT SURE THAT WE WANT TO RESTRICT
14	TO JUST EX VIVO EVEN THOUGH THAT WAS THE
15	DECLARATION. AND MAYBE THAT'S SOMETHING WE'LL TAKE
16	ON A YEAR FROM NOW WHEN PEOPLE ARE PUTTING
17	TRANSPOSON I.V. AND THAT'S GOING TO WORK. IT'S
18	CONFUSING RIGHT NOW BECAUSE IT'S EVOLVING.
19	MR. SHEEHY: SO MAYBE THE MOTION IS TO
20	INCLUDE IN VIVO AND EX VIVO GENETIC MODIFICATION OF
21	ENDOGENOUS CELLS. AND I THINK I'LL HAVE TO RELY ON
22	DR. VUORI FOR THE CLARIFICATION ON WHAT WE EXPECT TO
23	HAPPEN WITH THE GENETIC MODIFICATION. THAT WAS A
24	GREAT ANSWER, BUT I DIDN'T QUITE GET IT ALL LINED
25	OUT, BUT I THOUGHT THAT THAT WAS A VERY CLEAN, NEAT
	0.4

1	DEFINITION SO THAT WE'RE NOT SAYING JUST ANYTHING.
2	DR. MELMED: THERE ARE ENDOGENOUS CELLS,
3	AND THERE COULD BE EXOGENOUS. ENDOGENOUS MEANS NOT
4	SELF.
5	MR. SHEEHY: SO WE'LL DROP BOTH. WE'LL
6	DROP ENDOGENOUS. DR. VUORI, YOU HAD A DEFINITION.
7	I BELIEVE IT INCLUDED INTRODUCTION OF GENETIC
8	MATERIAL INTO THE CELLS AND AN ELEMENT THAT CHANGED
9	THE FUNCTION OF THE CELLS. THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN A
10	THIRD TEST, BUT I THINK THOSE ARE AT LEAST TWO OF
11	THE TESTS.
12	DR. VUORI: UNFORTUNATELY I DIDN'T WRITE
13	ANYTHING DOWN. SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES OF
14	ESSENTIALLY TRANSFER OF GENETIC MATERIAL INTO CELLS
15	IN ORDER TO RESTORE OR ESTABLISH NORMAL CELLULAR
16	FUNCTION OR REGENERATIVE FUNCTION.
17	DR. MELMED: REGENERATE DISEASED TISSUE.
18	DR. VUORI: YEAH. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE
19	LINES.
20	DR. STEWARD: WE CAN'T BE WRITING THESE
21	KINDS OF THINGS ON THE FLY AT A MEETING LIKE THIS, I
22	DON'T THINK. AGAIN, JUST I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE
23	SET THIS ASIDE, PUT IT DOWN IN WRITING, GIVE US ALL
24	A CHANCE TO THINK ABOUT IT AND AMEND IT, AND VOTE ON
25	IT. EITHER WE CAN DO IT AT AN AD HOC BOARD MEETING

1	OR BY PHONE OR WHATEVER. THERE'S NO RUSH TO DO THIS
2	RIGHT AT THIS MOMENT.
3	MR. SHEEHY: I ACTUALLY DO THINK THERE'S A
4	RUSH. WE DON'T MEET AGAIN TILL DECEMBER.
5	DR. STEWARD: DO IT BY PHONE OR SPECIAL
6	PHONE-IN BOARD MEETING.
7	DR. PRIETO: MR. CHAIRMAN, DO WE HAVE A
8	PROPOSAL IN THE WINGS, SO TO SPEAK, THAT WOULD
9	CREATE AN URGENCY?
10	MR. SHEEHY: THEY'RE NOT CURRENTLY
11	ELIGIBLE, SO WE DON'T KNOW. I WOULD PREFER TO
12	VOTE PERSONALLY YOU'RE THE SECOND, I THINK. I
13	WOULD PREFER TO VOTE ON THE MOTION MYSELF.
14	DR. PRIETO: I'M IN SUPPORT OF THE IDEA,
15	BUT I I UNDERSTAND OS' CONCERNS ABOUT TRYING TO
16	WORDSMITH THIS IN THIS KIND OF SETTING. IT'S NOT
17	IDEAL. AND I THINK WE COULD PROBABLY WAIT UNTIL
18	NEXT MONTH. I'D BE CERTAINLY HAPPY TO DO A PHONE
19	MEETING MYSELF.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LET ME ASK MR. TOCHER.
21	IS THERE ANY REASON THIS COULD OR COULD NOT BE AN
22	ITEM TO VOTE ON ON THE NEXT TELEPHONIC APPLICATION
23	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE?
24	MR. TOCHER: NO. WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE
25	SURE THAT WE COULD GET A QUORUM TO ATTEND THAT

1	MEETING TELEPHONICALLY, BUT ASSUMING THAT COULD BE
2	DONE.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THE MONTHLY CALLS ARE
4	FOR THE FULL BOARD AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW
5	SUBCOMMITTEE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: THE APPLICATION REVIEW
7	SUBCOMMITTEE THAT ATTENDS, WE WOULD JUST NEED TO
8	POLL THE BOARD AND ENSURE THAT WE HAD QUORUM.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. EVERYBODY HEAR
10	THAT? MR. SHEEHY, IF WE PUT THIS OFF FOR ONE MONTH,
11	WOULD THAT BE OKAY WITH YOU?
12	MR. SHEEHY: SURE.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
14	DR. STEWARD, SO THE IDEA MR. SHEEHY HAS
15	SAID HE'S OKAY WITH THE IDEA OF PUTTING THIS OFF FOR
16	A MONTH TILL THE NEXT TELEPHONIC MEETING OF THE
17	BOARD AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WITH
18	AN UNDERSTANDING THAT WE NEED TO GET ENOUGH PEOPLE
19	ON THAT CALL WHO AREN'T ON THE APPLICATION REVIEW
20	SUBCOMMITTEE SO THAT WE HAVE A QUORUM OF THE BOARD.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: THAT'S ON NOVEMBER 15TH
22	AT ELEVEN IN THE MORNING JUST SO EVERYONE WRITES
23	THAT DOWN.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. MR. SHEEHY.
25	MR. SHEEHY: MIGHT I ASK IF DR. MILLAN AND
	0.7

	BETH G. DRAIN, GA GSR NO. 7 132
1	CIRM TEAM COULD FACILITATE COMING UP WITH A
2	DEFINITION BASED ON SOME OF THE CONVERSATIONS WE'VE
3	HAD HERE TODAY?
4	DR. MILLAN: YES, WE WILL.
5	DR. SANDMEYER: ALONG THOSE LINES, WOULD
6	IT BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE SEVERAL EXAMPLES OF WHAT
7	WOULD NOT QUALIFY FOR IN VIVO GENE THERAPY UNDER
8	THIS NEW INVITATION?
9	MR. SHEEHY: I WITHDRAW THE MOTION.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOTION IS WITHDRAWN.
11	THE SECOND WITHDRAWN AS WELL. IT'S BEEN A VERY
12	GOOD, ROBUST DISCUSSION. THANK YOU, DR. STEWARD,
13	FOR YOUR THOUGHTS. AND THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY, FOR
14	YOUR LEADERSHIP ON THIS IDEA. THANK YOU, DR. VUORI,
15	FOR YOUR ARTICULATION. WE WILL HAVE THIS AS A
16	CALENDARED ITEM FOR THE NOVEMBER APPLICATION REVIEW
17	AND BOARD CALL.
18	SO ON TO ITEM NO. 11.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: TEN AND 11 WERE TOGETHER.
20	MS. WINOKUR: MAY I ASK A QUESTION? WHO
21	WILL BE WORKING ON THIS IN THE MEANTIME?
22	DR. MILLAN: DIANE, THE CIRM TEAM WILL
23	CIRCULATE PROPOSED LANGUAGE TO THE BOARD.
24	MS. WINOKUR: THANK YOU.
25	DR. MILLAN: YOU'RE WELCOME.
	98

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. I BELIEVE WE'RE
2	GOING TO SKIP TO NO. 15, CORRECT?
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: LET'S DO ITEM NO. 9 A.M.,
4	HAVE EVERYONE GRAB LUNCH, AND THEN COME BACK.
5	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: FAIR ENOUGH. ITEM NO.
6	9 A.M., CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF CO-CHAIRS TO
7	THE EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE. THIS IS FOR ME TO
8	DISCUSS.
9	SO IN THE ORDINARY COURSE, WE CONDUCT AN
10	ANNUAL REVIEW OF OUR CEO'S PERFORMANCE AND WANTED TO
11	ENGAGE THE EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE TO DO THAT. AND
12	TOWARDS THAT END, I HAVE ASKED DR. GASSON AND MR.
13	JUELSGAARD IF THEY WOULD BE CO-CHAIRS FOR THAT
14	EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE GOING FORWARD. SO THE SOLE
15	PURPOSE OF THIS MOTION, WHICH WE NEED ONE, IS TO
16	APPOINT THEM IN THOSE CAPACITIES.
17	THE EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE IS UNUSUAL
18	AMONGST SUBCOMMITTEES AS REQUIRING A BOARD VOTE FOR
19	THIS SORT OF THING IN CASE ANYBODY IS WONDERING WHY
20	THIS AN AGENDIZED TOPIC.
21	SO I WOULD MOVE THAT WE SO APPOINT DR.
22	GASSON AND MR. JUELSGAARD AS CO-CHAIRS OF THE
23	EVALUATION SUBCOMMITTEE. IS THERE A SECOND?
24	DR. LUBIN: SECOND.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. LUBIN.
	99
	i 71 71

	,
1	ANY DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? HEARING NONE,
2	IS THIS SOMETHING THAT REQUIRES A ROLL CALL OR IS
3	VOICE VOTE SUFFICIENT, MR. TOCHER?
4	MR. TOCHER: WE SHOULD DO A ROLL CALL VOTE
5	AND WITH THOSE ON THE PHONE.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ROLL CALL EVEN IN THE
7	ROOM.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL. LINDA
9	BOXER.
10	DR. BOXER: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.
12	DR. BURTIS: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. DAVID
14	BRENNER. ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
15	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS. SHERRY
17	LANSING.
18	MS. LANSING: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
20	DR. MALKAS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: BERT LUBIN.
22	DR. LUBIN: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
24	DR. MARTIN: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
	100
	100

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

		,
1		DR. MELMED: YES.
2		MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
3		MS. MILLER: YES.
4		MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
5		DR. PADILLA: YES.
6		MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
7	PRIETO.	
8		DR. PRIETO: AYE.
9		MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
10	ROWLETT.	
11		MR. ROWLETT: YES.
12		MS. BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE SANDMEYER.
13		DR. SANDMEYER: YES.
14		MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
15		MR. SHEEHY: YES.
16		MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
17		DR. STEWARD: YES.
18		MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
19		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
20		MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21		MR. TORRES: AYE.
22		MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
23		DR. VUORI: YES.
24		MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
25		MS. WINOKUR: YES.
		101
		TOT

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WE ACTUALLY ARE
3	GOING TO ADJOURN FOR LUNCH IN TWO SECONDS, BUT WE
4	HAVE A FIVE-SECOND ITEM, WHICH IS NO. 13. LET'S
5	JUST GET THROUGH THAT AS WELL. APPOINTMENT OF
6	SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GWG.
7	AS ALWAYS ON A MONTHLY BASIS, WE HAVE
8	NEWLY IDENTIFIED POTENTIAL MEMBERS OF THAT. IT'S
9	NORMALLY A CONSENT ITEM. IT'S LISTED HERE AS AN
10	ACTION ITEM. SO DO I HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE?
11	MS. LANSING: I MOVE IT.
12	DR. MALKAS: SECOND.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. MALKAS.
14	IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS? ANYBODY WANT DR.
15	SAMBRANO TO NAME WHO IT IS, OR WE JUST TRUST THAT,
16	AS UNUSUAL, HE'S COME UP WITH AUGUST ADDITIONS TO
17	OUR GROUP?
18	DR. SAMBRANO: YOU SHOULD HAVE THE BRIEF
19	BIO OF DR. ALAN ROBBINS IN YOUR MATERIALS.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY DISCUSSION? ANY
21	PUBLIC COMMENT? ALL THOSE IN THE ROOM IN FAVOR
22	PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS? MARIA, WILL
23	YOU CALL THE ROLL OF THOSE ON THE PHONE.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
25	DR. BOXER: YES.
	102
	102

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864

	· -
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS. SHERRY
2	LANSING.
3	MS. LANSING: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
5	MS. MILLER: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
7	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
9	DR. VUORI: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
11	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. MOTION
13	CARRIES.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. THANK YOU. SO
15	WE'RE GOING TO CONVENE NOW. WE WOULD LIKE MEMBERS
16	TO GET THEIR LUNCH AND BRING IT BACK INTO THE ROOM.
17	WHEN WE DO RESUME, WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO ITEMS
18	INVOLVING THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
19	STARTING WITH ITEM 15 AND THEN PROCEEDING TO ITEMS 5
20	AND 6, WHICH WILL BE CHAIRED BY MR. SHEEHY. SO IF
21	EVERYBODY COULD GET THEIR LUNCH AND PLEASE COME BACK
22	AND WE WILL RESUME SHORTLY. THANK YOU.
23	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO
25	RESUME. GOING TO START, AS I MENTIONED, WITH ITEM
	103
	103

1	15, WHICH IS IN REFERENCE TO THE DISCOVERY AWARDS.
2	BEFORE WE TURN IT OVER TO MR. SHEEHY, MR. TOCHER HAS
3	A COMMENT.
4	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. SO AT THE
5	MOMENT NOW THE BOARD IS SITTING AS THE APPLICATION
6	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, ITEM 15,
7	BECAUSE IT CAME TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION AFTER THE
8	ORIGINAL NOTICE WAS POSTED TEN DAYS AGO, WE JUST
9	HAVE A FORMALITY WE NEED TO ENGAGE IN IN ORDER TO
10	CONSIDER THESE QUEST ITEMS. SO WE JUST NEED TO TAKE
11	A VOTE ON TWO COMPONENTS: ONE, THAT THE APPLICATION
12	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE NEEDS TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION
13	TO ENSURE NO UNDUE DELAY IN THE PROGRESSION OF THIS
14	RESEARCH AND, SECOND, THAT THE NEED FOR THIS ACTION,
15	CONSIDERATION OF THESE QUEST AWARDS, CAME TO OUR
16	ATTENTION AFTER THE ORIGINAL AGENDA WAS POSTED.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DO YOU NEED
18	MR. TOCHER: MEMBERS OF THE APPLICATION
19	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO MAKE, SECOND, AND VOTE ON
20	THAT MOTION.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO GIVE OVER TO MR.
22	SHEEHY.
23	MR. SHEEHY: SO COULD I GET A MOTION TO
24	THAT EFFECT FROM ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
25	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE?

104

	DETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. / 152
1	DR. DULIEGE: MOVE IT.
2	DR. MARTIN: SECOND.
3	MR. SHEEHY: A MOTION FROM DR. DULIEGE AND
4	A SECOND FROM DR. MARTIN. DO WE NEED A VOICE VOTE,
5	SCOTT?
6	MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT AND A ROLL FOR
7	THOSE ON THE PHONE.
8	MR. SHEEHY: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR. AND THEN
9	FOR THE PHONE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. LAUREN
11	MILLER.
12	MS. MILLER: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
14	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
16	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
18	MR. SHEEHY: SO THAT MOTION PASSES.
19	NOW WE'RE MOVING INTO ITEM 15?
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. TOCHER, DO WE NEED
21	TWO MOTIONS?
22	MR. TOCHER: NO. THAT ONE WAS FINE. YOU
23	CAN CONSIDER THE ITEM NOW.
24	MR. SHEEHY: DR. SAMBRANO HAS A
25	PRESENTATION.
	105
	103

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

1	DR. SAMBRANO: WE ARE NOW GOING TO
2	CONSIDER THE CIRM QUEST DISCOVERY PROGRAM
3	APPLICATIONS. AND I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU JUST A
4	BRIEF REMINDER OF WHAT THE QUEST PROGRAM IS ABOUT,
5	WHICH IS KIND OF OUR ENGINE OF DISCOVERY. IT TAKES
6	PROMISING NEW STEM CELL-BASED TECHNOLOGIES THAT WILL
7	BE READY FOR TRANSLATIONAL WORK WITHIN ABOUT TWO
8	YEARS. THE IDEA IS TO GET THEM TO DEVELOP A
9	CANDIDATE THAT CAN BE ADVANCED TO TRANSLATION AS
10	QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.
11	NOW, SOME OF THESE APPLICATIONS HAVE GONE
12	THROUGH A LONG JOURNEY TO GET HERE, AND SO I'M JUST
13	GOING TO DESCRIBE A LITTLE BIT OF THAT HISTORY.
14	SO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP REVIEWED THESE
15	APPLICATIONS AND ORIGINALLY RECOMMENDED 14 OF THEM
16	FOR FUNDING. SO THE TOTAL APPLICANT REQUEST WAS
17	ABOUT 19 MILLION; HOWEVER, THE FUNDS THAT WERE
18	AVAILABLE WERE ONLY TEN.
19	SO WHAT HAPPENED AT A JULY 19 ICOC
20	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE WAS THAT \$10 MILLION
21	OF FUNDING WAS APPROVED FOR EIGHT APPLICATIONS, AND
22	THAT INCLUDED PARTIAL FUNDING FOR TWO OF THEM. AND
23	THEN THE DECISION ON THE REMAINING SIX WAS DEFERRED.
24	AND THEN SUBSEQUENT TO THAT MEETING, CIRM RECOVERED
25	SOME FUNDS FROM ONE OF THE PARTIALLY FUNDED
	106

1	APPLICATIONS THAT DIDN'T REALLY GO THROUGH. THE
2	PROJECT PI MOVED OUT OF STATE, AND SO THE PARTIAL
3	FUNDS WERE RECOVERED AND BROUGHT BACK INTO THE POOL
4	OF FUNDS.
5	AND THEN LAST WEEK ON OCTOBER 18TH THE
6	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE CONSIDERED THESE
7	APPLICATIONS AGAIN, APPROVED FUNDING OF 549,000 OR
8	550 OR SO TO COMPLETE THE TOTAL AMOUNT REQUESTED FOR
9	ONE OF THE PARTIALLY FUNDED APPLICATIONS, WHICH WAS
10	DISC2-18109, AND THEN, BECAUSE THAT ESSENTIALLY
11	UTILIZED ALMOST ALL THE FUNDS, DEFERRED DECISION ON
12	THE REMAINING SIX APPLICATIONS. SO THOSE SIX HAVE
13	BEEN CARRIED FORWARD AND STILL COULD NOT ACT UPON
14	IT, BUT DID SO BY RECOMMENDING USE OF FUNDS FROM THE
15	2019 BUDGET TO FUND THOSE SIX APPLICATIONS. SO
16	THAT'S WHY YOU CONSIDERED THAT EARLIER TODAY.
17	CLEARLY THAT WAS APPROVED. AND SO WE NOW HAVE A
18	BUDGET THAT WILL ALLOW FUNDING OF THOSE SIX
19	REMAINING APPLICATIONS.
20	AND SO OUR RECOMMENDATION IS TO MOVE
21	FORWARD WITH FUNDING THOSE APPLICATIONS, AND THE
22	TOTAL THAT WOULD BE UTILIZED FOR THOSE WOULD BE 7.9
23	MILLION FOR THOSE APPLICATIONS. MR. SHEEHY.
24	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. SAMBRANO.
25	SO DO WE HAVE A MOTION FROM THE
	107

	being built, at tok No. 7132
1	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO ACCEPT THE TEAM'S
2	RECOMMENDATION AND FUND THOSE REMAINING
3	APPLICATIONS?
4	DR. JUELSGAARD: SO MOVED.
5	MR. SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?
6	DR. MARTIN: SECOND.
7	MR. SHEEHY: MR. JUELSGAARD HAS MADE THE
8	MOTION; DR. MARTIN HAS SECONDED IT. DO WE HAVE
9	DISCUSSION? PUBLIC COMMENT?
10	DR. STEWARD: BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY ASK FOR
11	PUBLIC COMMENTS, THIS IS A QUESTION. DO WE WANT
12	TO ARE WE MOVING THEM ALL?
13	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
14	DR. STEWARD: CAN I JUST HAVE THE MAKER OF
15	THE MOTION TO COMMENT ON THAT IN SUPPORT OF THAT
16	MOTION?
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: THAT'S UP TO THE CHAIR.
18	I HAVE NO POWER TO CONTROL WHAT YOU SAY OR DON'T
19	SAY. PLEASE, OS, GO AHEAD.
20	MR. SHEEHY: DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?
21	DR. STEWARD: NO. THE QUESTION WAS THE
22	JUSTIFICATION FOR MAKING THE MOTION FOR ALL OF THESE
23	RATHER THAN MAYBE CONSIDERING THEM ONE AT A TIME.
24	THAT'S MY QUESTION.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: JUSTIFICATION WAS THAT
	100

108

	,
1	THEY WERE ALL TIER I PROJECTS WHEN THEY WERE
2	PRESENTED TO US SOME TIME AGO. AND WE ADDRESSED
3	THEM ONE AT A TIME LAST TIME BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE
4	ENOUGH MONEY TO DO THEM ALL, AND WE RECOGNIZED THAT
5	AB INITIO. SO WE SAID, OKAY, WELL, LET'S TAKE THEM
6	ONE BY ONE BY ONE.
7	IF YOU WANT TO
8	(INTERRUPTION BY PHONE OPERATOR.)
9	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'LL ADMIT IT WAS ON MY
10	SIDE, THAT INTERNAL ERROR. I'LL TAKE THE FALL FOR
11	IT, OS.
12	SO IF YOU WANT TO AMEND THE MOTION TO
13	EXCLUDE CERTAIN APPLICATIONS FROM THE MOTION, IT'S
14	SOMETHING YOU CAN RAISE.
15	DR. STEWARD: NO. JUST TO SAY THAT WOULD
16	BE KIND OF THE NORMAL WAY WE DO THIS. IS THERE A
17	MOTION TO NOT FUND ONE? JUST MY QUESTION.
18	MR. SHEEHY: CAN I ANSWER IT?
19	DR. STEWARD: YEAH.
20	MR. SHEEHY: BECAUSE WE DID HAVE AN
21	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE IN THE INTERIM WHERE
22	WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION. AND THAT'S WHERE THERE WAS
23	KIND OF A DEBATE WHETHER TO ACTUALLY ASK FOR
24	ADDITIONAL MONEY. WE ACTUALLY MET TO REALLOCATE
25	TO DO TWO THINGS: TO REALLOCATE THE RETURNED FUNDS
	100

109

1	TO THE PROJECT THAT WE ONLY HALF FUNDED. AND THEN
2	THE DISCUSSION THERE WAS DO WE DO WE TAKE A
3	POSITION ON THESE REMAINING APPLICATIONS. WHAT CAME
4	FROM THERE WAS TO FUND THE REST OF THE APPLICATIONS.
5	SO THAT'S KIND OF WHAT'S DRIVING THIS MEETING IS
6	THAT THAT DISCUSSION DID NOT START PICKING THEM OUT
7	AND SAYING WE WANT TO FUND. THE REQUEST THEN CAME.
8	THE RECOMMENDATION WAS TO ASK THE FULL BOARD FOR THE
9	FULL FUNDS FOR ALL THE APPLICATIONS. SO THAT'S THE
10	GENESIS OF THAT.
11	DR. STEWARD: THAT WAS AT THE SCIENCE
12	SUBCOMMITTEE THOUGH, RIGHT?
13	MR. SHEEHY: NO. IT WAS THE APPLICATION
14	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
15	DR. STEWARD: NO. WHAT WE DID THERE WAS
16	ACTUALLY SAY THAT WE WILL CARRY THEM FORWARD. WE
17	DIDN'T ACTUALLY VOTE TO FUND THEM, I BELIEVE. I'D
18	HAVE TO GO BACK.
19	MR. SHEEHY: I KNOW. THE MEETINGS WERE ON
20	THE SAME DAY. I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED.
21	MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION WAS TO CONTINUE
22	CONSIDERATION UNTIL THEY COULD BE FUNDED FROM BRIDGE
23	FUNDS THAT MIGHT BE RAISED. SO THAT WAS THE MOTION
24	THAT WAS APPROVED AT THE APPLICATION REVIEW
25	SUBCOMMITTEE.

1	DR. STEWARD: SO THAT WAS MY RECOLLECTION.
2	THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING. JUST AGAIN.
3	MR. SHEEHY: SO WE CAN REVIEW THESE
4	INDIVIDUALLY. WE DO HAVE A MOTION TO CONSIDER THEM
5	ALL, BUT THAT'S UP TO THE MAKER OF THE MOTION AND
6	THE SECOND WHETHER THEY WANT TO WITHDRAW THAT AND WE
7	CONSIDER THESE INDIVIDUALLY.
8	DR. JUELSGAARD: BEFORE I DECIDE, CAN I
9	GET A LITTLE MORE FEEDBACK AS TO BECAUSE IF WE DO
10	THESE ONE BY ONE, IT'S GOING TO TAKE UP A FAIR
11	AMOUNT MORE TIME POTENTIALLY.
12	DR. STEWARD: I'M NOT ACTUALLY SUGGESTING
13	THAT. I'M JUST REALLY SAYING THAT NORMALLY WHAT WE
14	DO IS TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS OF ARE THERE ANY OF
15	THIS GROUP THAT WE DO NOT WANT TO FUND.
16	DR. JUELSGAARD: WHAT WE NORMALLY DO IS I
17	THINK WE SAY MOVE THEM TO TIER II OR SOMETHING LIKE
18	THAT.
19	DR. STEWARD: WHATEVER THE LANGUAGE IS.
20	AND THAT MAY BE
21	DR. JUELSGAARD: WE DID THAT AT THE
22	MEETING. WE NEVER MOVED ANY OF THESE BACK TO TIER I
23	AT THE MEETING IN WHICH WE ONLY PARTIALLY FUNDED
24	THEM.
25	DR. STEWARD: BUT THAT WAS BECAUSE WE
	111

1	CONTINUED CONSIDERATION OF THEM.
2	MR. JUELSGAARD: I'M GOING TO LET MY
3	MOTION REST AS IS. I'M NOT GOING TO WITHDRAW IT,
4	WHICH IS TO APPROVE AT THIS POINT ALL SIX.
5	DR. MARTIN: I'LL APPROVE TOO.
6	MR. SHEEHY: SO WE HAVE THAT MOTION.
7	SINCE THAT'S ON THE FLOOR, IS THERE ANY FURTHER
8	DISCUSSION OF THE MOTION BY BOARD MEMBERS? IS THERE
9	ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
10	DR. REED: I KNOW LOGICALLY THAT CIRM
11	CANNOT WORK ACTUAL MAGIC. YOU CANNOT MAKE MONEY
12	COME WHEN THERE ISN'T SOME. BUT LAST WEEK IT FELT
13	PRETTY CLOSE TO THAT. WHEN THE BOARD SAID ZERO
14	MONEY, AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN A WAY WAS FOUND TO
15	WHERE THESE FIVE GENUINELY OUTSTANDING PROJECTS ARE
16	ALL GOING TO BE FUNDED, THAT'S GOING TO STICK IN MY
17	MIND FOREVER. I'M NOT CONNECTED TO ANY OF THEM. I
18	READ THEM ALL. ONE ON LIVER WAS GREAT; THE ONE ON
19	BLADDER CANCER WAS TERRIFIC. THIS IS IMPORTANT
20	STUFF AND YOU FOUND A WAY. ON BEHALF OF PATIENT
21	ADVOCATES THANK YOU ALL.
22	MR. KHALID: GOOD AFTERNOON, GUYS. MY
23	NAME IS ALI. I'M HERE FOR DISC2-18070 FOR AUTISM
24	TREATMENT BEHALF OF DR. LIPTON STUART. AND I WAS
25	HERE LAST WEEK AS WELL AND I EXPLAINED MYSELF. AND

1	I REALLY APPRECIATED THAT YOU GUYS ARE GIVING ME A
2	CHANCE TO SAY SOMETHING ONE MORE TIME. THIS IS A
3	LIFE-CHANGING MOMENT FOR ME, FOR MY FAMILY, AND LOT
4	OF PEOPLE OUT THERE LIKE THIS WHO KIDS ARE GOING
5	THROUGH THIS DAILY BASIS BECAUSE WE CAN UNDERSTAND
6	WHAT WE GO THROUGH EVERY DAY AND WHAT WE'RE DEALING
7	WITH.
8	SO THIS IS A HUGE THING FOR US. AND WE
9	DON'T HAVE NO OTHER HOPE BESIDE WHAT DR. LIPTON
10	STUART DOING FOR MY SON AND FOR OTHER KIDS RELATED
11	TO THAT DISEASE, MEF2C. SO THAT'S THE ONLY THING I
12	WANT TO ADD. I HAVE NOTHING ELSE TO SAY. THIS IS
13	UP TO YOU GUYS. THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU.
14	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR
15	YOUR COMMENTS.
16	ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE,
17	CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
19	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
21	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
23	DR. MARTIN: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
25	MS. MILLER: YES.
	113

	,
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
2	DR. PADILLA: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
4	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
6	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
8	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
10	DR. STEWARD: ABSTAIN.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
14	MR. TORRES: AYE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
16	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
18	MR. SHEEHY: GREAT. THANK YOU.
19	I BELIEVE NOW WE GO TO ITEM NO. 5 ON THE
20	AGENDA, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE CLINICAL TRIAL
21	STAGE PROJECTS. AND I THINK WILL DR. PATEL BE
22	TAKING US THROUGH THOSE?
23	DR. PATEL: YES.
24	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. OR THROUGH THAT
25	ONE. I THINK WE HAVE ONE SINGLE PROJECT THERE.
	114

1	DR. PATEL: SO MY NAME IS SHYAM PATEL, AND
2	THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO
3	PRESENT THE CLINICAL PROGRAM TO YOU TODAY. IT'S
4	BEEN A LITTLE WHILE SINCE WE BROUGHT CLIN
5	APPLICATIONS TO YOU, AND WE'RE VERY EXCITED TO BRING
6	THIS ONE TO YOU TODAY.
7	SO, AS YOU KNOW, THE CLINICAL PROGRAM IS
8	ACTUALLY COMPOSED OF THREE DIFFERENT OPPORTUNITIES.
9	THERE'S IND-ENABLING CLIN1 OPPORTUNITY, CLIN2 IS FOR
10	PHASE 1, 2, AND 3 CLINICAL TRIAL PROJECTS, AND CLIN3
11	IS FOR REGISTRATION ACTIVITIES ON AN ONGOING CLIN2
12	AWARD. TODAY WE'RE PRESENTING A CLIN1 APPLICATION
13	TO YOU FOR APPROVAL.
14	JUST A REMINDER, THE SCORING MECHANISM
15	THAT OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP USES FOR THE CLIN
16	PROGRAM, THIS IS A THREE-TIER SCORING SYSTEM AS
17	OPPOSED TO OUR OTHER FUNDING MECHANISMS. A SCORE OF
18	1 WOULD INDICATE THE APPLICATION HAS EXCEPTIONAL
19	MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING; A SCORE OF 2 INDICATES
20	IT HAS SOME MINOR FLAWS THAT REQUIRE ADDRESSING
21	PRIOR TO IT BEING AWARDED, AND A SCORE OF 3
22	INDICATES IT HAS MAJOR FLAWS AND SHOULD NOT BE
23	FUNDED AT THIS TIME AND SHOULD NOT BE RESUBMITTED
24	FOR SIX MONTHS.
25	TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF THE CLINICAL
	115

1	BUDGET, WE STARTED THIS YEAR WITH A \$130 MILLION IN
2	THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR THE CLIN PROGRAM. TO DATE YOU
3	APPROVED \$86 MILLION IN APPROVED AWARDS FOR THE CLIN
4	PROGRAM. TODAY'S APPLICATION FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION
5	IS A \$4 MILLION AWARD ROUGHLY. IF YOU WERE TO
6	APPROVE THAT, IT WOULD LEAVE \$40 MILLION FOR THE
7	REST OF THE YEAR.
8	SO WHEN WE STARTED THIS YEAR, CIRM TEAM
9	SET INITIAL INTERNAL TARGETS FOR CLIN 2 AND CLIN1
10	AWARDS. FOR CLIN2 THAT WAS TWELVE. WE'RE AT SIX SO
11	FAR. CLIN1 IT WAS FOUR. WE'VE ALREADY MET THAT
12	TARGET, AND THIS IS GOING TO BE THE FIFTH CLIN1
13	AWARD.
14	SO THE ACTUAL APPLICATION UP FOR
15	CONSIDERATION TODAY IS CLIN1-18223. THIS IS A LATE
16	STAGE PRECLINICAL STUDIES PROJECT FOR A THERAPY FOR
17	HIV/AIDS. THE THERAPY ITSELF IS GENETICALLY
18	ENGINEERED CAR-T CELLS. THESE ARE CMV-SPECIFIC HIV
19	TARGETING CAR-T CELLS. INDICATION, AGAIN, IS FOR
20	HIV/AIDS. AND THE GOAL FOR THIS PROJECT IS TO
21	OPTIMIZE THE MANUFACTURING, TO CONDUCT PRECLINICAL
22	SAFETY AND EFFICACY STUDIES, NEEDS TO BE
23	IND-ENABLING STUDIES, AND TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT THE
24	IND ITSELF. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE \$3.8 MILLION
24 25	IND ITSELF. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE \$3.8 MILLION WITH ZERO DOLLARS IN COFUNDING. JUST A REMINDER,

1	THE MAXIMUM FUNDS ALLOWABLE FOR THIS CATEGORY ARE \$6
2	MILLION.
3	SO TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA ABOUT THIS PROJECT
4	AND GIVE YOU SOME BACKGROUND ON IT, THE POTENTIAL
5	IMPACT IS THAT THERE ARE 1.1 MILLION PEOPLE IN THE
6	U.S. LIVING WITH HIV, AS MANY OF YOU ALREADY KNOW.
7	THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 40,000 NEWLY DIAGNOSED
8	PATIENTS EACH YEAR. AND THIS IS ACCORDING TO THE
9	NIH. AND APPROXIMATELY 16,000 HIV PATIENTS DIE IN
10	THE U.S. EACH YEAR. COULD BE FOR VARIOUS REASONS.
11	SO THE VALUE PROPOSITION FOR THIS
12	PARTICULAR THERAPY IS THE FOLLOWING: THE CURRENT
13	STANDARD OF CARE, AS YOU ALL KNOW, IS
14	ANTI-RETROVIRAL THERAPY. AND WHILE ART IS EFFECTIVE
15	AT CONTROLLING HIV, IT REQUIRES DAILY ADMINISTRATION
16	OF THE DRUGS, AND IT'S ASSOCIATED WITH VARIOUS
17	MORBIDITIES, INCLUDING CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND
18	CANCER. SO THE PROPOSED CAR-T THERAPY WOULD BE
19	POTENTIALLY A SINGLE ADMINISTRATION OF THE CAR-T
20	CELLS THAT COULD ACHIEVE COMPLETE, WHICH IS A
21	STERILE CURE, OR A FUNCTIONAL CURE OF HIV INFECTION
22	WITHOUT THE NEED FOR ART. SO THEY WOULD NOT NEED
23	THE DAILY ADMINISTRATION OF THIS DRUG POTENTIALLY.
24	THE STEM CELL RELEVANCE IS THAT THE CELL
25	THERAPY ITSELF IS COMPOSED OF CENTRAL MEMORY AND

1	MEMORY STEM T CELLS.
2	WE DO HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER PROJECTS IN
3	OUR PORTFOLIO RIGHT NOW THAT ARE ACTIVE IN THE
4	CLINICAL STAGE THAT ARE ALSO TARGETING THE SAME
5	INDICATION. THERE ARE BOTH PHASE 1 AND PHASE $1/2$
6	TRIALS IN HIV/AIDS OR AIDS LYMPHOMA, AND BOTH OF
7	THESE ARE USING GENE-MODIFIED HEMATOPOETIC STEM
8	CELLS MEANT TO BE RESISTANT TO HIV INFECTION.
9	AND, LASTLY, THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION
10	HAS NOT RECEIVED PREVIOUS FUNDING FROM CIRM FOR
11	EARLIER STAGES OF THE PROJECT.
12	THE GWG REVIEWED THIS APPLICATION, AND
13	THEY FOUND IT TO HAVE EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND IT
14	WARRANTED FUNDING. AND THEY UNANIMOUSLY SCORED IT A
15	TIER I SCORE WITH 12 VOTES. THERE WERE NO VOTES FOR
16	TIER II AND NO VOTES FOR TIER III. AND THE CIRM
17	TEAM CONCURS WITH THE GWG RECOMMENDATION FOR THE
18	FUNDING AMOUNT OF \$3,812,797.
19	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO DO I HAVE A
20	MOTION TO ACCEPT THE GWG AND THE CIRM TEAM
21	RECOMMENDATION?
22	MR. TORRES: MOVE IT.
23	MR. SHEEHY: SENATOR TORRES. DO I HAVE A
24	SECOND?
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECOND.

133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453 208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

118

1	MR. SHEEHY: SECONDED BY CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
2	DO WE HAVE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION? ANY
3	PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
5	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
7	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
9	DR. MARTIN: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
11	MS. MILLER: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
13	DR. PADILLA: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO. AL
15	ROWLETT.
16	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
18	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
20	DR. STEWARD: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
24	MR. TORRES: AYE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
	119

ı	, ,
1	CAN YOU HOLD THIS OPEN?
2	MR. SHEEHY: SURE. I WILL SAY AN
3	INTERESTING THING ABOUT THIS THAT DIDN'T GET
4	HIGHLIGHTED IS THE CMV REACTIVITY, WHICH WILL
5	ACTUALLY PLAY A ROLE, COULD ACTUALLY IT'S ONE OF
6	THE MORE INTERESTING THINGS BECAUSE YOU DON'T NEED
7	TO DO CONDITIONING, THAT YOU'RE ABLE TO PROLIFERATE
8	AND MAINTAIN THE COMPARTMENT BECAUSE OF THE CMV
9	REACTIVITY. AND THAT ACTUALLY COULD HAVE
10	APPLICATION TO ALL CAR-T CELL THERAPIES, GETTING RID
11	OF THE NEED TO USE CONDITIONING IN ORDER TO USE
12	THOSE CELLS. SO I THOUGHT THAT WAS A REALLY COOL
13	ASPECT OF THIS IS THAT THERE WAS A FEATURE TO IT
14	THAT WAS VERY INNOVATIVE.
15	DR. PRIETO: ARE YOU WAITING FOR MY VOTE?
16	MR. SHEEHY: WE'RE WAITING FOR YOUR VOTE.
17	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
18	MR. SHEEHY: WE HAVE AN AYE FOR DR.
19	PRIETO.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
21	MR. SHEEHY: IT PASSES. GREAT. THANK
22	YOU.
23	SO COULD WE GO NOW TO ITEM NO. 6, THE
24	TRANSLATION PRESENTATION, AND I THINK IS THAT DR.
25	SAMBRANO?
	120

1	DR. SAMBRANO: YEAH. IT'S ME.
2	MR. SHEEHY: WE DID PUBLIC COMMENT.
3	DR. SAMBRANO: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY. SO
4	THIS IS THE TRANSLATION PROGRAM. JUST A REMINDER OF
5	WHERE IT FITS AMONG OUR FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES.
6	TRANSLATION IS RIGHT AT THE CENTER, TAKING PROJECTS
7	THAT COME OUT OF DISCOVERY THAT HAVE A CANDIDATE AND
8	TAKES THEM TO THE POINT WHERE THEY'RE READY TO
9	CONDUCT IND-ENABLING STUDIES. SO THAT IS THE
10	OBJECTIVE. OF COURSE, WE WANT TO SUPPORT PROMISING
11	STEM CELL-BASED PROJECTS THAT WILL ACCELERATE THE
12	COMPLETION OF THESE TRANSLATIONAL ACTIVITIES AND
13	ADVANCE THEM AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN TOWARDS THE
14	CLINIC.
15	AS I HAD MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, THE TRAN
16	PROGRAM SUPPORTS CANDIDATES THAT COVER A VARIETY OF
17	PRODUCT TYPES, INCLUDING THERAPEUTICS DEVICES,
18	DIAGNOSTIC, MEDICAL DEVICES, AND TOOLS. IN THIS
19	PARTICULAR CASE, AS IT USUALLY IS THE CASE, THEY ARE
20	PRIMARILY THERAPEUTIC. WE HAD ONE APPLICATION THAT
21	WAS FOR A TOOL. SO FOR THE MOST PART, WE'RE GOING
22	TO DISCUSS THERAPEUTIC APPLICATIONS.
23	SO IN THAT CONTEXT, THE TRANSLATION
24	PROGRAM WILL TAKE SOMETHING THAT HAS IDENTIFIED A
25	SINGLE CANDIDATE THAT SHOWS DISEASE-MODIFYING

1	ACTIVITIES AS THE READINESS POINT FOR COMING INTO
2	THE PROGRAM. WHEN IT'S FUNDED, IT IS ALLOWED ABOUT
3	30 MONTHS TO GET TO THE EXPECTED OUTCOME OF
4	COMPLETING A PRE-IND MEETING WITH THE FDA.
5	THE REVIEW CRITERIA THAT ARE UTILIZED TO
6	ASSESS THESE BY THE GWG INCLUDE THE OVERALL
7	SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT OF THE
8	PROJECT; THAT IS, WHAT VALUE IT BRINGS; THE
9	RATIONALE, WHETHER THAT IS SOUND, MAKES SENSE, AND
10	HAS SUPPORTING DATA TO CONTINUE MOVING THIS FORWARD;
11	WHETHER IT'S WELL-PLANNED AND DESIGNED; AND, OF
12	COURSE, WHETHER IT'S FEASIBLE, INCLUDING HAVING A
13	QUALITY TEAM AND ALL THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO
14	CARRY THE PROJECT OUT.
15	THE SCORING SYSTEM, UNLIKE THE CLINICAL
16	PROGRAM THAT DR. PATEL PRESENTED, GOES BACK TO THE
17	ONE TO A HUNDRED SCORING SYSTEM. SO HERE
18	APPLICATIONS THAT ARE DEEMED MERITORIOUS HAVE A
19	SCORE OF 85 OR ABOVE. THOSE THAT ARE NOT
20	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING HAVE A MEDIAN SCORE BETWEEN
21	1 AND 84.
22	SO THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT CAME OUT OF
23	THIS CYCLE OF TRAN FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP IS
24	THAT THERE ARE THREE APPLICATIONS THAT WERE
25	RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING. THE TOTAL APPLICANT

1	REQUEST FOR THOSE THREE APPLICATIONS IS ABOUT 13.5
2	MILLION, AND WE HAVE ABOUT 15.8 AVAILABLE TO COVER
3	THESE AMOUNTS REQUESTED. SO THERE ARE SUFFICIENT
4	FUNDS TO COVER THOSE THREE.
5	AND SO, BRIEFLY, IF YOU WANT ME TO GO
6	THROUGH EACH ONE, OR WE CAN SHOW THE SPREADSHEET
7	THAT SHOWS THOSE THREE THAT ARE RECOMMENDED.
8	MR. SHEEHY: WHAT ABOUT THIS AS A WAY TO
9	PROCEED? MAYBE I'LL TAKE A MOTION TO SEE ABOUT
10	MOVING ANY FROM TIER II INTO TIER I. AND THEN WE
11	CAN TAKE THEM EACH ONE BY ONE AND HAVE A VOTE ON
12	EACH APPLICATION SINCE THERE'S ONLY THREE OF THEM.
13	SO IS THERE ANYONE WHO WISHES TO MOVE AN
14	APPLICATION FROM TIER II TO TIER I? SINCE THERE'S
15	NO MOTION TO THAT EFFECT, DR. SAMBRANO, CAN WE START
16	WITH THE FIRST RECOMMENDED APPLICATION.
17	DR. SAMBRANO: FIRST APPLICATION THAT'S
18	RECOMMENDED IS TRAN-18265. SO THIS ONE IS ENTITLED
19	"CLINICAL TRANSLATION OF AUTOLOGOUS REGENERATIVE
20	CELL THERAPY FOR BLINDNESS." IT IS ONE OF TWO
21	VISION-RELATED APPLICATIONS THAT ARE BEING
22	RECOMMENDED.
23	THIS ONE IS ADDRESSING BROADLY
24	MACULOPATHY, SO THOSE THINGS THAT INCLUDE
25	AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION, MYOPIC MACULAR

1	DEGENERATION, AND STARGARDT'S DISEASE. AND THE
2	THERAPY APPROACH IS AN AUTOLOGOUS INDUCED
3	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL-DERIVED RETINAL PIGMENT
4	EPITHELIUM THAT WOULD BE TRANSPLANTED IN ORDER TO
5	TREAT PATIENTS WITH THESE MACULOPATHIES.
6	SO THE SCORE THAT WAS GIVEN BY THE GWG WAS
7	AN 85. OUT OF THE 14 MEMBERS THAT SCORED THIS
8	APPLICATION, 13 SCORED WITHIN THE FUNDING RANGE.
9	THERE WAS JUST ONE THAT SCORED BELOW THAT.
10	SO OVERALL THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WAS
11	ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT THIS APPLICATION. THERE WERE
12	SOME MINOR COMMENTS RELATED TO THE OVERALL RATIONALE
13	IN TERMS OF PRELIMINARY DATA AND POSSIBLE CHALLENGE
14	TO COMMERCIALIZATION, BUT OTHERWISE A STRONG
15	RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS APPLICATION.
16	MR. SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT
17	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATION ON THIS
18	APPLICATION?
19	DR. DULIEGE: MOVE.
20	MR. ROWLETT: SECOND.
21	MR. SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ON
22	THAT APPLICATION AMONG BOARD MEMBERS? IS THERE ANY
23	PUBLIC COMMENT ON THAT APPLICATION? CAN WE CALL THE
24	ROLL PLEASE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
	124
	<u> </u>

		,
1		DR. DULIEGE: YES.
2		MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
3		MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
4		MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
5		DR. MARTIN: YES.
6		MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
7		MS. MILLER: YES.
8		MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
9		DR. PADILLA: YES.
10		MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
11		DR. PRIETO: AYE.
12		MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
13		MR. ROWLETT: YES.
14		MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
15		MR. SHEEHY: YES.
16		MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
17		DR. STEWARD: YES.
18		MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
19		CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
20		MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
21		MR. TORRES: AYE.
22		MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
23		MS. WINOKUR: YES.
24		MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THE MOTION
25	CARRIES.	
		125
		14 J

1	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU. NEXT APPLICATION
2	PLEASE.
3	DR. SAMBRANO: NEXT APPLICATION IS
4	TRAN1-11259, SO THIS ONE IS ENTITLED "DEVELOPING
5	ENGINEERED AUTOLOGOUS LEUKEMIA VACCINES TO TARGET
6	RESIDUAL LEUKEMIC STEM CELLS." SO WHAT THIS PROJECT
7	DOES IS IT TAKES PATIENT-SPECIFIC LEUKEMIA CELLS FOR
8	PATIENTS WITH AML. SO THIS IS AN APPLICATION THAT
9	TAKES FROM AML PATIENTS LEUKEMIA CELLS AND CREATES A
10	VACCINE FROM THEM. SO THEY ENGINEER THESE CELLS TO
11	EXPRESS SOME NOVEL IMMUNE STIMULATORY MOLECULES
12	WITHIN THOSE CELLS AND INTRODUCE THEM BACK TO THE
13	PATIENT. THEY ARE, OF COURSE, RADIATED BEFORE
14	BRINGING THEM BACK TO THE PATIENT. AND THE IDEA IS
15	THAT THEY STIMULATE AND DEVELOP AN IMMUNE RESPONSE
16	TO THEIR AML. AGAIN, AN AUTOLOGOUS APPROACH TO
17	THIS.
18	THIS APPLICATION RECEIVED A SCORE OF 85.
19	WE HAD TEN MEMBERS OF THE GWG THAT SCORED BETWEEN 85
20	AND A HUNDRED, AND THERE WERE THREE THAT SCORED IT
21	BETWEEN 1 AND 84.
22	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
23	DR. SAMBRANO: LET ME USE THIS MICROPHONE
24	WHILE THAT IS RESOLVED.
25	SO I THINK WHERE I LEFT OFF IS WE HAD TEN
	126
	<u>−− ₹</u>

	,
1	MEMBERS OF THE GWG THAT SCORED THIS APPLICATION
2	BETWEEN 85 AND 100 AND THREE THAT GAVE IT A SCORE
3	BETWEEN 1 AND 84. SO OVERALL ANOTHER STRONG
4	APPLICATION FROM THE VIEW OF THE GRANTS WORKING
5	GROUP. THERE WERE SOME MINOR CONCERNS ABOUT THE
6	EXTENT TO WHICH THIS MIGHT BE SPECIFICALLY TARGETING
7	OR BE A STEM CELL-TARGETING PRODUCT. AND SOME
8	REVIEWERS ASKED FOR ADDITIONAL PRELIMINARY DATA IN
9	TERMS OF SUPPORTING THE EFFICACY, BUT OTHER THAN
10	THAT, A STRONG RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS.
11	MR. SHEEHY: SO DO WE HAVE A MOTION TO
12	ACCEPT THE GWG'S RECOMMENDATION?
13	MR. TORRES: MOVE IT.
14	DR. DULIEGE: SECOND.
15	MR. SHEEHY: ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS? SO
16	WE HAVE A MOTION. WE HAD A SECOND, DR. DULIEGE. DO
17	WE HAVE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION ON THIS? ANY PUBLIC
18	COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
20	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
22	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
24	DR. MARTIN: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
	127
	1 4 <i>L I</i>

127

	DETH G. DIAHN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	MS. MILLER: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
3	DR. PADILLA: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO. AL
5	ROWLETT.
6	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
8	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
10	DR. STEWARD: YES.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
14	MR. TORRES: AYE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
16	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES. OH,
18	FRANCISCO.
19	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
21	MR. SHEEHY: DR. SAMBRANO, COULD WE GO TO
22	THE NEXT APPLICATION.
23	DR. SAMBRANO: ABSOLUTELY. SO THE NEXT
24	APPLICATION IS TRAN1-18300. SO THIS ONE IS ENTITLED
25	"A PURIFIED ALLOGENEIC CELL THERAPY PRODUCT FOR
	128
	140

1	TREATMENT OF DRY AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION."
2	SO THIS IS THE SECOND OF THE TWO VISION-RELATED
3	APPLICATIONS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED.
4	THIS ONE IS INTENDED TO DEVELOP AN
5	ALLOGENEIC PRODUCT AS OPPOSED TO AN AUTOLOGOUS ONE
6	FOR RETINAL PIGMENT EPITHELIAL CELLS IN ORDER TO
7	RESTORE FUNCTION TO THE RETINA SPECIFICALLY FOR DRY
8	AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION.
9	SO THIS APPLICATION WAS RECOMMENDED WITH A
10	SCORE OF 85 WITH 12 MEMBERS OF THE GWG GIVING IT A
11	SCORE BETWEEN 85 AND 100, THREE THAT SCORED BELOW
12	THAT. MINOR CONCERNS ONLY REALLY WITH THIS
13	APPLICATION REQUESTING ADDITIONAL DATA ON
14	PRELIMINARY DATA THAT MIGHT HELP DETERMINE WHETHER
15	THE SINGLE CELLS, BECAUSE THEY'RE DEVELOPING A
16	SINGLE CELL PRODUCT AS OPPOSED TO ONE THAT COMES IN
17	THE FORM OF NEUROSPHERES, WOULD BE ACTUALLY BETTER.
18	SO FEELING THAT A LITTLE MORE DATA WOULD BE NEEDED
19	FOR THESE. OTHER THAN THAT, A STRONG RECOMMENDATION
20	FROM THE GWG.
21	MR. SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR. SAMBRANO. DO
22	WE HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE GWG RECOMMENDATION?
23	DR. PRIETO: SO MOVED.
24	MR. TORRES: SECOND.
25	MR. SHEEHY: IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION

129

	DETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152
1	AMONGST BOARD MEMBERS?
2	DR. MARTIN: JUST HAVE A TECHNICAL
3	QUESTION. IN A SITUATION WHERE IT'S AGE RELATED,
4	WHY IS AN ALLOGENEIC CELL THERAPY GOING TO BE
5	SUPERIOR TO AUTOLOGOUS CELLS?
6	DR. SAMBRANO: IT'S A GOOD QUESTION. I
7	DON'T KNOW THAT I CAN ANSWER THAT.
8	DR. MARTIN: BECAUSE IT'S PROBABLY NOT
9	GENETICALLY DETERMINED. EVEN IF IT IS, YOU NEED A
10	COUPLE OF YEARS.
11	DR. JUELSGAARD: COST.
12	MR. SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION?
13	PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
15	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
17	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
19	DR. MARTIN: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
21	PADILLA.
22	DR. PADILLA: YES.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
24	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
	120
	130

	- ,
1	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
3	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
5	DR. STEWARD: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
9	MR. TORRES: AYE.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
11	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN.
13	MOTION CARRIES.
14	MR. SHEEHY: THE MOTION CARRIES. AND I
15	BELIEVE THAT CONCLUDES THE BUSINESS OF THE
16	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
17	MR. TOCHER: ACTUALLY, JEFF, WE HAVE JUST
18	ONE LAST MOTION TO CLOSE OUT THE APPLICATIONS IN
19	TIER II. IF WE COULD JUST HAVE A MOTION FROM
20	SOMEONE WHO ISN'T OS STEWARD TO NOT FUND ALL
21	REMAINING APPLICATIONS, THAT WILL BE MADE AND
22	SECONDED BY SOMEONE ELSE. AND, OS, YOU CAN
23	PARTICIPATE EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH WHICH YOU HAVE A
24	CONFLICT.
25	MR. SHEEHY: GREAT. COULD I GET SOMEONE
	131
	131

	DETTI G. DIATIN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	TO MAKE THE MOTION TO CLOSE.
2	MR. TORRES: MOVE IT.
3	DR. PRIETO: SECOND.
4	MR. SHEEHY: ANY BOARD COMMENT? ANY
5	PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
7	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
9	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
11	DR. MARTIN: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
13	PADILLA.
14	DR. PADILLA: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
16	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
18	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
20	MR. SHEEHY: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
22	DR. STEWARD: YES EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
23	WHICH I'M IN CONFLICT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
	132
	IJZ

	·
1	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
2	MR. TORRES: AYE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
4	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
6	MR. SHEEHY: GREAT. NOW THAT CONCLUDES
7	THE BUSINESS OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SHEEHY.
9	LAST ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS LISA, ARE
10	YOU IN HERE? COULD SOMEBODY SEE IF WE COULD GET
11	HERE SHE COMES. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A CLINICAL
12	PROGRAM UPDATE BY DR. KADYK.
13	DR. KADYK: THANK YOU, DR. THOMAS AND
14	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND
15	FELLOW TEAM MEMBERS. I HAVE THE HONOR TODAY TO
16	REPRESENT THE THERAPEUTICS TEAM TO GIVE THE CLINICAL
17	UPDATE. TODAY WE'RE GOING SO BE FOCUSING ON THE
18	CIRM HEMOGLOBINOPATHY PROGRAMS, WHICH IS AN AREA
19	THAT'S ACTUALLY GROWN QUITE A BIT. JUST IN THE PAST
20	YEAR, WE'VE HAD FIVE NEW AWARDS IN VARIOUS STAGES
21	FROM TRANSLATION UP THROUGH CLINICAL TRIALS IN THIS
22	AREA. AND IT'S ACTUALLY A GOOD EXAMPLE OF HOW SOME
23	OF THESE THERAPIES THAT WE'RE FUNDING COULD
24	POTENTIALLY BE CURATIVE AND ALSO IMPACT DISEASES
25	BEYOND THE DISEASES THAT ARE BEING INVESTIGATED
	422

1	HERE.
2	SO BASICALLY THREE MESSAGES THAT I WANT TO
3	GET ACROSS TODAY. THE FIRST IS THAT THERE'S A HUGE
4	UNMET NEED FOR TREATING THESE HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES.
5	SECOND, CIRM IS FUNDING A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT
6	APPROACHES TO TREATING THESE, ANY ONE OF WHICH WOULD
7	NOT ONLY TREAT, BUT POTENTIALLY CURE THESE DISEASES.
8	SO THEY'RE OF HUGE IMPACT. AND, THIRD, IF THEY'RE
9	SUCCESSFUL, THEY COULD EASILY BE ADAPTED TO TREATING
10	A HUGE NUMBER OF OTHER GENETIC DISEASES OF THE
11	BLOOD. SO IT'S A REALLY, I THINK, IMPORTANT SET OF
12	AWARDS THAT WE'RE FUNDING HERE.
13	SUFFICE IT TO SAY I THINK THAT THIS
14	PROGRAM IS A GREAT EXAMPLE OF HOW CIRM IS
15	ACCELERATING STEM CELL TREATMENTS OR EVEN CURES TO
16	PATIENTS WITH UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS.
17	BEFORE I GET INTO HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES, I
18	JUST WANT TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE WHOLE
19	THERAPEUTIC PORTFOLIO AS IT STANDS TODAY. SO YOU
20	CAN SEE OUR CANONICAL PIE CHART WITH ALL THE VARIOUS
21	DIFFERENT DISEASE AREAS THAT WE'RE FUNDING. WE
22	FUNDED 49 CLINICAL TRIALS TO DATE SINCE THE
23	INCEPTION OF CIRM. THIS PIE CHART ALSO INCLUDES 12
24	AWARDS THAT ARE AT THE SO-CALLED CLIN1 OR
25	IND-ENABLING STAGE. SO IND IS THE INVESTIGATIONAL

1	NEW DRUG APPLICATION THAT'S REQUIRED TO BE FILED
2	WITH THE FDA IN ORDER TO DO A CLINICAL TRIAL.
3	AND SO TODAY I'M GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT
4	THE GREEN SLICE OF THE PIE WHICH IS WHERE THE
5	HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES FIT IN THE BLOOD DISEASES.
6	SO WANT TO FIRST GIVE A LITTLE BACKGROUND
7	FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO MAY NOT BE AS FAMILIAR WITH
8	THESE DISEASES. THEY'RE A FAMILY OF SEVERE OR FATAL
9	DISEASES THAT ARE ALL CAUSED BY DEFECTS IN THE
10	HEMOGLOBIN MOLECULE. AND THE HEMOGLOBIN MOLECULE IS
11	IN THE RED BLOOD CELLS, AND IT'S THE MOLECULE THAT'S
12	RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OXYGEN TO ALL THE TISSUES
13	OF YOUR BODY.
14	SO THE THREE DIFFERENT DISEASES THAT ARE
15	IN THIS FAMILY THAT I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT TODAY
16	ARE SICKLE CELL DISEASE, WHICH, OF COURSE, WAS
17	DISCUSSED EARLIER TODAY AND I'LL GO INTO A LITTLE
18	MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE PROGRAMS THAT WE'RE ALREADY
19	FUNDING THERE. IT'S CAUSED BY A DEFECTIVE FORM OF
20	THAT BETA HEMOGLOBIN MOLECULE.
21	BETA THALASSEMIA IS CAUSED BY TOO LITTLE
22	OF THE BETA HEMOGLOBIN SUBUNIT, AND ALPHA
23	THALASSEMIA IS CAUSED BY TOO LITTLE OF THE ALPHA
24	HEMOGLOBIN SUBUNIT.
25	SO SICKLE CELL DISEASE. IT'S A HUGE UNMET
	135

1	NEED. IT AFFECTS AROUND $100,000$ PEOPLE IN THE U.S.
2	AND INCLUDING ABOUT 7,000 PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA.
3	IT'S MUCH MORE HIGHLY PREVALENT IN AFRICA, AND
4	THERE'S VERY HIGHER RATES IN AFRICAN-AMERICANS AND
5	HISPANICS. SO AS I MENTIONED, IT'S A DEFECT IN THE
6	BETA GLOBIN GENE. AND YOU CAN SEE ON MY DIAGRAM,
7	IT'S CALLED THE SICKLE FORM OF THE BETA HEMOGLOBIN
8	GENE BECAUSE IT CAUSES THE NORMALLY ROUND RED BLOOD
9	CELLS TO FORM THIS SICKLE SHAPE, CAUSES THE RED
10	BLOOD CELLS TO DIE EARLY, WHICH CAUSES ANEMIA, BUT
11	ALSO THAT SHAPE TENDS TO CLOG THE SMALLER BLOOD
12	VESSELS, WHICH BASICALLY CUTS OFF THE OXYGEN SUPPLY
13	TO TISSUES AND ORGANS. AND IN ADDITION TO THE
14	SEVERE ANEMIA, THERE'S ALSO SEVERE PAIN CRISES THAT
15	OCCUR AND STROKE AND ORGAN DAMAGE THAT CAN RESULT
16	FROM THIS DISEASE.
17	THERE ARE SOME TREATMENTS THAT ARE OUT
18	THERE NOW THAT PATIENTS CAN USE, BUT THEY'RE NOT
19	CURATIVE. AND SO THERE IS A SHORTENED AVERAGE LIFE
20	SPAN FOR PATIENTS IN THE U.S., AROUND 40 YEARS ON
21	AVERAGE. THAT SAID, THAT'S WAY LONGER THAN IN
22	AFRICA WHERE TYPICALLY CHILDREN DIE WHEN THEY'RE
23	FIVE OR SIX YEARS OLD.
24	SO HIGHLIGHT TODAY A LITTLE MORE DETAIL
25	ABOUT FOUR OF OUR SICKLE CELL PROGRAMS. TWO ARE

1	PHASE $1/2$, TWO ARE IN THE PRECLINICAL STAGES. SO
2	DR. KOHN AT UCLA, DR. ROSENTHAL AT CITY OF HOPE, DR.
3	PORTEUS AT STANFORD, AND DR. WALTERS AT CHILDREN'S
4	HOSPITAL.
5	BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT THAT, BETA
6	THALASSEMIA IS ALSO CAUSED BY A DEFECT IN THAT BETA
7	SUBUNIT OF HEMOGLOBIN. IT ALSO CAUSES SEVERE
8	LIFELONG ANEMIA, REQUIRING FREQUENT BLOOD
9	TRANSFUSIONS WHICH IN AND OF THEMSELVES CAN CAUSE
10	MORBIDITIES. AND, AGAIN, PATIENTS WITH THIS DISEASE
11	HAVE A SHORTENED LIFE SPAN. AND WE HAVE RECENTLY
12	LAUNCHED A CLINICAL TRIAL SPONSORED BY ED CONNER AND
13	SANGAMO TO TRY AND TREAT BETA THALASSEMIA.
14	AND, FINALLY, ALPHA THALASSEMIA IS THE
15	VERSION IN WHICH THE ALPHA SUBUNITS ARE DEFECTIVE.
16	THIS IS A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A RARE DISEASE. IT'S
17	PARTLY, I THINK, BECAUSE OF MANY OF THE CASES ARE
18	MISSED BECAUSE FETUSES DIE IN UTERO BEFORE THEY'RE
19	EVEN BORN OF HEART FAILURE OR MAY BE TERMINATED
20	BECAUSE OF POOR PROGNOSIS. IN ORDER FOR AN ALPHA
21	THALASSEMIA PATIENT TO SURVIVE, THEY HAVE TO, AGAIN,
22	HAVE THESE REGULAR RED BLOOD CELL TRANSFUSIONS WHICH
23	CAUSE COMORBIDITIES.
24	SO I'LL TALK TO YOU ALSO ABOUT DR. TIPPI
25	MACKENZIE'S TRIAL AT UCSF TO ADDRESS THIS

1	THALASSEMIA. SO I CATEGORIZED THE VARIOUS
2	CIRM-FUNDED APPROACHES INTO THREE MAJOR CATEGORIES.
3	I FORGOT TO MENTION ONE CRITICAL THING, WHICH IS
4	THAT ALL OF THESE DISEASES CAN BE CURED TODAY, BUT
5	THE CURE IS A BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT OR A
6	HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANT. AND THE PROBLEM
7	IS, FIRST OF ALL, IT'S VERY HARD TO FIND AN
8	APPROPRIATE DONOR FOR THESE PATIENTS. YOU WANT TO
9	HAVE AN IMMUNE MATCH. AND WITHOUT A GOOD MATCH,
10	TYPICALLY THERE ISN'T A GOOD MATCH. EVEN IF THERE
11	IS, THE CONDITIONING REGIMEN FOR A BONE MARROW
12	TRANSPLANT IS VERY TOXIC IN AND OF ITSELF WITH A
13	RISK OF DEATH. AND MANY OF THESE PATIENTS ARE TOO
14	SICK TO EVEN UNDERGO THE PROCEDURE.
15	SO ALL OF THESE APPROACHES THAT I'M GOING
16	TO TELL YOU ABOUT TODAY ARE WAYS TO MAKE A KINDER,
17	GENTLER BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT SO THAT MANY MORE
18	PATIENTS WOULD BE ABLE TO UNDERGO THE TRANSPLANT AND
19	HAVE IT BE CURATIVE.
20	SO WE HAVE TWO AWARDS WHICH I BEND INTO
21	THE CATEGORY OF HAVING A HALF-MATCHED OR RELATED
22	DONOR TRANSPLANT. ONE IN WHICH THERE'S MORE
23	TRADITIONAL GENE THERAPY, WHICH IS GENE ADDITION TO
24	THE PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD STEM CELLS. AND THEN,
25	THREE, EARLIER STAGE AWARDS IN WHICH THEY'RE LOOKING

1	AT GENE EDITING OF PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD STEM CELLS TO
2	CURE THE DISEASES.
3	SO FOR THE HALF-MATCHED TRANSPLANT, WE'VE
4	GOT AN AWARD TO JOSEPH ROSENTHAL AT CITY OF HOPE.
5	HE'S GOT A PHASE 1 TRIAL THAT STARTED RECENTLY. AND
6	THE IDEA HERE IS THAT BY DOING A TRANSPLANT WITH A
7	DONOR SUCH AS A SIBLING OR A PARENT WHICH HAS
8	PARTIAL MATCH, IT'S BEEN SHOWN THAT YOU CAN GET
9	COEXISTENCE OF BOTH THE DONOR AND RECIPIENT CELLS
10	WITHOUT HAVING GRAFT VERSUS HOST DISEASE OR OTHER
11	SORTS OF MORBIDITIES. THEY CAN CO-EXIST. THE DONOR
12	CELLS CAN CURE THE DISEASE. AND THE BEAUTY IS THAT
13	THERE'S A MILDER CONDITIONING METHOD USED TO
14	GENERATE THESE SO-CALLED MIXED CHIMERAS SO THAT
15	OLDER PATIENTS WHO'VE ALREADY GOT MORE SEVERE
16	DISEASE COULD POTENTIALLY BE TREATED. SO HIS TRIAL
17	IS UNDER WAY, AND THEY'RE LOOKING AT SAFETY AS WELL
18	AS POTENTIAL EFFICACY.
19	THE SECOND TRIAL I'M GOING TO TELL YOU
20	ABOUT IS A VARIATION ON THE THEME. IT'S ALSO A
21	HALF-MATCHED BLOOD CELL TRANSPLANT, BUT IT'S DONE IN
22	UTERO. THIS IS BY TIPPI MACKENZIE AT UCSF. AND
23	HERE SHE IS USING MATERNAL BLOOD CELLS TO TRANSPLANT
24	INTO THE FETUS BEFORE IT'S BORN IN ORDER TO CURE THE
25	DISEASE. AND THE BEAUTY HERE IS THAT YOU DON'T NEED
	120

1	TO CONDITION THE FETUS. THE IMMUNE SYSTEM IS STILL
2	BEING DEVELOPED, SO IT'S NOT LIKELY TO REJECT THE
3	MATERNAL CELLS. IF THERE'S SUFFICIENT ENGRAPHMENT
4	OF THE MATERNAL CELLS, IT MAY CURE THE DISEASE. AND
5	FAILING THAT, IT STILL MAY BE POSSIBLE AFTER BIRTH
6	TO DO A TRANSPLANT FROM THE MOTHER WHICH WOULD
7	REQUIRE MUCH MILDER CONDITIONING BECAUSE THE FETUS
8	WAS ALREADY EXPOSED TO THE MATERNAL ANTIGENS IN
9	UTERO.
10	AGAIN, THIS IS A PHASE 1/2 LOOKING
11	PRIMARILY AT SAFETY, BUT ALSO THE FEASIBILITY AND
12	EFFICACY OF THIS TREATMENT.
13	THE NEXT CATEGORY IS THE GENE ADDITION TO
14	PATIENT'S OWN STEM CELLS. YOU'RE PRETTY FAMILIAR
15	WITH THIS APPROACH FROM DON KOHN. OF COURSE, EVIE
16	UP THERE ON THE WALL WAS CURED BY DON KOHN OF ADA
17	SKID USING A VERY SIMILAR APPROACH OF TAKING OUT THE
18	PATIENT'S BLOOD STEM CELLS, ADDING IN A NORMAL COPY
19	OF THE GENE, AND THEN REPLACING THE STEM CELLS TO
20	CURE THE DISEASE. SO WORKING ON THAT FOR SICKLE
21	CELL.
22	AND, FINALLY, THERE'S A FEW GROUPS THAT
23	ARE WORKING ON GENE EDITING OF PATIENT'S STEM CELLS.
24	THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE GENE THERAPY APPROACH THAT I
25	JUST DESCRIBED EXCEPT IT'S USING SPECIAL ENZYMES TO

1	ACTUALLY EDIT THE PATIENT'S DNA TO CORRECT IT. SO
2	THE APPROACH THAT SANGAMO THERAPEUTICS USES IS ZINC
3	FINGER NUCLEASES TO DO THE GENE EDITING. AND IN
4	THEIR CASE THEY'RE FINDING A WAY TO INDUCE A
5	DIFFERENT FORM OF HEMOGLOBIN THAT CAN REPLACE THE
6	ONE THAT'S MISSING, THE FETAL HEMOGLOBIN. SO THAT'S
7	A SAFETY STUDY THAT'S JUST INITIATED.
8	MATT PORTEUS AT STANFORD IS FINISHING UP A
9	CLIN1 AWARD, SO IND-ENABLING SAFETY STUDIES AND
10	MANUFACTURING FOR A CLINICAL TRIAL, AND IS PLANNING
11	TO FILE AN IND APPLICATION SOON. HE IS USING,
12	INSTEAD OF ZINC FINGERS, HE'S USING THE NEW
13	CRISPR-CAS9 GENE EDITING METHOD TO CORRECT THE
14	ACTUAL DEFECTIVE GENE IN THE PATIENT'S BLOOD STEM
15	CELLS.
16	AND SIMILARLY, MARK WALTERS AT CHILDREN'S
17	HOSPITAL OAKLAND IS ALSO USING CRISPR-CAS9 TO
18	CORRECT THE PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD STEM CELLS. HE'S AT
19	THE TRANSLATIONAL STAGE AND IS GETTING READY TO HOLD
20	A PRE-IND MEETING WITH THE FDA.
21	SO I MENTIONED TIPPI MACKENSIE. SHE'S
22	DOING THESE IN-UTERO TREATMENTS. SO HOW DO YOU KNOW
23	THAT THE BABY HAS THIS DISEASE BEFORE YOU DO THE
24	TREATMENT? SO THIS IS A COMPLEMENTARY AWARD. I
25	THINK THAT'S REALLY APPROPRIATE FOR THIS PORTFOLIO

1	IS AN AWARD TO CASSANDRA CALLOWAY AT CHILDREN'S
2	HOSPITAL WHO IS DEVELOPING A NEW, NONINVASIVE WAY OF
3	DIAGNOSING THESE HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES.
4	TYPICALLY NOW IT REQUIRES AMNIOCENTESIS OR
5	CHORIONIC VILLI SAMPLING WHICH PUTS THE FETUS AT
6	RISK. AND THIS METHOD SHE'S DEVELOPING WOULD BE
7	BASICALLY TAKING A BLOOD SAMPLE FROM THE MOTHER AND
8	SEQUENCING THE FETAL DNA THAT IS CIRCULATING WITHIN
9	THE MOTHER IT'S PRETTY AMAZING TO SCREEN FOR
10	MUTATIONS. AND THIS TYPE OF A DIAGNOSTIC WOULD BE
11	INVALUABLE FOR AN APPROACH SUCH AS DR. MACKENSIE'S
12	AT UCSF.
13	SO THAT'S OUR CURRENT PORTFOLIO. I WANT
14	TO REITERATE THE MAIN THREE TAKE-HOME MESSAGES HERE,
15	WHICH IS, FIRST OF ALL, THESE HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES ARE
16	A MAJOR UNMET NEED. THERE ARE MULTIPLE APPROACHES
17	TO A CURE THAT ARE BEING INVESTIGATED WITH CIRM
18	FUNDING. AND ANY OF THESE APPROACHES COULD LEAD TO
19	SAFER TREATMENTS AND MAKE THEM MORE AVAILABLE TO
20	MANY, MANY MORE PATIENTS.
21	AND, FINALLY, SUCCESS WITH THESE METHODS
22	COULD TRANSLATE TO OTHER GENETIC BLOOD DISEASES AND
23	REALLY HAVE A MUCH BROADER IMPACT THAN JUST THE
24	HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES.
25	AND WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO INTRODUCE AN
	142

1	ACTUAL SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENT. BUT ARE THERE
2	ANY QUESTIONS?
3	DR. DULIEGE: VERY IMPRESSIVE. FOR THE
4	PHASE 1/2, PRELIMINARY COMMENTS?
5	DR. KADYK: I'M NOT AT LIBERTY TO
6	DISCLOSE. THEY ARE ENROLLING. I CAN SAY THAT, BUT
7	I DON'T HAVE ANY RESULTS FOR YOU THAT I CAN SHARE.
8	OKAY. NO OTHER QUESTIONS? IS MARISSA
9	HERE? I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE MARISSA COORS, ADRIENNE
10	BELL COORS, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO'S DAUGHTER. MARISSA
11	COORS. I'LL GIVE YOU THE PODIUM.
12	MS. COORS: HI. HOW ARE YOU? SORRY.
13	EXCUSE ME. LOTION.
	ANY NAME TO MARTICIA COORS THANKS CTOVES
14	MY NAME IS MARISSA COORS. I HAVE SICKLE
14 15	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE
15	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE
15 16	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST.
15 16 17	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO
15 16 17 18	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS.
15 16 17 18 19	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND I REALLY JUST WANT SO SAY, FIRST OF ALL, THANK
15 16 17 18 19 20	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND I REALLY JUST WANT SO SAY, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR SUPPORTING AND FUNDING AND JUST
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND I REALLY JUST WANT SO SAY, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR SUPPORTING AND FUNDING AND JUST EVEN THINKING ABOUT SICKLE CELL. THAT JUST STARTS
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND I REALLY JUST WANT SO SAY, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR SUPPORTING AND FUNDING AND JUST EVEN THINKING ABOUT SICKLE CELL. THAT JUST STARTS OFF. THANKS FOR THAT. IT'S A LITTLE THING, THE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CELL DISEASE. YOU ALL KNOW MY MOTHER, ADRIENNE SHAPIRO OR ADRIENNE BELL COORS. I'M HERE. I EXIST. I'M THE ONE SHE'S ALWAYS TALKING ABOUT. SHE'S ALSO A MEMBER OF TWO OF YOUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANELS. AND I REALLY JUST WANT SO SAY, FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR SUPPORTING AND FUNDING AND JUST EVEN THINKING ABOUT SICKLE CELL. THAT JUST STARTS OFF. THANKS FOR THAT. IT'S A LITTLE THING, THE THINKING ABOUT US PART. THE FUNDING IS A MASSIVE

1	WHEN I TELL SOMEBODY I HAVE SICKLE CELL, THAT, A,
2	THEY EVEN KNOW THAT IT EXISTS, LET ALONE HAVE THEIR
3	FACES LIGHT UP AND SAY, "OH, THAT DISEASE IS VERY
4	IMPORTANT." AND I BELIEVE IT'S ALL BECAUSE OF THE
5	WORK YOU'RE DOING IN THIS ROOM.
6	THAT'S NOT MY SPEECH. THAT WAS JUST
7	SOMETHING I WANTED TO RELAY. TO MY SPEECH.
8	LIKE I SAID, I'M 41 YEARS OLD. I'VE HAD
9	SICKLE CELL MY ENTIRE LIFE. I WAS DIAGNOSED AT SIX
10	MONTHS, AND I'VE BEEN HOSPITALIZED SO MANY TIMES
11	THAT MY MEDICAL REPORT IS ACTUALLY 8 GIGABYTES.
12	THAT'S ONLY UP UNTIL THE AGE OF 16. MY DOCTOR HAS
13	OVER A THOUSAND PAGES OF MEDICAL NOTES ON ME.
14	THAT'S ONLY AFTER THE AGE OF 28. SO THAT GIVES YOU
15	AN IDEA OF HOW MANY TIMES I SEE MY DOCTOR AND MY
16	HOSPITAL.
17	WHEN YOU HAVE SICKLE CELL, YOU DEAL WITH
18	PAIN, A LOT OF PAIN. PAIN COMES IN TWO SEPARATE
19	AVENUES. YOU HAVE CHRONIC PAIN AND YOU HAVE ACUTE
20	PAIN. THE CHRONIC PAIN IS AN EVERYDAY THING. FOR
21	INSTANCE, MY RIGHT KNEE, MY LEFT SHOULDER, MY LOWER
22	BACK, AND I HAVE HEADACHES EVERY SINGLE DAY. RIGHT
23	NOW AS I'M SPEAKING TO YOU. I TAKE MEDICATIONS, OF
24	COURSE, TO MANAGE ALL OF THAT. AND ALL OF THAT IS A
25	RESULT OF A LIFETIME OF CRISIS. THE CRISIS IS AN
	144

1	ACUTE PAIN, AND THAT PAIN IS SOMETHING THAT YOU
2	WOULD NOT WISH ON THE DEVIL OR YOUR WORST ENEMY. IT
3	IS A HORRIBLE, DEBILITATING EXPERIENCE THAT AFFECTS
4	YOU, NOT JUST PHYSICALLY, BUT MENTALLY, EMOTIONALLY,
5	AND SPIRITUALLY. AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT YOU
6	CANNOT REALLY PUT INTO WORDS, BUT YOU TRY.
7	IT'S THAT PAIN THAT WE DEAL WITH THE MOST.
8	IT'S THAT PAIN THAT WE HAVE TO MANAGE, NOT JUST
9	OURSELVES, BUT EVERYONE AROUND US THE MOST. WHEN
10	YOU'RE IN A CRISIS WHEN YOU ARE IN A CRISIS AND
11	YOU JUST HAVE SICKLE CELL, YOU'RE DEALING WITH ACUTE
12	AND CHRONIC PAIN, YOU'RE IN A CONSTANT STATE OF
13	LIMBO. JUST PERIOD, YOU'RE IN A CONSTANT STATE OF
14	LIMBO. YOU ARE DEALING WITH SOMETHING THAT IS NOT
15	YOUR FAULT, BUT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY. IT'S NOT
16	YOUR FAULT, BUT YOUR RESPONSIBILITY.
17	SO WHAT ENDS UP HAPPENING IS I'VE BEEN
18	ASKED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU
19	HAVE SICKLE CELL. WHAT DOES IT MEAN? WHAT IT MEANS
20	IS THAT YOU'RE DEALING WITH SOMETHING WITHIN YOUR
21	BODY THAT CAN TAKE CONTROL AT ANY TIME. THE PAIN
22	COMES. YOU DON'T KNOW FOR HOW LONG. YOU DON'T KNOW
23	HOW MUCH PAIN YOU'RE GOING TO BE IN. YOU DON'T KNOW
24	HOW MUCH PAIN YOU CAN DEAL WITH AT HOME BEFORE
25	YOU'RE SENT TO THE HOSPITAL. WHEN YOU GET TO THE

1	HOSPITAL, YOU DON'T KNOW WHO'S GOING TO TAKE CARE OF
2	YOU. YOU DON'T KNOW IF THEY'RE GOING TO BELIEVE
3	YOU. YOU DON'T KNOW IF THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU
4	THE MEDICATIONS THAT YOU'RE TELLING THEM THAT YOU
5	NEED, THAT YOUR 31 YEARS OF RECORDS SAY YOU GET AND
6	YOU TOLERATE. YOU HAVE NO IDEA HOW LONG YOU ARE
7	GOING TO WAIT IN THE ER. AND WHEN YOU GET TO THE
8	DOCTORS, AND YOU DO ALL OF THIS TALKING, YOU STILL
9	HAVE NO CLUE WHAT THE RESULT IS. IT'S SCARY.
10	SO YOU'RE WAITING FOR YOUR PAIN TO COME.
11	WHEN IT GETS TO YOU, YOU'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW
12	LONG IT PLANS ON STAYING. WHILE YOU'RE TRYING TO
13	MANAGE IT, YOU'RE WONDERING IF THE PEOPLE YOU'RE
14	SPEAKING TO BELIEVE YOU. IF THEY BELIEVE YOU, DO
15	THEY BELIEVE YOU ENOUGH TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT?
16	IF THEY FEEL THAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING
17	ABOUT IT, ARE THEY GOING TO FOLLOW YOUR ADVICE, YOUR
18	RECORDS, YOUR FACTS BECAUSE THIS IS YOUR BODY, YOUR
19	ILLNESS, YOUR LIFE, OR ARE THEY GOING TO DO WHATEVER
20	THEY FEEL LIKE DOING? THEY'RE GOING TO DO THE
21	LATTER NINE TIMES OUT OF TEN, WHATEVER THEY FEEL
22	LIKE DOING. LIMBO.
23	WHEN YOU GET PAIN MEDICATIONS, OFTENTIMES
24	YOU'RE BEING TOLD WE DON'T DO THIS FOR OTHER PEOPLE.
25	IT'S REALLY NOT SOMETHING THAT WE DO WHEN PATIENTS

1	COME AND SEE US. THIS AMOUNT OF MEDICATION YOU ARE
2	ASKING FOR IS NOT SOMETHING I'M COMFORTABLE WITH.
3	OKAY. AND THEN THERE'S A PAUSE. AND THE QUESTION
4	IS ALWAYS WHY ARE YOU UNCOMFORTABLE? I'M THE
5	PATIENT. MY LIFE STOPPED. MY MOTHER IS AT HOME OR
6	IN A MEETING, CRYING, TRYING TO HOLD BACK TEARS. MY
7	SISTER IS TRYING TO GET THROUGH FINALS. I'M A
8	48-YEAR-OLD WOMAN IN TEARS TRYING TO FIGHT FOR
9	MYSELF ALONE IN A HOSPITAL ROOM, IN A HOSPITAL BED,
10	SURROUNDED BY ALL THESE EDUCATED PEOPLE, ALL OF
11	THESE DOCTORS, ALL OF THESE NURSES, NONE OF WHOM
12	UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M GOING THROUGH.
13	AND I AM EXPECTED TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY,
14	NOT JUST FOR MY OWN PERSONAL PAIN, NOT JUST FOR MY
15	BEHAVIOR, BUT I AM EXPECTED TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY
16	FOR THEIR WORK, MEANING THE PAIN MEDICATION OR THE
17	TREATMENTS THEY WILL OR WILL NOT GIVE ME. I'M
18	EXPECTED TO MANAGE THEIR PERSONALITIES AND HOW THEY
19	WORK WITH EACH OTHER AS WELL AS HOW THEY WORK WITH
20	ME. I'M EXPECTED TO ALWAYS BE NICE, ALWAYS SMILE,
21	ALWAYS BE POISED EVEN THROUGH THE PAIN, AND ALWAYS
22	LEAD EVEN THOUGH I'M CONSTANTLY BEING TOLD YOU'RE
23	NOT A DOCTOR, YOU'RE NOT A NURSE. WHERE IS YOUR
24	TRAINING?
25	MY LIFE IS MY TRAINING. MY EXPERIENCES
	4.47

1	ARE MY TRAINING. THE FOUR GENERATIONS THAT CAME
2	BEFORE ME IN MY FAMILY, THAT'S MY TRAINING. YET
3	NONE OF THAT IS ENOUGH BECAUSE WHEN YOU HAVE SICKLE
4	CELL AND YOU DEAL WITH PAIN, YOU ARE NOT SEEN AS A
5	PERSON, YOU'RE NOT SEEN AS A PATIENT. ONCE YOU
6	BECOME AN ADULT, YOU KNOW WHAT YOU ARE? DRUG
7	SEEKING LIAR, A DRUG ADDICT. SOMEONE WHO IS NOT
8	WORTHY OF THE MEDICAL TRAINING, THE RESOURCES, AND
9	THE TIME THAT THE MEDICAL STAFF WILL SPEND ON YOU IN
10	A HOSPITAL, IN AN ER, IN A DOCTOR'S OFFICE, AT A
11	CANCER CENTER. YOU'RE NONE OF THOSE THINGS.
12	AND YET, AND YET, IT'S ALWAYS A CONSTANT
13	CYCLE OF, WELL, WHAT DO THEY USUALLY DO? WHAT DO
14	YOU USUALLY DO WHEN YOU HAVE THIS PROBLEM? THIS
15	PROBLEM, LIKE YOU BROKE YOUR SHOE. WHATEVER. IT'S
16	FRUSTRATING. IT'S UPSETTING. IT'S HURTFUL. AND
17	YET YOU HAVE TO FIND HOPE THAT THE NEXT DOCTOR, THE
18	NEXT NURSE, THE NEXT SOCIAL WORKER, THE NEXT BOARD
19	WILL DO BETTER, WILL LISTEN TO YOU, WILL LISTEN TO
20	THE HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE THAT CAME BEFORE YOU, WILL
21	CARE.
22	I KNOW I'M SUPPOSED TO REALLY RELAY WHAT
23	IT IS TO SORT OF LIVE WITH THIS THING. I KNOW
24	THAT'S WHAT I'M SUPPOSED TO DO UP HERE, BUT CAN I
25	JUST SAY OFF SCRIPT, THEY ALREADY KNOW, WHEN I WAS

ABOUT FOUR MY MOTHER TOLD ME, I WOKE UP IN THE
MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT. FOR SOME REASON SICKLE CELL
PATIENTS ALWAYS GO TO THE HOSPITAL AT TWO IN THE
MORNING. DON'T KNOW WHY. TWO IN THE MORNING, NEVER
FAILS. 3 A.M., NEVER FAILS. YOU'RE WAKING UP THE
WHOLE HOUSE, THE WHOLE NEIGHBORHOOD.
I WAS A LITTLE GIRL, MAYBE ABOUT THREE,
FOUR, AND I GOT SICK IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT, 2
O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING, AND I HAD TO WAKE UP MY MOM.
AND MY MOTHER, BEING MY MOTHER, IT WAS A LEARNING
MOMENT. DIDN'T MATTER I WAS IN PAIN. I HAD TO
LEARN SOMETHING. AND WHAT SHE SAID TO ME WAS, WHEN
YOU SAY, "MOMMY, MOMMY, WE HAVE TO GO, WHAT ARE YOU
REALLY SAYING?" LIKE WE GOT TO GO. SHE SAYS, "NO.
THIS IS WHAT YOU'RE DOING. MOM HAS TO GET UP AT
THREE. DO WE GET UP AT THREE IN THE MORNING?" "NO,
MA'AM." MOM HAS TO NOW CALL DADDY BECAUSE THEY'RE
DIVORCED. SHE'S GOING TO WAKE DADDY UP AT 3 O'CLOCK
IN THE MORNING? "NO, MA'AM."
OKAY. "MOM HAS TO THEN MAKE SURE THAT
YOU'RE DRESSED, EVERYTHING IS PACKED, HAVE TO CALL
YOUR DOCTOR AT THREE IN THE MORNING. DO WE DO
THAT?" "NO, MA'AM." OKAY. "AND THEN I HAVE TO
CALL MY JOB AND TELL THEM I'M NOT COMING IN TODAY
BECAUSE YOU'RE SICK. AND THEN MY JOB HAS TO FIND
1/10

1	SOMEONE TO REPLACE ME AT 3 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING.
2	IS THIS NORMAL?" "NO, MA'AM. IT'S NOT NORMAL."
3	"THEN WE HAVE TO LEAVE OUR HOUSE AND GET
4	IN THE CAR AND DRIVE ALL THE WAY TO THE ER AT 3
5	O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. DO WE DRIVE AT 3 O'CLOCK IN
6	THE MORNING?" "NO, MA'AM, WE DO NOT BECAUSE WE'RE
7	IN BED." "WHO DRIVES AT 3 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING?"
8	"I DON'T KNOW. I'M FOUR. PEOPLE."
9	AND SHE SAYS, "ONCE WE GET TO THE ER, YOU
10	PUT YOUR NAME DOWN ON A LIST." "YES." "AND WE
11	WAIT." "YES." SHE SAID, "NOW WHILE WE'RE WAITING,
12	WHAT'S HAPPENING?" I'M FOUR. I DON'T KNOW. WE'RE
13	WAITING. "WHILE WE'RE WAITING, THERE ARE ALL THESE
14	DOCTORS AND ALL OF THESE NURSES WHO ARE THERE TO
15	TAKE CARE OF YOU AND THE PEOPLE BEFORE YOU AND THE
16	PEOPLE AFTER YOU. AND HOSPITALS HAVE A FINITE
17	NUMBER OF RESOURCES. DO YOU KNOW WHAT FINITE
18	MEANS?" "NO. I'M FOUR. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT
19	MEANS."
20	SHE SAYS, "IT MEANS THAT THERE IS A
21	LIMITED NUMBER. THERE'S A LIMITED NUMBER OF BEDS.
22	THERE'S A LIMITED NUMBER OF RESOURCES. THERE'S A
23	LIMITED NUMBER OF TIME. AND WHEN YOU PUT YOUR NAME
24	ON THAT LIST, YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOU NEED THOSE
25	RESOURCES, THAT TIME, THAT DOCTOR, THAT NURSE, AND

1	THAT SPACE. AND IT ALSO MEANS THAT THE PERSON
2	BEHIND YOU IS BEING FORCED TO WAIT FOR YOU BE TO
3	DONE. SO WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS, WHEN YOU SAY, 'MOM,
4	WE GOT TO GO,' YOU ARE AFFECTING THE LIVES OF AT
5	LEAST TEN PEOPLE, AND YOU DON'T KNOW THEIR NAMES AND
6	YOU'VE NEVER SEEN THEIR FACES. SO YOU HAVE TO MAKE
7	SURE THAT YOU'RE REALLY SICK WHEN YOU SAY THOSE
8	WORDS BECAUSE THIS IS WHAT YOU'RE SETTING INTO
9	MOTION.
10	"IF YOU'RE NOT REALLY SICK, AND WE'VE DONE
11	ALL OF THAT, IF YOU DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING ELSE,
12	REMEMBER THE HOUR THAT THAT DOCTOR AND THAT NURSE
13	HAVE SPENT ON YOU IN THE BACK WAS AN HOUR THAT YOU
14	TOOK AWAY FROM THE PERSON WHO SIGNED THEIR NAME
15	AFTER YOU. WHEREAS, IT IS NOT YOUR FAULT THAT YOU
16	ARE SICK, IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO TAKE CARE OF
17	ALL THAT AND TO UNDERSTAND THE EFFECTS OF WHAT
18	YOU'RE DOING AND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING HAVE ON THESE
19	PEOPLE THAT YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT."
20	AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT GOES THROUGH
21	LIFE. AND WHEN YOU'RE IN PAIN, CONSTANT PAIN, THAT
22	THEME CONTINUOUSLY COMES. CAN I GO ON VACATION WITH
23	THE FAMILY BECAUSE IF I GET SICK, AM I GOING TO GO?
24	ARE WE ALL GOING TO COME HOME? I PROBABLY SHOULDN'T
25	GO. CAN I GO FOR THIS JOB? WELL, THIS JOB REQUIRES

1	ME TO TRAVEL, THIS JOB REQUIRES ME TO DO X, Y, AND
2	Z. IF I GET SICK, CAN I DO THOSE THINGS? MAYBE
3	NOT, SO I PROBABLY SHOULDN'T GO OUT FOR THAT JOB.
4	IF I WANT TO STUDY TO BE A DOCTOR, SHOULD
5	I BE A DOCTOR BECAUSE IN ORDER TO BE A DOCTOR, YOU
6	CAN'T BE SICK IF YOU'RE A DOCTOR BECAUSE A DOCTOR
7	TAKES CARE OF SICK PEOPLE. DOESN'T MATTER THAT YOU
8	ARE UP IN A HOSPITAL, IN A DOCTOR'S OFFICE, AND YOU
9	KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY DO AND HOW THEY DO IT.
10	PROBABLY NOT. THAT WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE OF ME.
11	DO YOU SEE? CONSTANT STATE OF QUESTIONING
12	CAN I DO IT, HOW CAN I DO IT, WHEN CAN I DO IT? IF
13	I DO THIS, IS IT RESPONSIBLE? YOU ARE CONSTANTLY
14	TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR OTHER PEOPLE WHILE TRYING
15	TO KEEP YOURSELF WELL, WHILE TRYING TO GET THE
16	THINGS THAT YOU NEED TO GET DONE, WHILE TRYING TO
17	MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE STILL HERE ANOTHER DAY.
18	YOU'RE CONSTANTLY IN A STATE OF QUESTION. YOU'RE
19	CONSTANTLY IN A STATE OF JUST WAITING FOR THE OTHER
20	SHOE TO DROP AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW YOU'RE
21	GOING TO CATCH IT EVEN THOUGH YOU GOT LIKE 17 SHOES
22	OVER HERE IN THIS ARM AND 3,000 PAIR OVER HERE IN
23	THIS HAND, BUT IT DOESN'T MATTER BECAUSE YOU'RE THE
24	ONE WITH THE ILLNESS. YOU'RE THE ONE IN PAIN.
25	YOU'RE THE ONE THAT LIVES WITH THIS THING. YOU'RE
	450

1	THE ONE THAT HAS TO GO HOME WITH IT, YOU'RE THE ONE
2	THAT HAS TO GO TO BED WITH IT AND WAKE UP WITH IT IN
3	THE MORNING. AND YOU ARE THE ONLY PERSON THERE TO
4	EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE TO WHOMEVER IT IS THAT YOU
5	NEED TO WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, AND THEN HOPE THAT
6	THAT PERSON LISTENS.
7	IT IS ABSOLUTELY DRAINING, EXHAUSTING, AND
8	EMOTIONALLY DEPLETING. AND IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT
9	YOU PUT OUT THERE BECAUSE IT'S NOT POLITE AND NO ONE
10	WANTS TO HEAR YOU WHINE. AND YOU STAND BEFORE
11	PEOPLE VERY WELL DRESSED AND WELL EDUCATED, AND THEY
12	KNOW YOUR MOM, AND THEY KNOW YOUR FAMILY HISTORY,
13	AND THEY'VE HEARD ALL THESE STORIES ABOUT YOU, BUT
14	YOU'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO SAY THINGS LIKE THAT.
15	AND I'M SAYING THINGS LIKE THAT TO YOU
16	TODAY. I JUST THINK IT IS IMPORTANT. IT'S
17	IMPORTANT THAT I STAND IN FRONT OF YOU WELL
18	EDUCATED, WELL DRESSED, AND REALLY CUTE SHOES, JUST
19	COMING FROM THE NATIONAL SICKLE CELL CONFERENCE AND
20	BEING IN A CRISIS AS WE STAND IN FRONT OF YOU IN
21	PAIN, LETTING YOU KNOW THIS IS WHAT'S GOING ON
22	INSIDE OF ME. I AM IN LIMBO. I AM EXHAUSTED. AND
23	YOUR FUNDING AND YOUR CLINICAL TRIALS AND THE FACT
24	THAT YOU ACTUALLY ALLOWED ME TO CONTINUE TO SPEAK TO
25	YOU THIS LONG CLEARLY OFF SCRIPT TELLS THAT YOU DO

1	CARE, YOU DO GIVE A DAMN. AND WHAT IT WILL DO FOR
2	ALL OF THOSE CHILDREN AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT EVEN
3	BEEN BORN YET AND PEOPLE WHO ARE CURE WORTHY,
4	BECAUSE I'M NOT, IT WILL GIVE THEM A CHANCE AT A
5	LIFE WHERE THEY'RE NOT TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL
6	OF THIS STUFF, FOR ALL OF THESE PEOPLE BASED ON AN
7	ILLNESS THAT THEY WERE JUST BORN WITH THAT'S NOT
8	THEIR FAULT. IT MEANS THAT THEY WILL GO OUT AND
9	THEY WILL TRY TO HAVE THAT CAREER, THEY WILL TRY TO
10	HAVE THAT FAMILY, THEY WILL WALK IN THE STREET, AND
11	GET OUT OF BED WITHOUT THREE COATS AND TWO DIFFERENT
12	PAIRS OF SHOES IN THEIR PURSE AND A BOTTLE OF WATER.
13	HOPEFULLY WHEN PEOPLE COME UP TO THEM AND THEY SAY,
14	"I MET YOUR MOM AND SHE TALKED TO ME ABOUT YOU,"
15	SHE'S NOT TALKING ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOU'VE BEEN IN
16	THE HOSPITAL FOR THREE YEARS OF THE LAST FIVE. I'M
17	DONE.
18	(APPLAUSE.)
19	MS. COORS: NO QUESTIONS?
20	DR. DULIEGE: THANK OF VERY MUCH. THANK
21	YOU FOR THIS WONDERFUL TESTIMONY AND VERY MOVING.
22	WE REALLY APPRECIATE IT.
23	MS. COORS: THANK YOU FOR LISTENING. I
24	DIDN'T MEAN TO JUST RUN OFF. IT'S JUST USUALLY I
25	HEAR MY MOM START TALKING IN THE BACK, AND THAT

1	TELLS ME THAT I NEED TO SIT DOWN NOW. SO I DIDN'T
2	MEAN TO RUN AWAY FROM YOU IF YOU DID HAVE QUESTIONS,
3	BUT I THINK WE'RE HERE, SO, YOU KNOW. YOU HAVE OUR
4	NUMBER. OKAY. HAVE A GOOD DAY. THANK YOU.
5	(APPLAUSE.)
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO ON THE HEELS OF
7	THAT, DO WE HAVE ANY GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT?
8	DR. PRIETO: I'D JUST LIKE TO MAKE A
9	COMMENT ABOUT THAT BECAUSE SOMEONE SAID EARLIER
10	TODAY THAT THIS IS NOT A HUGE DISEASE IN TERMS OF
11	THE NUMBERS, BUT I REMEMBER BERT LUBIN, BEFORE HE
12	JOINED THE BOARD, COMING TO TALK TO US ABOUT IT AND
13	LAYING OUT THE POTENTIAL FOR A STEM CELL CURE FOR
14	SICKLE CELL DISEASE. IN JUST KNOWING WHAT I KNOW
15	ABOUT THIS DISEASE AND HOW INADEQUATE OUR CURRENT
16	TREATMENT FOR THIS IS, I REALLY THOUGHT THIS WOULD
17	BE HUGE. AND IF WE ARE A PART OF CURING THIS
18	DISEASE, OR HOWEVER MANY THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE, IT
19	REALLY WILL BE HUGE.
20	DR. LUBIN: SO THANK YOU FOR INVITING
21	THEM. I WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING, BUT I WANTED TO
22	WAIT TILL THE FAMILY WENT BECAUSE THE BIGGEST GAP
23	THAT WE HAVE IS TAKING CARE OF ADULTS WITH SICKLE
24	CELL. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEDIATRICIANS THAT DO A
25	REALLY GOOD JOB; BUT WHEN THEY TRANSITION TO ADULT,
	155

1	THERE'S VERY FEW DOCTORS THAT ARE WILLING TO TAKE
2	CARE OF THOSE. THERE'S NO MONEY IN IT. IT TAKES A
3	LOT OF TIME. AND THE POINT SHE MADE ABOUT PAIN
4	MANAGEMENT IS PATHETIC. I MEAN EMERGENCY ROOM
5	DOCTORS DON'T KNOW WHAT TO DO AND DON'T LISTEN TO
6	THE PATIENTS. AND SHE WAS SO PASSIONATE ABOUT IT.
7	NIH IS INVESTING A LOT IN EDUCATION TO TRY
8	TO CHANGE THIS, BUT I THINK WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
9	HERE AT CIRM IS SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO BE
10	AVAILABLE BEFORE WE EVEN GET TO THAT STAGE. WE'RE
11	GOING TO PREVENT HAVING TO WORRY ABOUT GOING TO THE
12	EMERGENCY ROOM AND WHETHER THE DOCTOR BELIEVES YOU
13	OR NOT. AND WHAT SHE SAID IS ABSOLUTELY ACCURATE,
14	THAT DOCTORS DO NOT BELIEVE WHAT THE PATIENT'S
15	ASKING FOR FOR PAIN. AND IT'S TRAGIC. IT IS REALLY
16	TRAGIC IN THIS SOPHISTICATED SOCIETY THAT THAT
17	HAPPENS.
18	BECAUSE OF A NUMBER OF THINGS, RACIAL AND
19	OTHER FACTORS, THIS POPULATION HAS NOT BEEN SERVED.
20	AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT FUNDS THAT HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED
21	FOR DIFFERENT THINGS, SICKLE CELL HAS NEVER BEEN
22	HIGH ON THE LIST BECAUSE THERE AREN'T PUBLIC
23	ADVOCACY GROUPS. THE MOM HERE, SHE'S PHENOMENAL,
24	BUT SHE'S ONE; WHEREAS, OTHER DISEASES, CYSTIC
25	FIBROSIS AND OTHERS, THERE'S ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF
	156

1	EFFORT TO RAISE MONEY. SO WONDERFUL THAT CIRM IS
2	TAKING A STANCE IN THIS, AND A PARTNERSHIP WITH
3	NHLBI REALLY SAYS, AS WE HEAR MANY TIMES, THAT
4	CALIFORNIA IS GOING TO BE A LEADER. YOU ARE GOING
5	TO BE THE LEADER IN CHANGING THIS FOR THE NATION AND
6	MAYBE THE WORLD. SO I THINK IT'S REALLY A WONDERFUL
7	OPPORTUNITY FOR US. AND I WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU
8	BECAUSE I THINK IT'S SO IMPORTANT, AND I THINK WE
9	CAN DO IT.
10	MR. TORRES: WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR
11	LEADERSHIP.
12	(APPLAUSE.)
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WELL, ON THAT
14	HIGH NOTE AND SEEING NO PUBLIC COMMENT, THANK YOU,
15	EVERYBODY, FOR A GREAT MEETING. I DO WANT TO REMIND
16	YOU THAT WE NEED TO GET A QUORUM FOR THAT NOVEMBER
17	15TH APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. WE'LL CALL
18	THAT THE OS STEWARD MEETING. AND ON THAT NOTE, HAVE
19	A GREAT REST OF THE MONTH.
20	I WOULD LIKE TO PERSONALLY THANK
21	MR. ROWLETT, MR. JUELSGAARD, DR. SAMBRANO, AND
22	OTHERS FOR YOUR CONTINUED BEST WISHES FOR THE
23	DODGERS AS THEY CONTINUE TOWARDS THE WORLD SERIES.
24	WE STAND ADJOURNED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
25	(THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT 1:45 P.M.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
ON
OCTOBER 11, 2018

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 208-255-5453